Therapeutic Class Overview Platelet Inhibitors

Therapeutic Class

Overview/Summary: Platelet inhibitors play a major role in the management of cardiovascular. cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases. The agents in the class are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved for a variety of indications including treatment and/or prevention of acute coronary syndromes, stroke/transient ischemic attack, and thrombocythemia. The platelet inhibitors are also indicated to prevent thrombosis in patients undergoing cardiovascular procedures and/or surgery. The platelet inhibitors exert their pharmacologic effects through several different mechanisms of action.¹⁻⁷ The newest platelet inhibitor to be FDA-approved is ticagrelor (Brilinta®), specifically to reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Ticagrelor is a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine; therefore, works in a similar manner to the other thienopyridine platelet inhibitors (clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticlopidine). However, unlike the other agents, ticagrelor is a reversible inhibitor of the P2Y₁₂ receptor located on the surface of platelets. In addition, ticagrelor is not a prodrug; therefore, does not require enzymatic conversion to become pharmacologically active, and is not subject to potential drug interactions associated with the other agents. 7,8 Ticagrelor is available for twice-daily dosing, while clopidogrel and prasugrel are administered once-daily. ^{2,4,7} Currently, anagrelide, clopidogrel, dipyridamole and ticlopidine are available generically.

Table 1. Current Medications Available in Therapeutic Class¹⁻⁷

Generic Name	Food and Drug Administration Approved	Dosage Form/	Generic
(Trade Name)	Indications	Strength	Availability
Single-Entity Age			
Anagrelide	Treatment of thrombocytopenia associated with	Capsule:	
(Agrylin [®] *)	myeloproliferative disorders†	0.5 mg	~
		1 mg	
Clopidogrel	Recent myocardial infarction, recent stroke, or	Tablet:	
(Plavix [®] *)	established peripheral arterial disease, reduce	75 mg	~
	the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome‡	300 mg	
Dipyridamole	Prevention of postoperative thromboembolic	Tablet:	
(Persantine®*)	complications of cardiac valve replacement§	25 mg	
		50 mg	·
		75 mg	
Prasugrel	Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular	Tablet:	
(Effient®)	events in patients with acute coronary syndrome	5 mg	-
	who are being managed with percutaneous coronary intervention	10 mg	
Ticagrelor	Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular	Tablet:	
(Brilinta [®])	events in patients with acute coronary syndrome¶	90 mg	-
Ticlopidine	Reduce the incidence of subacute stent	Tablet:	
(Ticlid [®] *)	thrombosis in patients undergoing successful	250 mg	
	coronary stent implantation#, reduce the risk of		
	thrombotic stroke (fatal or nonfatal) in patients		~
	who have experienced stroke precursors, and in		
	patients who have had a completed thrombotic		
Combination-Prod	stroke		<u> </u>
Aspirin/	Reduce the risk of stroke in patients who have	Capsule:	
extended-release	had transient ischemia of the brain or completed	25/200 mg	-
dipyridamole	ischemic stroke due to thrombosis		





Generic Name	Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications	Dosage Form/	Generic
(Trade Name)		Strength	Availability
(Aggrenox [®])			

^{*}Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.

‡For patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome, including patients who are to be managed medically and those who are to be managed with coronary revascularization, and for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. §As adjunct to coumarin anticoagulants.

|| Patients who are to be managed with percutaneous coronary intervention as follows: patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction and patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction when managed with primary or delayed percutaneous intervention.

Patients with unstable angina, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-elevation myocardial infarction. #As adjunct to aspirin.

Evidence-based Medicine

- Clopidogrel, Food and Drug Administration-approved in 1997, has been the principle platelet inhibitor for several years as the clinical data supporting its use is well established.
- Approval of prasugrel for use in acute coronary syndromes (ACS) was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the TRITON-TIMI 38 study (N=13,608). Within the study, prasugrel was significantly more effective compared to clopidogrel in reducing ischemic events in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention. Prasugrel did not demonstrate a mortality benefit and a significantly higher rate of major, minor, life-threatening, and fatal bleeding events was observed with prasugrel.¹⁶
 - Of note, a benefit with prasugrel was not observed in certain patient subgroups within TRITON-TIMI 38, specifically those who were ≥75 years of age, those weighing <60 kg, and those with a past history of stroke or transient ischemic attack.
- The approval of ticagrelor for use in ACS was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the PLATO study. Within the trial, hospitalized patients with documented ACS, with or without ST-elevation, were randomized to either ticagrelor or clopidogrel (N=18,624). After 12 months of treatment, ticagrelor was significantly more effective compared to clopidogrel in reducing the primary composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or stroke; without increasing the risk of major bleeding. Ticagrelor demonstrated a mortality benefit compared to clopidogrel.¹⁷

Key Points within the Medication Class

- According to Current Clinical Guidelines:
 - Use of the platelet inhibitors, as monotherapy or combination therapy, is based on the specific clinical indication and the patient's risk for thromboembolic events.
 - Antiplatelet therapy (aspirin, aspirin plus extended-release [ER] dipyridamole, or clopidogrel) is recommended for long-term secondary prevention in patients with an acute ischemic stroke who are not receiving thrombolysis. Combination aspirin plus dipyridamole ER is recommended over aspirin, and clopidogrel is suggested over aspirin. Dual antiplatelet therapy should be used with caution and is favored in patients who have had a recent acute myocardial infarction, other acute coronary syndrome (ACS), or recently placed coronary stent. 18,19
 - According to the 2012 guideline on Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis by the American College of Chest Physicians, dual therapy with clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor in addition to low-dose aspirin is recommended in the first year following ACS in patients regardless of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) status.²⁰
 - Furthermore, the guideline recommends ticagrelor plus low-dose aspirin over clopidogrel plus low-dose aspirin in patients post-ACS independent of whether PCI has been conducted.²⁰
 - The 2011 European Society of Cardiology guideline for the management of ACS in patients presenting without persisting ST-elevation recommends ticagrelor first-line in patients at moderate to high risk of ischemic events, regardless of treatment strategy and including those pretreated with clopidogrel.²¹
 - If coronary anatomy is known and PCI is planned, prasugrel is recommended.





[†]To reduce the elevated platelet count and the risk of thrombosis and to ameliorate associated symptoms including thrombohemorrhagic events.

- Clopidogrel is recommended in patients who cannot receive prasugrel or ticagrelor.
- The 2011 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions guideline for percutaneous intervention recommends clopidogrel, prasugrel, and ticagrelor as treatment options.²²
 - Treatment with all agents should be continued for at least one year.
- Other Key Facts:
 - Anagrelide, dipyridamole, and ticlopidine are available generically.

References

- Anagrelide [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2011 Feb.
- Plavix® [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi Pharmaceuticals Partnership; 2011 Dec.
- Persantine® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2006 Jun.
- Effient® [package insert]. Indianapolis (IN): Eli Lilly and Company; 2011 Sep.
- Ticlopidine [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals.; 2009 Jul. Aggrenox® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2011 Oct.
- Brilinta® [package insert]. Wilmington (DE): Astrazeneca; 2011 Jul.
- Micromedex® Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Reuters (Healthcare) Inc.; Updated periodically [cited 2012 May 15]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- Medco Estimated Dates of Possible First Time Generic/Rx-to-OTC Market Entry [monograph on the internet]. Franklin Lakes (NJ): Medco; 2011 [cited 2012 May 15]. Available from: http://www.medcohealth.com/art/corporate/anticipatedfirsttime_generics.pdf.
- 10. Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, Cimminiello C, Csiba L, Kaste M, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared to clopidogrel alone after recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack in high-risk patients (MATCH): randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2004;364:331-7.
- 11. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ. 2002;324:71-86.
- 12. Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lopez-Sendon JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin and fibrinolytic therapy for myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(12):1179-89.
- 13. COMMIT (Clopidogrel and Metoprolol in Myocardial Infarction Trial) Collaborative Group. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomized placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;366:1607-21.
- 14. Bhatt DL, Fox KAA, Hacke W, Berger PB, Black HR, Boden WE, et al; for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin vs aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(16):1706-17.
- The CURE Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(7):494-502.
- 16. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Neumann FJ, et al. Prasugrel vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(20):2001-15.
- 17. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2009 Sep 10;361(11):1045-57.
- 18. Furie KL, Kasner SE, Adams RJ, Albers GW, Bush RL, Fagan SC, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2011 Jan;42(1):227-76.
- 19. Hirsh J, Guyatt G, Albers GW, Harrington R, Schunemann HJ. Executive summary: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). Chest. 2008;133:71S-109S.

 Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, Gutterman DD, Schuünemann HJ; American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic
- Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Panel. Executive summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl):7S-
- 21. Hamm CW, Bassand JP, Agewell S, Bax J, Boersma E, Bueno H, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2011 Dec;32(23):2999-3054.
- 22. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Dec 6;58(24):e44-122.
- 23. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. MI: secondary prevention. Secondary prevention in primary and secondary care for patients following a myocardial infarction. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2007 May [cited 2012 May 15]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG48NICEGuidance.pdf.
- 24. Fraker TD Jr, Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ, Abrams J, Chatterjee K, Daley J, et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 guidelines for the management of chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines writing group to develop the focused update of the 2002 guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina. Circulation. 2007 Dec 4;116(23):2762-72.
- 25. Fox K, Garcia MA, Ardissino D, Buszman P, Camici PG, Crea F, et al. Guidelines on the management of stable angina pectoris: executive summary: the Task Force on the Management of Stable Angina Pectoris of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2006(11):1341-81.
- 26. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Clopidogrel and modified-release dipyridamole for the prevention of occlusive vascular events. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2010 Dec [cited 2012 May 15]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/TA210.





- 27. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR, Bakal CW, Creager MA, Halperin JL, et al. ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): executive summary: a collaborative report from the American Association for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease). Circulation. 2006;113;1474-547.
- 28. Patrono C, Bachmann F, Baigent C, Bode C, De Caterina R, Charbonnier B, et al. Expert consensus document on the use of antiplatelet agents. The task force on the use of antiplatelet agents in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:166-81.





Therapeutic Class Review Platelet Inhibitors

Overview/Summary

Platelet inhibitors play a major role in the management of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases. These agents are indicated for a variety of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved indications including treatment and/or prevention of acute coronary syndromes (myocardial infarction, unstable angina), stroke/transient ischemic attack, and thrombocythemia. The platelet inhibitors are also approved to prevent thrombosis in patients undergoing cardiovascular procedures and/or surgery. The use of these agents as both monotherapy or combination therapy by national and international clinical guidelines is based on the specific clinical indication and the patient's risk for thromboembolic events. The surgery of the management of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, cerebrovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral vascular, cerebrovascular, cereb

The platelet inhibitors exert their pharmacologic effects through several different mechanisms of action. Aspirin, a salicylate, causes irreversible inhibition of platelet cyclooxygenase, which prevents the formation of thromboxane A₂, a platelet aggregant and potent vasoconstrictor. Its use has been the cornerstone of acute treatment for over 15 years; however, evidence from clinical trials demonstrates that aspirin reduces adverse clinical events among a broad group of patients treated for both acute and chronic vascular disease.²⁰ Of the available platelet inhibitors, aspirin is the only one that has been evaluated for the treatment of an acute ischemic attack; however, antiplatelet therapy plays an important role in long-term secondary prevention of ischemic stroke.²¹

Clopidogrel (Plavix®) and ticlopidine are both thienopyridines, which work by blocking the adenosine diphosphate receptors found on platelets, leading to a subsequent inhibition of both platelet aggregation and activation. Both clopidogrel and ticlopidine are available generically. Clopidogrel is associated with a more favorable safety profile compared to ticlopidine, and is available for once-daily administration as opposed to twice-daily administration as required with ticlopidine. The platelet inhibition effects of the thienopyridines are delayed; therefore, a loading dose is typically required with these agents. As mentioned previously, these agents have been shown to be effective for the prevention of stroke and other vascular events in patients with cerebrovascular disease. In addition, the benefit of thienopyridines as monotherapy or in combination with aspirin in the treatment of coronary artery disease is well established. 20,21

The mechanism of action of dipyridamole (Persantine[®]) is not completely understood; however, it may involve its ability to increase the concentrations of adenosine, a platelet aggregation inhibitor and a coronary vasodilator, and cyclic adenosine monophosphate, which decreases platelet activation. ^{3,22} Dipyridamole, particularly when combined with aspirin, is effective for the prevention of stroke. ²¹ Extended-release dipyridamole combined with aspirin (Aggrenox[®]) is available as a branded product, however, dipyridamole alone is available generically. Currently, there is no evidence to support the use of dipyridamole either instead of, or in addition to, aspirin and the thienopyridines in the acute treatment of patients presenting with a non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome. ²⁰

The mechanism of action of anagrelide (Agrylin[®]) is also not completely understood. It is believed that anagrelide reduces platelet production via a decrease in megakaryocyte hypermaturation. Of note, significant inhibition of platelet aggregation with anagrelide is observed only at doses higher than those required to reduce the platelet count. Anagrelide is the only platelet inhibitor approved for the treatment of thrombocythemia associated with myeloproliferative disorders. Specifically, this agent is used to reduce elevated platelet counts and the risk of thrombosis, and to ameliorate associated symptoms, including thrombohemorrhagic events. Anagrelide is currently available generically.

Prasugrel (Effient[®]) is a third generation thienopyridine adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist; therefore, it has a similar mechanism of action to that of clopidogrel and ticlopidine. Prasugrel has been reported to be the most potent of these agents with a 10 mg dose of prasugrel being approximately 2.5 to





2.7 times more potent than a 75 mg dose of clopidogrel in inhibiting platelet aggregation and thrombus formation. ²³ This reported greater efficacy in platelet inhibition is due to the difference in cytochrome activation between the agents. Clopidogrel requires a multi-step cytochrome activation process, whereas prasugrel requires only a single step. ²⁴ Prasugrel has been shown to have more desirable characteristics when compared to clopidogrel with regard to drug-drug interactions and interpatient enzyme variability. Potent cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 inhibitors have been shown to affect the metabolism of clopidogrel; however, no effect has been seen with prasugrel. Study results have demonstrated that clinical outcomes with prasugrel are not affected by patient genetic variations of the CYP2C9 and 2C19 enzymes, which have been reported with clopidogrel. ²⁵

The newest platelet inhibitor to be approved by the FDA, ticagrelor (Brilinta®), works in a similar manner to the other thienopyridine platelet inhibitors. Specifically, ticagrelor is a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine, and the agent and its equipotent active metabolite reversibly bind to the P2Y $_{12}$ receptor located on the surface of platelets, preventing platelet signal transduction and activation. The contrast to ticagrelor, the other available thienopyridines work via the irreversible binding to the P2Y $_{12}$ receptor. In addition, these agents are all prodrugs, while ticagrelor is not. Since ticagrelor does not require enzymatic conversion to become pharmacologically active, it is not subject to potential drug interactions associated with the other platelet inhibitors. Ticagrelor is administered twice daily, while clopidogrel and prasugrel are administered once daily. When compared to clopidogrel, ticagrelor resulted in lower platelet receptor expression and a greater extent of inhibition of platelet aggregation, suggesting increased potency at the P2Y $_{12}$ receptor.

Medications

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Generic Name (Trade name)	Medication Class	Generic Availability
Single-Entity Agents		
Anagrelide (Agrylin®*)	Platelet inhibitors	✓
Clopidogrel (Plavix®)	Platelet inhibitors	✓
Dipyridamole (Persantine®)	Platelet inhibitors	✓
Prasugrel (Effient®)	Platelet inhibitors	-
Ticagrelor (Brilinta®)	Platelet inhibitors	-
Ticlopidine (Ticlid*)	Platelet inhibitors	→
Combination Products		
Aspirin/extended-release	Platelet inhibitors	-
dipyridamole (Aggrenox®)		

^{*}Generic available in at least one dosage form or strength.





Indications

Table 2. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Indications 1-7

Indication			Combination Products				
indication	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Prevention of postoperative thromboembolic complications of cardiac valve replacement			* *				
Recent myocardial infarction, recent stroke, or established peripheral arterial disease		•					
Reduce the incidence of subacute stent thrombosis in patients undergoing successful coronary stent implantation						* †	
Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome		* ‡			√ §		
Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with acute coronary syndrome who are being managed with percutaneous coronary intervention				>			
Reduce the risk of stroke in patients who have had transient ischemia of the brain or completed ischemic stroke due to thrombosis							•
Reduce the risk of thrombotic stroke (fatal or nonfatal) in patients who have experienced stroke precursors, and in patients who have had a completed thrombotic stroke						•	
Treatment of patients with thrombocythemia, secondary to myeloproliferative disorders	✓¶						

^{*}As an adjunct to coumarin anticoagulants.





[†]As adjunctive therapy with aspirin.

[‡]For patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome, including patients who are to be managed medically and those who are to be managed with coronary revascularization, and for patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

[§]Patients with unstable angina, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

Patients who are to be managed with percutaneous coronary intervention as follows: patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction and patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction when managed with primary or delayed percutaneous intervention.

[¶]To reduce the elevated platelet count and the risk of thrombosis and to ameliorate associated symptoms including thrombohemorrhagic events.

In addition to their respective Food and Drug Administration-approved indications, the platelet inhibitors have the potential to be used off-label for several other conditions, most of which are cardiovascular in nature. Clopidogrel may be used for thrombosis prophylaxis in patients with atrial fibrillation, chronic heart failure, or in patients who are undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Dipyridamole may be used to improve myocardial function and perfusion following a myocardial infarction, to reduce the rate of graft occlusion after aortocoronary-artery bypass grafting, to slow the progression of diabetic neuropathy or end stage renal failure, to reduce the risk of pressure ulcers, to treat fetal growth restriction, and to reduce the fall in platelet counts caused by hemodialysis. Ticlopidine may be used to lessen the complications of myocardial infarctions or transient ischemic attacks, to maintain saphenous vein graft patency after aortocoronary bypass, to manage angina, or to reduce post surgical deep vein thrombosis. Aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole may be used to reduce the graft occlusion rate in patients receiving an arterial bypass graft, to treat thrombocytopenic purpura, as prophylaxis for cerebrovascular accident, for the management of Kasabach-Merritt Syndrome, and for slowing the progression of peripheral occlusive arterial disease. 22

Pharmacokinetics

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics²²

Generic Name	Bioavailability (%)	Renal Excretion (%)	Active Metabolites	Serum Half-Life (hours)		
Single-Entity Agents						
Anagrelide	75	72 to 90	Four detected but not identified	76		
Clopidogrel	50	50	Thiol metabolite	6.0 (0.5 to 0.7*)		
Dipyridamole	37 to 66	Minimal (not reported)	None	0.66 to 10.00		
Prasugrel	≥79	68 to 70	R-138727	7 to 8*		
Ticagrelor	36	26 to 27	AR-C124910XX	7		
Ticlopidine	80 to 90	60	None	12.6		
Combination Products						
Aspirin/extended- release dipyridamole	50 to 75/37	1/not reported	Not reported/none	0.3/14.0		

^{*}Metabolite.

Clinical Trials

The clinical studies demonstrating the safety and efficacy of the platelet inhibitors in their respective Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved indications are outlined in Table 4.²⁷⁻⁸⁷

As mentioned previously, aspirin is the only platelet inhibitor that has been evaluated for the treatment of an acute ischemic attack; however, antiplatelet therapy plays an important role in the long-term prevention of stroke or transient ischemic attacks (TIAs).²¹ In a large, meta-analysis of patients with a previous myocardial infarction (MI), acute MI, previous TIA/stroke, and acute stroke, as well as patients with an increased risk of atherothrombotic events, it was demonstrated that overall, antiplatelet therapy reduced the odds of the composite outcome of stroke, MI, or vascular death in secondary prevention by approximately 25%. With regard to individual endpoints, antiplatelet therapy reduced the odds of nonfatal MI by 34%, nonfatal stroke by 25% and vascular death by 15%. 42 Looking at the individual platelet inhibitors, data from clinical studies demonstrated that ticlopidine reduced the risk of stroke and other vascular outcomes in patients with cerebrovascular disease. ^{37,38} The CAPRIE study demonstrated that patients with a recent ischemic stroke or MI, or those with symptomatic peripheral arterial disease who were treated with clopidogrel experienced a 5.32% annual risk of ischemic stroke, MI, or vascular death compared to 5.83% of patients treated with aspirin (relative risk reduction [RRR], 8.7% in favor of clopidogrel; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.3 to 16.3; P=0.043). Results from the MATCH study demonstrated that the addition of aspirin to clopidogrel in high-risk patients with a recent ischemic stroke or TIA was associated with a nonsignificant difference in reducing major vascular events. In this trial, dual antiplatelet therapy was associated with more life-threatening, major, and minor bleeds.³⁴ In the ESPRIT





study, patients within six months of a TIA or minor stroke of presumed arterial origin were randomized to receive aspirin with or without dipyridamole. The rate of the primary composite outcome, death from all vascular causes, nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI, or major bleeding complications (whichever occurred first), was 13% with combination therapy and 16% with aspirin (hazard ratio [HR], 0.80; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.98, absolute risk reduction, 1.0% per year; 95% CI, 0.1 to 1.8).

With regard to the treatment of acute coronary syndromes (ACS), in the CLARITY-TIMI 28 study, patients who presented within 12 hours of a ST-segment elevation MI were randomized to receive either clopidogrel or placebo for 30 days. Treatment with clopidogrel was associated with an absolute reduction of 6.7% in the composite endpoint of occluded infarct-related artery on angiography, death, or recurrent MI before angiography (P value not reported). 47 Patients included in the COMMIT study were admitted within 24 hours of a suspected acute MI and received either combination therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin or aspirin monotherapy. In this study, there was a significant reduction in the risk of the composite endpoint of death, re-infarction, or stroke (P=0.002), and in death from any cause (P=0.03) in patients receiving combination therapy after 15 days. ⁴⁹ In the CURE study investigators compared long-term (three to 12 months) combination therapy with clopidogrel plus aspirin to aspirin monotherapy in patients with a non-ST-segment elevation MI who presented within 24 hours of symptom onset. The results demonstrated that combination therapy resulted in a 20% RRR in the composite outcome of nonfatal MI, stroke, or vascular death (P<0.001). The compelling benefit of combination therapy noted in the CURE study was in the reduction of nonfatal MI. Due to the low number of strokes that occurred during the study, the associated reduction was not significant. There was also a weak trend suggesting the possibility of small reductions in death associated with combination therapy that was not significant. ^{20,21,53} The CHARISMA study was another long-term trial (median, 28 months) that enrolled and randomized patients with clinically evident cardiovascular disease to either combination treatment with clopidogrel and aspirin or to monotherapy with aspirin. The rate of the primary composite endpoint of MI, stroke, or death from cardiovascular causes was not different between the two treatments (6.8 vs 7.3%; relative risk, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.05; P=0.22). As mentioned previously, there is no evidence to support the use of dipyridamole in the acute treatment of patients presenting with a non-ST-segment elevation ACS.²⁰ In addition, the results of a large meta-analysis of 29 randomized-controlled studies demonstrated that in patients with arterial vascular disease, dipyridamole had no clear effect on the secondary prevention of vascular death. Compared to control (no drug or another antiplatelet inhibitor), dipyridamole appeared to reduce the risk of vascular events; however, the effect was only significant in patients presenting with cerebral ischemia.4

The major clinical trial demonstrating the safety and efficacy of prasugrel for its FDA-approved indication is the TRITON-TIMI 38 (N=13,608). Results demonstrated that prasugrel was significantly more effective than clopidogrel in reducing ischemic events in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous intervention. However, the trial did not demonstrate a decrease in the mortality rate with prasugrel. In addition, the results from TRITON-TIMI 38 did show a significantly higher rate of major, minor, life-threatening, and fatal bleeding events with prasugrel. Of note, certain patient subgroups, specifically those who were ≥75 years of age, those weighing <60 kg and those with a past history of stroke or TIA, did not demonstrate a clinical benefit with prasugrel. In addition, several subgroup analyses were also conducted based on TRITON-TIMI 38 and one patient subgroup in particular, those with diabetes, were found to have a significantly greater reduction in ischemic events with prasugrel when compared to nondiabetic patients being treated with either prasugrel or clopidogrel. The indication is the property of the pr

The major clinical study demonstrating the safety and efficacy of ticagrelor for its FDA-approved indication is the PLATO study. PLATO was an international, double-blind, double-dummy, multicenter, randomized-controlled trial that compared ticagrelor to clopidogrel in adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS, with or without ST-segment elevation within the previous 24 hours (N=18,624). After 12 months, the risk of the primary composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke was significantly reduced with ticagrelor (9.8 vs 11.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.95; *P*<0.001). Ticagrelor also significantly reduced the risk of the secondary endpoints of the composite of all-cause mortality, MI, or stroke (10.2 vs 12.3%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.92; *P*<0.001); the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia, recurrent ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic event (14.6 vs 16.7%; HR, 0.88; 95% CI,





0.81 to 0.95; P<0.001); MI (5.8 vs 6.9%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.95; P=0.005), and vascular death (4.0 vs 5.1%; HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.91). Furthermore, ticagrelor significantly reduced the risk of all-cause mortality (4.5 vs 5.9%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.89). Rates of major bleeding were not different between the two treatments (P=0.43).

Several subanalyses of the PLATO study have been conducted. ⁵⁵⁻⁶⁵ In patients with ACS undergoing noninvasive (P=0.045) or invasive procedures (P=0.0025), ticagrelor remained more efficacious compared to clopidogrel. ^{55,56} However, in patients with ST-elevation or left bundle branch block (P=0.07), chronic kidney disease (P=0.13), or diabetes (P value not reported), and in those who underwent coronary artery bypass graft surgery (P=0.2862), there was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel with regard to the primary composite endpoint. ⁵⁷⁻⁶⁰ A genetic substudy was also conducted and demonstrated ticagrelor to be more efficacious than clopidogrel, irrespective of cytochrome P450 2C19 and ABCB1 polymorphisms (P=0.0380). ⁶¹ In the original PLATO study a significantly higher rate of dyspnea was observed with ticagrelor; however, data from a substudy revealed ticagrelor had no effect on pulmonary function. ^{54,63}

Mahaffey et al compared the effects of ticagrelor and clopidogrel among patients enrolled in the PLATO study who were from the United States (N=1,413). The "superior" benefits of ticagrelor in reducing thrombotic cardiovascular events were not observed among this specific patient population. Specifically, there was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel in the rate of the primary composite endpoint (11.9 vs 9.5%; HR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.92 to 7.75; P=0.1459). The authors discussed that among these patients who were treated with ticagrelor, the lowest event rates were observed in patients also receiving low-dose aspirin maintenance therapy. In contrast, event rates in those treated with clopidogrel were similar regardless of concurrent high- or low-dose aspirin. Despite the potential role that aspirin maintenance dosing may play in explaining the regional differences observed within the PLATO study. the authors noted that the pattern of results are consistent with what might be expected by chance alone in a large, multiregional clinical study with multiple exploratory analyses. A potential mechanism by which high-dose aspirin is thought to reduce the effects of ticagrelor relates to its ability to inhibit the endothelial release of prostacyclin in a dose-dependent fashion at doses greater than 80 mg/day. Prostacyclin reduces platelet reactivity and may contribute synergistically in vivo to the antiplatelet effects of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors. Therefore, the therapeutic effects of a higher mean level of P2Y₁₂ inhibition achieved with ticagrelor in the PLATO study may be attenuated when endogenous prostacyclin production is inhibited.⁶² Until a prospective clinical study comparing the effects of low- vs high-dose aspirin maintenance therapy and its effect on the efficacy of ticagrelor is conducted, it remains unclear as to why the diminished effects of ticagrelor in the United States population were observed. Of note, the FDA-approved dosing of ticagrelor recommends that after the initial loading dose of aspirin (325 mg), a daily maintenance dose of aspirin of 75 to 100 mg should be used.7





Table 4. Clinical Trials

Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Cerebrovascular Conditions	Demographics (Isohomia Stroka	Duration Transient leab	omio Attook)	
	AC, DB, MC, PG,	N=1,294	,	Primary:
	RCT	N=1,294	Primary: Recurrent ischemic	Recurrent ischemic stroke occurred in 6.9 (n=45) and 5.0% (n=32) of patients
JASAF	NO I	12 months	stroke (fatal or	receiving combination therapy and aspirin, respectively. Noninferiority of
Aspirin/dipyridamole ER F	Patients ≥50	12 1110111115	nonfatal)	combination therapy compared to aspirin was not shown (HR, 1.47; 95% CI,
	years of age with		nomatar)	0.93 to 2.31). Results were consistent in the PP population.
	an ischemic		Secondary:	0.00 to 2.01). Results were consistent in the FT population.
	stroke ≥1 week		Cerebral	Secondary:
	(but no more		hemorrhage;	The event rate of stroke was significantly higher with combination therapy
	than 6 months)		subarachnoid	compared to aspirin.
aspirin 81 mg QD	prior to		hemorrhage; TIA;	
	enrollment, with		ACS; other vascular	There was no difference between the two treatments for any other secondary
	≥2 additional risk		events; composite of	endpoint.
•	factors, stable		ischemic stroke,	
	neurological		TIA, MI, unstable	Combination therapy and aspirin were both well tolerated. There was a
·	signs and		angina, or sudden	significantly higher total number of adverse events with combination therapy
	symptoms, and		death attributable to	(640 vs 611; <i>P</i> =0.04). The difference in drug-related adverse events was
	responsible lesion confirmed		thromboembolism; stroke (composite of	mainly due to headache in the early stages of treatment with combination therapy. More patients receiving combination therapy discontinued treatment
	by CT or MRI		ischemic stroke,	because of headache. Major bleeding events and clinically relevant minor
	by O1 of Wilti		cerebral	bleeding events were comparable between the two treatments. No relevant
			hemorrhage, or	changes in laboratory parameters, vital signs, and electrocardiography were
			subarachnoid	noted with either treatment. There were four (0.6%) and 10 (1.6%) deaths with
			hemorrhage); safety	combination therapy and aspirin.
			, , ,	
			A post hoc analysis	A multivariate analysis taking into account potential confounders for
			was performed	recurrence of ischemic stroke but only keeping covariates with a significant
			evaluating the event	contribution in the model revealed a similar result for the comparison between
			rate of intracranial	treatments as the primary analysis. The analysis also revealed that higher
			hemorrhage and the	modified Rankin Scale values and established end organ damage at baseline
			composite of stroke	had a deleterious effect on the primary outcome, whereas the concomitant
			or major bleeding for different subgroups	therapy with statins had a beneficial effect.
			umerent subgroups	





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
ESPRIT Study Group ²⁸ ESPRIT Aspirin 30 to 325 mg/day plus dipyridamole 200 mg BID vs aspirin 30 to 325 mg/day Aspirin plus dipyridamole was administered either as a fixed-dose combination or as the two agents administered separately.	MC, OL, RCT Patients who were referred to one of the participating hospitals within 6 months of a TIA or minor ischemic stroke of presumed arterial origin	N=2,739 Mean follow- up 3.5 years	Primary: Composite of death from all vascular causes, nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI or major bleeding complication (which ever happened first) Secondary: Death from all causes, death from all vascular causes, death from all vascular causes and nonfatal stroke, all major ischemic events, all vascular events, major bleeding complications	Primary: Primary outcome events occurred in 173 (13%) patients receiving combination therapy compared to 216 (16%) patients receiving aspirin therapy (HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.98; absolute risk reduction 1.0% per year; 95% CI, 0.1 to 1.8). Patients receiving combination therapy discontinued trial medication more often than those receiving aspirin (470 vs 184 patients), mainly because of headache. Secondary: The HR for death from all causes and all vascular causes were 0.88 (93 vs 107 patients; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.17) and 0.75 (44 vs 60 patients; 95% CI, 0.51 to 1.10). Ischemic events were less frequent with combination therapy (HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.01). Major bleeding complications arose in 35 patients receiving combination therapy compared to 53 patients receiving aspirin , whereas minor bleeding was reported in 171 patients receiving combination therapy compared to 168 patients receiving aspirin (RR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.25).
Verro et al ²⁹ Aspirin plus dipyridamole (IR and ER) vs aspirin	MA of 6 RCT (4 were DB) Patients with a history of non-cardioembolic stroke or TIA	N=7,648 Duration varied	Primary: Incidence of nonfatal stroke Secondary: Composite of stroke, MI or vascular death; subset analysis comparing outcomes with IR and ER dipyridamole	Primary: Combination therapy significantly reduced the risk of nonfatal ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke compared to aspirin therapy (RR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.89). Secondary: Combination therapy significantly reduced the risk of the composite of stroke, MI or vascular death (RR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.94). Based on four trials, aspirin plus IR dipyridamole did not show a significant reduction in the risk of stroke (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.59 to 1.15) or the composite outcome (RR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.19) compared to aspirin. Based on two trials, aspirin plus ER dipyridamole showed a significant





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				reduction in risk for stroke (RR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.89) and for the composite outcome (RR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.92) compared to aspirin.
Diener et al ³⁰ ESPS 2 Aspirin 25 mg BID vs aspirin/dipyridamole 25/200 mg BID vs	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients who had an ischemic stroke or TIA within 3 months prior to study entry	N=6,602 24 months	Primary: Stroke (fatal or nonfatal), death (all cause mortality), combined stroke or death Secondary: TIA and adverse events	Primary: In comparison to placebo, stroke risk was reduced by 18% with aspirin (<i>P</i> =0.013), 37% with aspirin/dipyridamole (<i>P</i> <0.001) and 16% with dipyridamole ER (<i>P</i> =0.039). There was no significant difference in all cause mortality among the active treatment groups (<i>P</i> values not reported). In comparison to placebo, the risk of stroke or death was reduced by 13% with aspirin (<i>P</i> =0.016), 24% with aspirin/dipyridamole (<i>P</i> <0.001) and 15% with dipyridamole ER (<i>P</i> =0.015).
dipyridamole ER 200 mg* BID vs placebo				Secondary: Aspirin (<i>P</i> <0.001), aspirin/dipyridamole (<i>P</i> <0.001) and dipyridamole ER (<i>P</i> <0.01) were significantly effective in preventing TIA compared to placebo. Headache was the most common adverse event, occurring more frequently in the dipyridamole-treated patients (<i>P</i> values not reported). All-site bleeding and gastrointestinal bleeding were significantly more common with aspirin in comparison to placebo or dipyridamole (<i>P</i> values not reported).
Sacco et al ³¹ Aspirin/dipyridamole 25/200 mg BID vs aspirin 25 mg BID	Post hoc analysis using data from the ESPS 2	N=3,299 Duration not reported	Primary: Rates of annual strokes, combined stroke or vascular events Secondary: Not reported	Primary: Compared to aspirin, combination therapy was more effective in reducing the risk of stroke (RRR, 23%; <i>P</i> =0.006) and combined stroke or vascular events (RRR, 22%; <i>P</i> =0.003). A more pronounced efficacy was observed for patients <70 years; those with hypertension or prior MI, stroke, TIA or prior cardiovascular disease and smokers (all <i>P</i> <0.01). The greatest relative hazard reduction (44.6%) was noted for patients with a stroke or TIA before the qualifying event. Significant hazard reductions were reported for the combined outcome of stroke or vascular events with the greatest reductions found in patients with prior stroke or TIA, previous MI and among current smokers.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Leonardi-Bee et al ³² Dipyridamole vs aspirin plus dipyridamole vs aspirin vs control (not specified)/placebo Two formulations of dipyridamole were assessed: conventional (150 to 300 mg/day) and modified-release (400 mg/day).	MA of 5 RCT (including the ESPS 1 and 2) Patients with previous ischemic stroke and/or TIA	N=11,492 Follow-up at 15 to 72 months	Primary: Incidence of stroke (combined fatal and nonfatal) Secondary: Nonfatal stroke; MI (combined fatal and nonfatal); vascular death; composite of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI and vascular death	The difference in efficacy increased in high-risk patients. Secondary: Not reported Primary: The incidence of recurrent stroke was reduced by dipyridamole therapy compared to control (OR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.68 to 1.00; <i>P</i> <0.05), and by combination therapy compared to aspirin (OR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.93; <i>P</i> <0.05), dipyridamole therapy (OR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.05) or control (OR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.71; <i>P</i> <0.05). Secondary: Dipyridamole therapy reduced nonfatal stroke as compared to control, and combination therapy reduced nonfatal stroke as compared to aspirin. Combination therapy significantly reduced the incidence of fatal and nonfatal MI compared to control (<i>P</i> <0.05), but not compared to aspirin or dipyridamole (<i>P</i> >0.05). Vascular death was not altered in any group. Combination therapy also significantly reduced the composite outcome of nonfatal stroke, nonfatal MI and vascular death as compared to aspirin (OR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.97; <i>P</i> <0.05), dipyridamole (OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.90; <i>P</i> <0.05) or control (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.75; <i>P</i> <0.05).
The daily dose of aspirin was 50 to 1,300 mg. Sacco et al ³³ Aspirin 25 mg plus dipyridamole ER 200 mg BID	AC, DB, PC, RCT Patients ≥50 years of age with a recent ischemic	N=20,332 2.5 years (mean)	Primary: Recurrent stroke of any type Secondary: Composite of stroke,	Primary: Confirmed first recurrence of stroke occurred in 1,814 patients. There was no interaction between the treatment benefit of antiplatelet plus telmisartan (<i>P</i> =0.35). The primary outcomes occurred in 916 (9.0%) and 898 (8.8%) patients in the aspirin plus dipyridamole ER and clopidogrel groups (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.11). Although the HR is very close to 1.00, the upper limit of





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus placebo or telmisartan 80 mg/day	stroke (within <90 days before randomization, or 90 to 120 days before randomization if they had ≥2 additional vascular risk factors)		MI or death from vascular causes	the CI extends beyond the prespecified noninferiority margin of 1.075. Ischemic stroke accounted for 87.4% of the recurrent strokes. Secondary: The numbers of patients with the secondary endpoint were identical between the two groups (1,333 [13.1%]; HR for aspirin plus dipyridamole ER vs clopidogrel, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.07).
Diener et al ³⁴ MATCH Clopidogrel 75 mg/day vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 mg/day	DB, PC, RCT High-risk patients with a recent ischemic stroke or TIA, with ≥1 additional vascular risk factor who were already receiving clopidogrel	N=7,599 18 months	Primary: Composite of ischemic stroke, MI, vascular death or rehospitalization for an acute ischemic event Secondary: Death, stroke, individual components and various combinations of the primary end points	Primary: There was no significant benefit of combination therapy compared to clopidogrel therapy in reducing the primary outcome (15.7 vs 16.7%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.244). Secondary: There was no significant benefit of combination therapy compared to clopidogrel therapy in reducing the secondary outcomes. Life-threatening bleeds were higher in the group receiving combination therapy (2.6 vs 1.3%; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Major and minor bleeds were also significantly higher with combination therapy (<i>P</i> <0.0001). [Note: Although clopidogrel plus aspirin is recommended over aspirin for acute coronary syndromes, with most guidelines advocating for up to 12 months of treatment, the results of MATCH do not suggest a similar risk: benefit ratio for stroke and TIA survivors.]
Markus et al ³⁵ CARESS Clopidogrel 300 mg on day 1, followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 mg/day on days 2 to 7	DB, PC, RCT Patients >18 years of age with ≥50% carotid stenosis who experienced ipsilateral carotid territory TIA or	N=107 7 days	Primary: Proportion of patients who were microembolic signal positive on day seven Secondary: Proportion of	Primary: ITT analysis revealed a significant reduction in the primary end point: 43.8% of patients receiving combination therapy were microembolic signal positive on day seven, as compared to 72.7% of patients receiving aspirin (RRR, 39.8%; 95% CI, 13.8 to 58.0; <i>P</i> =0.0046). Secondary: Microembolic signal frequency/hour was reduced compared to baseline by 61.4% (95% CI, 31.6 to 78.2; <i>P</i> =0.0013) in the combination therapy group at





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
aspirin 75 mg QD	stroke within the past 3 months		patients who were microembolic signal positive on day two, the rate of embolization on both days two and seven and their percent change from baseline, safety	day seven, and by 61.6% (95% CI, 34.9 to 77.4; <i>P</i> =0.0005) on day two. There were four recurrent strokes and seven TIAs in the aspirin group compared to no stroke and four TIAs in the combination therapy group that were considered treatment-emergent and ipsilateral to the qualifying carotid stenosis. Microembolic signal frequency was greater in the 17 patients with recurrent ipsilateral events compared to the 90 patients without (<i>P</i> =0.0003).
Kennedy et al ³⁶ FASTER Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day or placebo and simvastatin 40 mg once, followed by 40 mg/day or placebo	Factorial design 2x2, DB, PC, RCT Patients ≥40 years of age with a TIA or minor stroke, randomized within 24 hours of symptom onset	N=392 90 days	Primary: Incidence of stroke (ischemic and hemorrhagic), safety (hemorrhage, myositis) Secondary: Composite of stroke, MI and vascular death	Primary: The trial was stopped early due to a failure to recruit patients at the prespecified minimum enrollment rate because of increased use of statins. Within 90 days, 7.1% of patients on clopidogrel (with or without simvastatin) had a stroke compared to 10.8% of patients not taking clopidogrel (RR, 0.7; 95% CI, 0.3 to 1.2) for an absolute risk reduction of 3.8% compared to placebo (95% CI, -9.4 to 1.9; <i>P</i> =0.19). In the simvastatin group (with or without clopidogrel), 10.6% of patients had a stroke within 90 days compared to 7.3% of patients not taking simvastatin (RR, 1.3; 95% CI, 0.7 to 2.4) for an absolute risk increase of 3.3% compared to placebo (95% CI, -2.3 to 8.9; <i>P</i> =0.25). Two patients on clopidogrel had intracranial hemorrhage compared to none in patients not receiving clopidogrel (absolute risk increase, 1.0%; 95% CI, -0.4 to 2.4; <i>P</i> =0.5). There was no difference between groups for the simvastatin safety outcomes. Secondary: Clopidogrel was associated with a -3.3% risk difference in the secondary end
All patients were also given aspirin 81 mg/day, with a 162 mg loading dose if naïve to aspirin. Gent et al ³⁷	DB, MC, PC,	N_1 072	Drimon.	point compared to placebo (95% CI, -9.3 to 2.7; <i>P</i> =0.28). Simvastatin was associated with a 2.7% risk difference compared to placebo (95% CI, -3.2 to 8.7; <i>P</i> =0.37).
CATS Ticlopidine 250 mg BID	RCT Patients with	N=1,072 Up to 3 years (mean 24	Primary: Event rate/year for stroke, MI or vascular death	Primary: The event rate/year for stroke, MI or vascular death was 10.8% in the ticlopidine group and 15.3% in the placebo group. Compared to placebo, ticlopidine reduced the RR of stroke, MI or vascular death by 30% (<i>P</i> =0.006) in





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs	ischemic strokes occurring from 1 week to 4 months	months)	Secondary: Adverse events	the on-treatment analysis and by 23% (<i>P</i> =0.020) using the ITT approach. Ticlopidine reduced the RR of ischemic stroke by 33% (<i>P</i> =0.008) in the on-
placebo	WOOK to 4 months		Adverse events	treatment analysis.
				Ticlopidine was beneficial for both men and women (RR, 28.1%; <i>P</i> =0.037 and RR, 34.2%; <i>P</i> =0.045, respectively).
				Secondary: Adverse events associated with ticlopidine included neutropenia (severe in about 1% of cases), skin rash (severe in about 2% of cases) and diarrhea
Hass et al ³⁸	Blinded, MC,	N=3,069	Primary:	(severe in about 2% of cases). Primary:
TASS	RCT	2 to 6 years	Nonfatal stroke or death	Compared to aspirin, ticlopidine showed a 12% reduction in nonfatal stroke or death (three-year event rate, 17 vs 19%; <i>P</i> =0.048).
Ticlopidine 250 mg BID	Patients with a minor stroke or TIA within the	·	Secondary: Adverse events	Ticlopidine reduced the risk of stroke after three years by 21% (10 vs 13%;
VS	past 3 months		Adverse events	<i>P</i> =0.024).
aspirin 650 mg BID				Secondary: Ticlopidine significantly increased total cholesterol compared to aspirin (9 vs 2%; P<0.01). Serious gastrointestinal adverse effects were 2.5 times more common in the aspirin group, but bleeding from other anatomic sites was infrequent and about equal in the two treatment groups. Severe neutropenia occurred in 0.9% of patients.
Gorelick et al ³⁹ AAASPS	DB, MC, RCT	N=1,809	Primary: Composite of	Primary: There was no significant difference in the percent of patients reaching the
Ticlopidine 250 mg BID	African American patients who	Up to 2 years	recurrent stroke, MI or vascular death	primary outcome between ticlopidine and aspirin (14.7 vs 12.3%, respectively; $P=0.12$).
vs	recently had a non-cardioembolic		Secondary: Stroke (fatal and	Secondary: There was a nonsignificant trend for reduction of fatal or nonfatal stroke
aspirin 325 mg BID	ischemic stroke		nonfatal)	among those in the aspirin group (<i>P</i> =0.08).
Fukuuchi et al ⁴⁰	DB, DD, MC, RCT	N=1,151	Primary: Safety (emphasis on	Primary: During the study period, 15.1 and 7.0 % of ticlopidine- and clopidogrel-treated
Ticlopidine 200 mg QD		52 weeks	hematologic	patients had at least one primary safety end point (<i>P</i> <0.001). Significant





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs clopidogrel 75 mg QD	Japanese patients 20 to 80 years of age who experienced a non-		changes, hepatic dysfunction, non- traumatic hemorrhage and other serious	differences were primarily noted between ticlopidine and clopidogrel for hematologic disorders (2.4 vs 1.0%; <i>P</i> =0.043) and hepatic dysfunction (11.9 vs 4.2%; <i>P</i> <0.001). Study medication was discontinued prematurely due to safety end points in 27
	cardioembolic cerebral infarction ≥8 days prior to		adverse reactions) Secondary: Combined incidence	and 17% of patients receiving ticlopidine and clopidogrel, respectively (<i>P</i> <0.001). The HR for the risk of discontinuing study medication due to a primary safety end point was 0.559 (95% CI, 0.434 to 0.721) in favor of clopidogrel.
	enrollment		of nonfatal or fatal cerebral infarction, MI or death due to other vascular causes	Secondary: The incidence of vascular events did not differ significantly between ticlopidine and clopidogrel (2.6 vs 3.0%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.948; HR, 0.977; 95% CI, 0.448 to 1.957).
Uchiyama et al ⁴¹	2 DB, DD, Phase II, RCT	N=1,921	Primary:	Primary:
Ticlopidine 200 mg QD	Japanese	26 to 52 weeks	Combined endpoint of accessory symptoms and	Fewer patients in the clopidogrel group (35.0%) experienced the combined safety endpoint compared to those in the ticlopidine group (48.7%). At one month, it was estimated that 83.4 and 69.9% of patients in the clopidogrel and
vs clopidogrel 75 mg QD	patients 20 to 80 years of age, with a history of		abnormal laboratory changes	ticlopidine groups were safety event free. At both two and 12 months, the estimated incidence of the safety events was significantly lower with clopidogrel compared to ticlopidine (<i>P</i> value not reported).
	cerebral infarctions; the most recent stroke being >8 days prior to enrollment		Secondary: Combined incidence of vascular events (cerebral infarction, MI, vascular death, TIA, amaurosis fugax, angina	It was estimated that almost twice as many patients (25.6%) in the ticlopidine group experienced symptoms and/or abnormal laboratory findings of hepatic dysfunction compared to the clopidogrel group (13.4%; HR, 0.455; 95% CI, 0.367 to 0.565; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary:
			pectoris, peripheral artery occlusion, retinal artery occlusion or other vascular event)	There was no difference in the incidence of the combined efficacy endpoint of cerebral infarction, MI or vascular death with clopidogrel compared to ticlopidine (2.6 vs 2.5%; HR, 0.918; 95% CI, 0.518 to 1.626). There were no MIs or vascular deaths; only recurrence of cerebral infarctions.
				There was no difference in the total number of vascular events between the clopidogrel (3.6%) and ticlopidine (3.7%) groups (HR, 0.878; 95% CI, 0.545 to 1.412). The incidences of TIA, angina pectoris, PAD or other events were





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				comparable between the two groups. There was no significant difference in the incidence of the combined efficacy endpoint between patients with prior lacunar stroke in the clopidogrel group (2.8%) and in the ticlopidine group (3.3%; <i>P</i> value not reported).
Cerebrovascular and Cardi			1	
Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration ⁴² Antiplatelet agents vs	MA (197 RCTs compared antiplatelet therapy vs control and 90 trials compared	N=135,640 Duration varied	Primary: Serious vascular event (nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke or vascular death)	Primary: Overall, antiplatelet therapy reduced the combined outcome of any serious vascular event by 25%, nonfatal MI by 34%, nonfatal stroke by 25% and vascular mortality by 15%, with no apparent adverse effect on other deaths. Aspirin was the most widely studied antiplatelet drug and low-dose (75 to 150).
control	different antiplatelet regimens)		Secondary: Not reported	mg/day) was at least as effective as higher daily doses for long-term use. In acute settings an initial loading dose of ≥150 mg aspirin may be required.
one antiplatelet regimen vs another	Patients at high risk of occlusive vascular events			Clopidogrel reduced serious vascular events by 10% compared to aspirin, which was similar to the 12% reduction observed with ticlopidine. The addition of dipyridamole to aspirin produced no significant further reduction in vascular events compared to aspirin alone. Secondary: Not reported
CAPRIE Steering Committee ⁴³ CAPRIE Clopidogrel 75 mg QD vs aspirin 325 QD	DB, MC, PG, RCT Patients with recent ischemic stroke (within 6 months with ≥1 week of residual neurological signs), recent MI (within 35 days) or symptomatic PAD	N=19,185 1 to 3 years (mean, 1.91 years)	Primary: Composite of ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death Secondary: Composite of ischemic stroke, MI, vascular death and amputation; vascular death; all cause mortality; safety	Primary: The ITT analysis showed that clopidogrel had an annual 5.32% risk of ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death compared to 5.83% with aspirin, for a RRR of 8.7% (95% CI, 0.3 to 16.5; <i>P</i> =0.043) in favor of clopidogrel. Corresponding on-treatment analysis yielded a RRR of 9.4% in favor of clopidogrel (<i>P</i> value not reported). For the 6,431 patients enrolled in the trial with prior stroke, the RRR for ischemic stroke, MI or vascular death was 7.3% in favor of clopidogrel (<i>P</i> =0.26), and the RRR for the end point of stroke was 8.0% (<i>P</i> =0.28). For the 6,302 patients enrolled in the trial with MI, a RR increase of 3.7% was associated with clopidogrel (<i>P</i> =0.66).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
				For the 6,452 patients enrolled in the trial with PAD, a RRR of 23.8% was noted in favor of clopidogrel (<i>P</i> =0.0028).
				Secondary: Clopidogrel reduced the risk of the primary outcome plus amputation by 7.6% compared to aspirin (<i>P</i> =0.076).
				There was no significant difference between clopidogrel and aspirin with regards to vascular death (1.90 vs 2.06%; <i>P</i> =0.29) and all cause mortality (3.05 vs 3.11%; <i>P</i> =0.71).
				There were no major differences in terms of safety. Severe rash (P =0.017) and severe diarrhea (P =0.080) were reported more frequently with clopidogrel. Severe upper gastrointestinal discomfort (P =0.096), intracranial hemorrhage (P =0.23) and gastrointestinal hemorrhage (P =0.05) were reported more frequently with aspirin.
De Schryver et al44	MA of 29 RCTs	N=23,019	Primary:	Primary:
			Secondary	Compared to control, dipyridamole had no clear effect on vascular death (RR,
Dipyridamole with or	Patients with	Duration	prevention of	0.99; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.12). The dose of dipyridamole or type of presenting
without other antiplatelet	arterial vascular	varied	vascular death and	vascular disease did not influence this result.
drugs	disease (angina,	(≥1 month in	vascular events	
VC	CAD, MI, nephropathy,	duration)	(vascular death, any death from an	Compared to control, dipyridamole appeared to reduce the risk of vascular events (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.95). This effect was only significant in
VS	PAD, retinopathy,		unknown cause,	patients presenting with cerebral ischemia.
control (no drug or another	stroke and TIA)		nonfatal stroke or	patients presenting with cerebral isomernia.
antiplatelet drug)	otrone and Thy		nonfatal MI)	There was no evidence that dipyridamole alone was more efficacious than aspirin.
			Secondary:	
			Not reported	Secondary:
				Not reported
Cardiovascular Indications			ardial Infarction, Angi	na Pectoris)
Navarese et al ⁴⁵	MA of 7 RCTs	N=58,591	Primary:	Primary:
			Mortality	Compared with clopidogrel, new antiplatelet therapies (ticagrelor or prasugrel)
Ticagrelor 90 to 180 mg	Randomized	30 days to 15		were associated with a statistically significant reduction in mortality (3.4 vs
BID	controlled trials	months	Secondary:	2.9%; OR, 0.87; 95%CI, 0.79 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.002).
	on adjunctive		MI, definite in-stent	





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
or prasugrel 60 mg loading dose, followed by 10mg QD vs clopidogrel 300 to 600 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Ho et al ⁴⁶ Clopidogrel, dose not specified	Demographics ADP receptor antagonists among patients with ACS RETRO cohort Patients with ACS discharged on clopidogrel from 127 Veterans Affairs hospitals between October 2003 and March 2005	N=3,137 Duration varied (mean follow- up after stopping clopidogrel was 196 days for patients medically treated and 203 days for patients receiving PCI)	Primary: Rate of all cause mortality or acute MI after stopping clopidogrel Secondary: Not reported	Secondary: Compared with clopidogrel, new antiplatelet therapies (ticagrelor or prasugrel) were associated with a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of recurrent MI (5.2 vs 4.2%; OR, 0.80; 95%CI, 0.74 to 0.87; P<0.0001). Compared with clopidogrel, new antiplatelet therapies (ticagrelor or prasugrel) were associated with a statistically significant reduction in the incidence of instent thrombosis (1.7 vs 0.9%; OR, 0.52; 95%CI, 0.43 to 0.63; P<0.0001). The rates of bleeding were comparable between clopidogrel and the new antiplatelet drugs (ticagrelor and prasugrel) (5 vs 4.7%; OR, 1.06; 95%CI, 0.96 to 1.17; P=0.25). Primary: Among medically treated patients the mean duration of clopidogrel treatment was 302 days. Death or acute MI occurred in 17.1% of these patients, with 60.8% of the events occurring during 0 to 90 days, 21.3% during 91 to 180 days and 9.7% during 181 to 270 days after stopping treatment with clopidogrel. In multivariable analysis including adjustment for duration of clopidogrel treatment, the first 90 day interval after stopping treatment with clopidogrel was associated with a significantly higher risk of adverse events (IRR, 1.98; 95% CI, 1.46 to 2.69 vs the interval 91 to 180 days). Among the PCI-treated patients the mean duration of clopidogrel treatment was 278 days. Death or acute MI occurred in 7.9% of these patients, with 58.9% of the events occurring during 0 to 90 days, 23.4% during 91 to 180 days and 6.5% during 181 to 270 days after stopping clopidogrel treatment. In multivariable analysis including adjustment for duration of clopidogrel treatment. In multivariable analysis including adjustment for duration of clopidogrel treatment was associated with a significantly higher risk of adverse events (IRR, 1.82; 95% CI, 1.17 to 2.83).
				Secondary: Not reported





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
Study and Drug Regimen	Demographics	Duration	Ena Points	Results
Sabatine et al ⁴⁷ CLARITY-TIMI 28 Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day vs placebo Patients received a fibrinolytic agent, aspirin, and when appropriate, heparin. Patients were also scheduled to undergo angiography 48 to 192 hours after the start of the	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients 18 to 75 years of age who presented within 12 hours after the onset of an STEMI	N=3,491 30 days (study medication given up to, and including, the day of angiography, or up to day 8 or hospital discharge if no angiography)	Primary: Composite of an occluded infarct-related artery on angiography, death or recurrent MI before angiography (death or recurrent MI by day eight or hospital discharge in patients who did not undergo angiography) Secondary: Safety	Primary: The primary end point was reached in 15.0% of patients receiving clopidogrel compared to 21.7% of patients receiving placebo, representing an absolute reduction of 6.7% in the rate and 36% in the odds of reaching the end point with clopidogrel therapy (95% CI, 27 to 47; <i>P</i> <0.001). By 30 days, clopidogrel therapy reduced the odds of the composite end point of death from cardiovascular causes, recurrent MI or recurrent ischemia leading to the need for urgent revascularization by 20% (from 14.1 to 11.6%; <i>P</i> =0.03). Secondary: The rates of major bleeding and intracranial hemorrhage were similar in the two groups.
Ahmed et al ⁴⁸ Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day vs placebo Patients received a fibrinolytic agent, aspirin, and when appropriate, heparin.	Substudy of CLARITY-TIMI 28 trial ⁴⁶ Patients 18 to 75 years of age who presented within 12 hours after the onset of an STEMI stratified by baseline GFR	N=3,252 30 days (study medication given up to, and including, the day of angiography, or up to day 8 or hospital discharge if no angiography)	Primary: Composite of an occluded infarct-related artery on angiography, all-cause mortality or recurrent MI prior to angiography (death or recurrent MI by day eight or hospital discharge in patients who did not undergo angiography) Secondary: Composite clinical	Primary: There was a significant trend for an increased rate of the primary composite endpoint with lower GFR and was the highest rate (23.4%) in patients with moderately reduced GFR (<i>P</i> =0.003). Secondary: By day 30, both the rates of the composite clinical endpoint (<i>P</i> <0.0001) and the safety endpoints of bleeding (<i>P</i> =0.0008) and intracranial hemorrhage (<i>P</i> =0.03) also trended towards a significant increase with lower GFRs. By day 30, there was a significant trend for an increased rate of cardiovascular death with lower GFR and was the highest rate (11.3%) in patients with moderately reduced GFR (<i>P</i> <0.0001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI, or recurrent ischemia leading to urgent revascularization at 30 days; cardiovascular death; safety	
COMMIT Collaborative Group ⁴⁹ COMMIT Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 162 mg/day vs aspirin 162 mg/day	MC, PC, RCT Patients admitted to the hospital within 24 hours of suspected acute MI	N=45,852 15 days (mean)	Primary: Composite of death, re-infarction or stroke; death from any cause Secondary: Safety	Primary: Combination therapy produced a highly significant nine percent proportional reduction in death, reinfarction or stroke compared to aspirin (actual reductions 9.2 vs 10.1%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.002), corresponding to nine fewer events/1,000 patients treated for about two weeks. There was also a significant seven percent proportional reduction in any death in the combination therapy group compared to the aspirin group (7.5 vs 8.1%; <i>P</i> =0.03).
aspinin 162 mg/day				Secondary: Considering all fatal, transfused or cerebral bleeds together, no significant excess risk was noted with combination therapy compared to aspirin; either overall (0.58 vs 0.55%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.59), in patients >70 years of age (<i>P</i> value not reported) or in those given fibrinolytic therapy (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Bhatt et al ⁵⁰ CHARISMA Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day vs aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	DB, MC, PC, RCT Patients ≥45 years of age with clinically evident cardiovascular disease	N=15,603 Median 28 months	Primary: Composite of first occurrence of MI, stroke or death from cardiovascular causes Secondary: First occurrence of MI, stroke, death from cardiovascular causes or	Primary: The rate of the composite of MI, stroke or death from cardiovascular causes was 6.8% with combination therapy and 7.3% with aspirin (RR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.05; <i>P</i> =0.22). The rate of the primary end point among patients with multiple risk factors was 6.6% with combination therapy and 5.5% with aspirin (RR, 1.2; 95% CI, 0.91 to 1.59; <i>P</i> =0.20), and the rate of death from cardiovascular causes also was higher with combination therapy (3.9 vs 2.2%; <i>P</i> =0.01). In the subgroup with clinically evident atherothrombosis, the rate was 6.9% with combination therapy and 7.9% with aspirin (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.046).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
			hospitalization for unstable angina, TIA or revascularization procedure; safety	Secondary: The secondary end point was reached in 16.7 and 17.9% (RR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.04) of patients receiving combination therapy and aspirin, respectively.
				The rate of severe bleeding was 1.7 and 1.3% (RR, 1.25; 95% CI, 0.97 to 1.61; <i>P</i> =0.09) for patients receiving combination therapy and aspirin.
Dasgupta et al ⁵¹	Post hoc analysis of CHARISMA ⁴⁹	N=2,009	Primary: Composite of first	Primary: Almost all cardiovascular events occurred significantly more frequently in
Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	Post hoc analysis of patients with	Median 28 months	occurrence of MI, stroke or death from cardiovascular	diabetic patients with neuropathy. Patients with diabetic neuropathy had a higher case fatality rate of MI compared to diabetic patients without nephropathy and nondiabetic patients (20 vs 14 vs 11%, respectively), but this
VS	diabetic neuropathy in the		causes	higher rate was not significant (<i>P</i> =0.240).
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	CHARISMA trial, who were ≥45 years of age with clinically evident cardiovascular disease or		Secondary: First occurrence of MI, stroke, death from cardiovascular causes or hospitalization for	Secondary: Patients with nephropathy who were assigned clopidogrel experienced a significant increase in overall mortality (HR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.7; <i>P</i> =0.006) compared to placebo, as well as significantly increased cardiovascular mortality (HR, 1.7; 95% CI, 1.1 to 2.9; <i>P</i> =0.028).
	multiple atherothrombotic risk factors		unstable angina, TIA or revascularization procedure; safety	The frequency of bleeding in patients with diabetic nephropathy who received clopidogrel tended to be higher compared to placebo, but this increase was not significant (2.6 vs 1.5%; HR, 1.8; <i>P</i> =0.075).
Hart et al ⁵²	Post hoc analysis	N=593	Primary:	Primary:
Clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	of CHARISMA ⁴⁹ Post hoc analysis of participants	Median 28 months	Composite of first occurrence of MI, stroke or death from cardiovascular	There was no difference in the composite of stroke, MI or vascular death between patients receiving combination therapy (35 of 298 patients) and patients receiving aspirin (27 of 285 patients; <i>P</i> =0.40).
VS	with a history of AF in the		causes	Secondary: There was no difference in the composite of stroke, MI, vascular death or
aspirin 75 to 162 mg/day	CHARISMA trial, who were ≥45 years of age with		Secondary: First occurrence of MI, stroke, death	rehospitalization (70 vs 66 patients; P =0.93) or all cause mortality (29 vs 25 patients; P =0.69) between the two groups.
	clinically evident cardiovascular disease or		from cardiovascular causes, or hospitalization for	Stroke (ischemic and hemorrhagic) occurred in 15 patients receiving combination therapy (2.2% per year) and in 14 patients receiving aspirin (2.1% per year; HR, 1.03; 95% CI, 0.49 to 2.13; <i>P</i> =0.94).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	multiple atherothrombotic risk factors		unstable angina, TIA or revascularization procedure; safety	Severe or fatal extracranial hemorrhage occurred in six patients given combination therapy compared to three patients given aspirin alone (P =0.51), while intracranial bleeding occurred in three and one patients (P =0.62), respectively.
CURE Trial Investigators ⁵³ CURE Clopidogrel (300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day) plus aspirin vs aspirin	DB, PC, RCT Patients with NSTEMI, presenting within 24 hours of symptom onset	N=12,562 3 to 12 months	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or stroke (first primary outcome); composite of the first primary outcome or refractory ischemia (second primary outcome) Secondary: Severe ischemia, heart failure, need for revascularization, safety	Primary: A composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or stroke occurred in 9.3% of patients in the combination therapy group compared to 11.4% of patients in the aspirin group (RR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.90; P<0.001). When refractory ischemia was included with the first primary outcome, the composite rate was 16.5 vs 18.8% (RR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79 to 0.94; P<0.001). Secondary: Significant reductions in nonfatal MI (5.2 vs 6.7%), and trends toward reduction in death (5.1 vs 5.5%) and stroke (1.2 vs 1.4%) with combination therapy compared to aspirin were noted (P values not reported). The percentages of patients with in-hospital refractory or severe ischemia, recurrent angina, heart failure and revascularization procedures were also significantly lower with combination therapy (all P<0.05 vs aspirin). There were significantly more patients with major bleeds in the combination therapy group than in the aspirin group (3.7 vs 2.7%; RR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.13 to 1.67; P=0.001), but there were not significantly more patients with episodes of life-threatening bleeds (2.1 vs 1.8%; RR, 1.21; 95% CI, 0.95 to 1.56; P=0.13).
Wallentin et al ⁵⁴ PLATO Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID	AC, DB, DD, MC, PG, PRO, RCT Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS	N=18,624 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of the rate of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major	Primary: At 12 months, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite events compared to clopidogrel (9.8 vs 11.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.92; <i>P</i> <0.001). A treatment effect was seen within 30 days and persisted throughout the trial.
vs	within the previous 24 hours, with or		Secondary: Effect in patients for	The rate of major bleeding was not different between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.6 vs 11.2%; HR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.95 to 1.13; <i>P</i> =0.43).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	without ST- segment elevation		whom invasive treatment was planned; composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI, or stroke; composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent cardiac ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic event; individual components of the primary endpoint; all-cause mortality; other bleeding events; dyspnea; bradyarrhythmia; any other adverse event; results of laboratory safety tests	Secondary: In patients undergoing invasive procedures, significantly fewer composite events occurred with ticagrelor (8.9 vs 10.6%; HR, 8.4; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.94; \$P=0.003\$). Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (10.2 vs 12.3%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.92; \$P<0.001\$). Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent ischemia, recurrent ischemia, TIA, or other thrombotic event (14.6 vs 16.7; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.95; \$P<0.001\$). The rates of MI (5.8 vs 6.9%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.95; \$P=0.005\$) and vascular death (4.0 vs 5.1%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.91; \$P=0.001\$) were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rate of stroke was not different between the two treatments (1.5 vs 1.3%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.91 to 1.52; \$P=0.22\$). The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (4.5 vs 5.9%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.89; \$P<0.001\$). Data on minor bleeding events were not reported. Rates of fatal bleeding were not different between the two treatments (0.3 vs 0.3%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.48 to 1.59; \$P=0.66\$). The rate of fatal non-intracranial bleeding was significantly higher with clopidogrel (0.3 vs 0.1%, respectively; \$P=0.03\$). The rate of fatal intracranial bleeds was significantly higher with ticagrelor (0.10 vs 0.01%, respectively; \$P=0.02\$). The rate of dyspnea was significantly higher with ticagrelor (13.8 vs 7.8%; HR, 1.84; 95% CI, 1.68 to 2.02; \$P<0.001\$). From this group, 0.9 and 0.1% of patients discontinued treatment (HR, 6.12; 95% CI, 3.41 to 11.01; \$P<0.001\$). Rates of pacemaker insertion (\$P=0.87\$), syncope (\$P=0.08\$), bradycardia (\$P=0.21\$) and heart block (\$P=1.00\$) were not different between the two treatments.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70	Substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation, undergoing noninvasive procedures	N=5,216 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of the rate of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding events Secondary: Individual components of the primary composite endpoint; all-cause mortality; nonvascular mortality; composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent cardiac ischemia, TIA, or other arterial thrombotic event; subclasses of stroke; other bleeding events	Laboratory testing revealed significant increases in baseline serum uric acid with ticagrelor at one (<i>P</i> <0.001) and 12 months (<i>P</i> <0.001). Similar results were observed with serum creatinine (<i>P</i> <0.001 for both). One month after the end of treatment, there were no differences between the two treatments for either serum uric acid (<i>P</i> =0.56) or creatinine (<i>P</i> =0.59). Primary: At 12 months, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite events compared to clopidogrel (12.0 vs 14.3%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.73 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.045). The rate of major bleeding did not differ between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.9 vs 10.3%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.98 to 1.39; <i>P</i> =0.079). Secondary: The rate of vascular death was significantly lower with ticagrelor (5.5 vs 7.2%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.96; <i>P</i> =0.019). The rates of MI (7.2 vs 7.8%; HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.15; <i>P</i> =0.555) and stroke (2.1 vs 1.7%; HR, 1.35; 95% CI, 0.89 to 2.07; <i>P</i> =0.162) were not different between the two treatments. The rates of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (6.1 to 8.2%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.010). The rate of nonvascular death was not different between the two treatments (0.6 vs 1.0%; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.35 to 1.31; <i>P</i> =0.252). The rate of the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, composite ischemic events, or other arterial thrombotic events was not different between the two treatments (18.6 vs 20.3%; HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.06; <i>P</i> =0.309). The rates of ischemic (1.5 vs 1.4%; <i>P</i> =0.530), hemorrhagic (0.5 vs 0.2%; <i>P</i> =0.069) or unknown (0.20 vs 0.06%; <i>P</i> =0.124) strokes were not different between the two treatments.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Cannon et al ⁵⁶	Substudy of PLATO ⁵³	N=13,408	Primary: Composite endpoint	to 8.90; P =0.075) were not different between the two treatments. The rate of other major bleeding was significantly higher with ticagrelor (6.8 vs 4.9%; HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 1.09 to 1.76; P =0.009). The rates of non-CABG-related (P =1.03), CABG-related (P =0.335), coronary procedure related (P =0.231), noncoronary procedure related (P =0.072) bleeding were not different between the two treatments. The rate of major and minor bleeding was significantly higher with ticagrelor (16.4 vs 14.4%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.36; P =0.0358). Primary: At 12 months, ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer composite
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID	Adult patients hospitalized with	12 months	of vascular death, MI, or stroke; total major bleeding	events compared to clopidogrel (9.0 vs 10.7%; HR, 0.84; 95% Cl, 0.75 to 0.94; <i>P</i> =0.0025).
vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD	documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment		Secondary: Composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI, or stroke; composite	The rate of major bleeding did not differ between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (<i>P</i> =0.8803). Secondary: Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (9.4 vs 11.2%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI,
Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were	elevation, undergoing invasive procedures		endpoint of vascular death, MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent cardiac ischemia, TIA, or other arterial	0.75 to 0.94; <i>P</i> =0.0016). Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, composite ischemic events or other arterial thrombotic events (9.4 vs 11.2%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.0005).
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.			thrombotic event; components of the primary endpoint; all-cause mortality; stent thrombosis; other bleeding events; safety	The rates of MI (5.3 vs 6.6%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.92; P =0.0023) and vascular death (3.4 vs 4.3%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.98; P =0.0250) were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rate of stroke was not different between the two treatments (1.2 vs 1.1%; HR, 1.08; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.50; P =0.6460). The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (3.9 vs 5.0%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.95; P =0.0054).
				The rates of definite (1.3 vs 2.0%; HR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.88; <i>P</i> =0.0054), definite or probable (2.2 vs 3.0%; HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.94; <i>P</i> =0.0142)





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Sted et al ⁵⁷			Primary	and total (definite, probable or possible) (2.8 vs 3.8%; HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.92; P =0.0068) stent thrombosis were significantly lower with ticagrelor. The rates of life-threatening or fatal (P =0.6095), intracranial (P =0.4364) and other major bleeding (P =0.4030) were not different between the two treatments. The rates of total major or minor (P =0.0700), CABG-related (P =0.0710), coronary procedure-related (P =0.7768) and noncoronary procedure-related (P =0.3998) bleeding were not different between the two treatments. The rate of non-CABG-related bleeding was significantly higher with ticagrelor (8.9 vs 7.1%; HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.43; P =0.0004). The rate of dyspnea was significantly higher with ticagrelor (13.9 vs 8.0%; P <0.0001). Of the patients experiencing dyspnea, 0.8 and 0.2% discontinued treatment (P value not reported).
Steg et al ⁵⁷ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID	Substudy of the PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with	N=7,544 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding	Primary: At 12 months, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (9.4 vs 10.8%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.01; <i>P</i> =0.07).
vs	documented ACS within the previous 24		Secondary: Composite endpoint	The rate of major bleeding did not differ between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (HR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.8 to 1.14; <i>P</i> =0.76).
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70	hours, with ST- segment elevation or left bundle-branch block		of vascular death or MI (excluding silent); composite endpoint of all-cause mortality, MI	Secondary: Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death and MI (8.4 vs 10.2%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.69; <i>P</i> =0.01).
to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant.			(excluding silent), or stroke; composite endpoint of vascular	Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of all-cause mortality, MI or stroke (9.8 vs 11.3%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.05).
For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose.			death, total MI, stroke, severe recurrent cardiac ischemia, recurrent	Ticagrelor was associated with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death, MI, stroke, composite ischemic events or other arterial thrombotic events (13.3 vs 15.0%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.99;
In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed			ischemia, TIA, or other arterial	<i>P</i> =0.03).





	01-1-2	0		
	Study Design	Sample Size		
Study and Drug Regimen	and	and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
for 6 months.	2 c 2 j. api 2		thrombotic events; components of the primary endpoint; all-cause mortality; severe recurrent cardiac ischemia; recurrent ischemia; TIA; arterial thrombotic events; stent thrombosis; safety	The rates of MI (4.7 vs 5.8%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.03) and stroke (1.7 vs 1.0%; HR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.07 to 2.48; <i>P</i> =0.02) were significantly lower with ticagrelor, but not vascular death (4.5 vs 5.5%; HR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.02; <i>P</i> =0.07). The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly lower with ticagrelor (5.0 vs 6.1%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.67 to 1.00; <i>P</i> =0.05). The rates of severe recurrent cardiac ischemia (2.7 vs 3.2%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.61 to 1.06; <i>P</i> =0.13), TIA (0.2 vs 0.2%; <i>P</i> value not reported) and arterial thrombotic events (0.3 vs 0.4%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.28 to 1.51; <i>P</i> =0.32) were not different between the two treatments. The rate of recurrent ischemia was significantly lower with ticagrelor (4.3 vs 5.1%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.65 to 1.01; <i>P</i> =0.05). The rates of definite or probable stent thrombosis was not different between
				the two treatments (2.6 vs 3.4%; HR, 0.74; 95% CI, 0.55 to 1.00; P =0.05). The rates of definite, probable or possible (3.3 vs 4.3%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.99; P =0.04) and definite (1.6 vs 2.4%; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.95; P =0.03) stent thromboses were significantly lower with ticagrelor.
				The rates of fatal (P value not reported), life-threatening (P =0.86), major (P =0.76), major and minor (P =0.43), CABG-related (major; P =0.30, major and minor; P =0.26), non-CABG-related (major; P =0.61, major and minor; P =0.11), procedure-related (major; P =0.83, major and minor; P =0.72) and major non-procedure-related (P =0.30) bleeding were not different between the two treatments. The rate of non-procedure-related major and minor bleeding was significantly lower with clopidogrel (5.1 vs 3.7%; HR, 1.31; 95% CI, 1.04 to 1.66; P =0.02).
		N 45 000		The rate of dyspnea was significantly higher with ticagrelor (12.6 vs 8.4%; P <0.0001), and caused significantly more treatment discontinuations (0.5 vs 0.1%; P =0.0004). Rates of bradycardia (P =0.83), syncope (P =0.18), heart block (P =0.64) and pacemaker insertion (P =0.20) were not different between the two treatments.
James et al ⁵⁸	Substudy of	N=15,202	Primary:	Primary:





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation and CKD (creatine clearance <60 mL/minute)	12 months	Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding Secondary: All-cause mortality, other bleeding events, safety	In patients with CKD, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (17.3 vs 22.0%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.90; <i>P</i> =0.13). In patients with CKD, there was no difference in the rate of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (15.1 vs 14.3%; HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.03; <i>P</i> =0.92). Secondary: In patients with CKD, the rate of all-cause mortality was not different between the two treatments (10.0 vs 14.0%; HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.89; <i>P</i> =0.16). In patients with CKD, the rates of major or minor (<i>P</i> =0.54), non-CABG-related major (<i>P</i> =0.77), fatal major (<i>P</i> =0.06) and intracranial bleeding (<i>P</i> =0.69) were not different between the two treatments. In patients with CKD, the rate of dyspnea was significantly less with clopidogrel (16.4 vs 11.5%; HR, 1.54; 95% CI, 1.27 to 1.88; <i>P</i> =0.04). In patients with CKD, the rate of ventricular pauses was no different between the two treatments (5.4 vs 4.6%; HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.51 to 2.52; <i>P</i> =0.56).
James et al ⁵⁹ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance	Substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation and diabetes	N=4,662 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding Secondary: All-cause mortality, MI, definite stent thrombosis, other bleeding events	Primary: In patients with diabetes, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (14.1 vs 16.2%; HR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.76 to 1.03). In patients with diabetes, there was no difference in the rate of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (14.1 vs 14.8%; HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.81 to 1.12). Secondary: In patients with diabetes, the rate of all-cause mortality was not different between the two treatments (7.0 vs 8.7%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.01). In patients with diabetes, the rate of MI was not different between the two





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
therapy, unless intolerant.				treatments (8.4 vs 9.1%; HR, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.75 to 1.13).
For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose.				In patients with diabetes, the rate of definite stent thrombosis was not different between the two treatments (1.6 vs 2.4%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.36 to 1.17).
In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.				In patients with diabetes, the rates of non-CABG-related major (5.5 vs 4.9%; HR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.49) and CABG-related major bleeding (9.3 vs 10.4%; HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.09) were not different between the two treatments.
Held et al ⁶⁰ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID	RETRO substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with	N=1,261 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of vascular death, MI, or stroke after CABG; major	Primary: There was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel with regards to the primary composite endpoint (10.6 vs 13.1%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.60 to 1.16; <i>P</i> =0.2862).
vs	documented ACS within the previous 24		CABG-related bleeding	There was no difference between ticagrelor and clopidogrel in the rate of major CABG-related bleeding (81.3 vs 80.1%; HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.15; <i>P</i> =0.84).
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD	hours, with or without ST- segment elevation who		Secondary: Individual components of the primary endpoint	Secondary: Rates of MI (excluding silent) (6.0 vs 5.7%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.68; P=0.8193) and stroke (2.1 vs 2.1%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.53 to 2.62; P=0.6967)
Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant.	underwent CABG		after CABG; all- cause mortality after CABG; other bleeding events	were not different between the two treatments. The rate of vascular death was significantly less with ticagrelor (4.1 vs 7.9%; HR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.85; P =0.0092).
For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose.			after CABG	The rate of all-cause mortality was significantly less with ticagrelor (4.7 vs 9.7%; HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.77; <i>P</i> =0.0018).
In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.				The rates of life-threatening or fatal CABG-related bleeding were not different between the two treatments (42.6 vs 43.7%; HR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.87 to 1.21; <i>P</i> =0.77).
Wallentin et al ⁶¹	Genetic (CYP 2C19 and	N=10,285	Primary: Composite endpoint	Primary: In patients with any loss-of-function allele, ticagrelor was associated with
Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg	ABCB1) substudy of	12 months	of vascular death, MI, or stroke; major	significantly fewer composite events compared to clopidogrel (8.3 vs 10.7%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.99; <i>P</i> =0.0380).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
vs clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation	Daración	bleeding (loss-of-function allele) Secondary: Composite endpoint of vascular death or MI, definite stent thrombosis, major bleeding (gain-of-function allele), other bleeding events, net clinical benefit	In patients with any loss-of-function allele, there was no difference in the rate of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (10.8 vs 10.4%; HR, 1.04; 95% Cl, 0.82 to 1.30; <i>P</i> =0.77). Secondary: In patients with any loss-of-function allele, ticagrelor was association with significantly fewer events with regards to the composite of vascular death or MI (7.4 vs 9.9%; HR, 0.73; 95% Cl, 0.51 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.0184). In patients with any loss-of-function allele, the rate of definite stent thrombosis was not different between the two treatments (1.6 vs 2.2%; HR, 0.71; 95% Cl, 0.36 to 1.37; <i>P</i> =0.30). In patients with any gain-of-function allele, the rate of major bleeding was not different between the two treatments (9.5 vs 10.8%; HR, 0.86; 95% Cl, 0.71 to 1.05; <i>P</i> =0.13). In patients with any loss-of-function allele, the rates of non-CABG-related major (4.1 vs 3.0%; HR, 1.39; 95% Cl, 0.93 to 2.08; <i>P</i> =0.11) and CABG-relate major bleeding (7.0 vs 7.8%; HR, 0.87; 95% Cl, 0.66 to 1.14; <i>P</i> =0.31) were not different between the two treatments. In patients with any loss-of-function allele, the net clinical benefit was not different between the two treatments (14.7 vs 16.6%; HR, 0.88; 95% Cl, 0.72 to 1.06; <i>P</i> =0.17). In patients with no loss-of-function, clopidogrel was significantly favored (13.4 vs 15.2%; HR, 0.86, 95% Cl, 0.76 to 0.97;
Mahaffey et al ⁶² Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg BID vs	Substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24	N=1,413 12 months	Primary: Composite endpoint of the vascular death, MI, or stroke; major bleeding Secondary: Individual	P=0.0172). Primary: Within the United States, there was no difference in the rate of the primary composite endpoint between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.9 vs 9.5%; HR, 1.27; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.75; P=0.1459). For the rest of world, ticagrelor was significantly favored (9.0 vs 11.0%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.90; P<0.001). Within the United States, there was no difference in the rates of major bleeding between ticagrelor and clopidogrel (11.3 vs 11.0%; HR, 1.05; 95%





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
clopidogrel 300 mg loading dose, followed by 75 mg QD Patients received aspirin 70 to 100 mg/day maintenance therapy, unless intolerant. For patients who were aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose. In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.	hours, with or without ST-segment elevation who received treatment in the United States	Duration	components of the primary composite endpoint, all-cause mortality, other bleeding events	CI, 0.76 to 1.45; P =0.7572). Secondary: Within the United States, the rates of vascular death (3.4 vs 2.7%; HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 0.69 to 2.31; P =0.4468), MI (9.1 vs 6.7%; HR, 1.38; 95% CI, 0.95 to 2.01; P =0.0956) and stroke (1.0 vs 0.6%; HR, 1.75; 95% CI, 0.51 to 0.597; P =0.3730) were not different between the two treatments. For the rest of world, ticagrelor was significantly favored for reducing vascular death (3.8 vs 4.9%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.89; P =0.0005) and MI (5.1 vs 6.4%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.70 to 0.90; P =0.0004). Within the United States, the rate of all-cause mortality was not different between the two treatments (4.0 vs 3.4%; HR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.68 to 2.01; P =0.5812). For the rest of world, ticagrelor was significantly favored (4.3 vs 5.6%; HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.88; P =0.0001). Within the United States, the rates of non-CAGB-related major (4.3 vs 3.7%; HR, 1.20; 95% CI, 0.70 to 2.04; P =0.5115) and major or minor bleeding (14.8 vs 13.6%; HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.84; P =0.4599) were not different between the two treatments. For the rest of the world, clopidogrel was significantly favored (3.9 vs 3.3%; HR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.39; P =0.0330 and 14.5 vs 13.2%; HR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.20; P =0.0114). For the entire population, results for the overall cohort yields an HR of 1.45 (95% CI, 1.01 to 2.09) favoring clopidogrel for maintenance aspirin doses ≥300 mg/day and HR of 0.77 (95% CI, 0.69 to 0.86) favoring ticagrelor for a maintenance aspirin dose ≤100 mg/day. The interaction between aspirin dose category and treatment is significant (P =0.00006). Within the United States, for patients receiving daily aspirin doses ≥300 mg, the event rate was 40 vs 27 with ticagrelor and clopidogrel (HR, 1.62; 95% CI, 0.99 to 2.94). The event rate was 19 vs 24 in patients receiving ≤100 mg/day of aspirin (HR, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.40 to 1.33).
Storey et al ⁶³ Ticagrelor 180 mg loading dose, followed by 90 mg	Substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients	N=199 12 months	Primary: FEV ₁ after the completion of study treatment (six, nine,	Primary: FEV ₁ values at the different evaluated time points were similar between treatments before and 20 minutes after inhalation of a β agonist (P values not reported).





and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
hospitalized with		or 12 months	
		depending on phase	Secondary:
· · · ·			There was no apparent change in FEV ₁ before and 20 minutes after inhalation
		PLATO trial)	of a β agonist over time with either treatment and after the discontinuation of the study medication (P value not reported). Similar numbers of ticagrelor- and
		Secondary:	clopidogrel-treated patients showed >10% improvement in FEV ₁ over time
		,	(seven and 12), with similar numbers of these patients showing improvement
elevation		month of treatment	at the first visit after inhaled β agonist.
		and one month after	
		the discontinuation	The results of other pulmonary function parameters were also similar between
		,	the two treatments, with no apparent change over time and after
			discontinuation of study medication.
			Dyspnea or heart failure was noted in six and seven patients receiving
		daroty	ticagrelor and clopidogrel; pulmonary function parameters for these patients
			were consistent with findings in the rest of the treatment cohorts.
0 1 1 1	N. 40 404	Diama	D. Control
	N=18,421		Primary: There was a similar incidence of PLATO major bleeding between patients
PLATO	12 months		randomized to receive clopidogrel and ticagrelor (11.6 vs 11.2%, respectively;
Adult patients	12 1110111110	biccarrig	P=0.43).
hospitalized with		Secondary:	/
documented ACS		Categories of	The incidence of procedure-related (P=0.62), coronary procedure-related
			(P=0.73), and non-coronary procedure-related (P=0.22), non-CABG related
•			procedural (<i>P</i> =0.55), CABG-related (<i>P</i> =0.31) and coronary angiography
			related (P=0.48) major bleeding were similar between the groups.
			There was a lower incidence of non-procedure related (spontaneous), total
elevation		transfusion of blood	non-CABG-related and PCI related PLATO major bleeding with clopidogrel
		products	compared to ticagrelor (<i>P</i> =0.01, <i>P</i> =0.03 and <i>P</i> =0.05, respectively).
			Secondary:
			Total PLATO major or minor bleeding was significantly lower with clopidogrel compared to ticagrelor (14.6 vs 16.1%; <i>P</i> =0.01). Non-procedure-related
	Demographics hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation Substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment	Demographics hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment elevation Substudy of PLATO ⁵³ Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST- segment	Demographics hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation Substudy of PLATO safety Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation Substudy of PLATO safety N=18,421 Primary: PLATO total major bleeding Adult patients hospitalized with documented ACS within the previous 24 hours, with or without ST-segment elevation Duration or 12 months depending on phase of entry into the PLATO trial) Secondary: FEV1 after one month of treatment and one month after the discontinuation of treatment, other measures of pulmonary function, safety Primary: PLATO total major bleeding Secondary: Categories of PLATO major bleeding and minor bleeding combined, TIMI and GUSTO bleeding scales and transfusion of blood





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
aspirin-naïve, 325 mg was the preferred loading dose.				(spontaneous), non-CABG-related and PCI-related major or minor bleeding was also significantly lower with clopidogrel compared to ticagrelor (<i>P</i> <0.0001, <i>P</i> <0.0001 and <i>P</i> =0.01, respectively).
In patients receiving a stent, 325 mg was allowed for 6 months.				Procedure-related, coronary procedure-related, non-coronary-related, CABG-and non-CABG-related and coronary angiography related bleeding did not differ between patients receiving clopidogrel or ticagrelor (<i>P</i> >0.05 for all).
				The percent of patients who experienced TIMI major bleeding was similar between the ticagrelor and clopidogrel treatment arms (7.9 vs 7.7%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.57).
				Clopidogrel-treated patients experienced significantly less mild GUSTO bleeding compared to ticagrelor (P =0.01); however, no differences were reported for moderate (P =0.07) or major GUSTO bleeding (P =0.37).
				Transfusion of either packed red blood cells or whole blood for all clinical contexts did not differ between the treatment groups (8.5 vs. 8.3%; <i>P</i> =0.81).
Procedures and/or Surgery		•		
Banerjee et al ⁶⁵	RETRO	N=530	Primary:	Primary:
			All cause mortality	Twelve (3.5%) patients who received clopidogrel for ≥1 year died compared to
Clopidogrel for ≥1 year	Patients who	2.4±0.8		28 (15%) patients who received clopidogrel for <1 year (<i>P</i> <0.001).
following PCI	underwent PCI	years (mean	Secondary:	
		follow-up)	Incidence of major	On a multivariate analysis, the use of clopidogrel for ≥1 year was associated
VS			adverse cardiovascular	with lower mortality (HR, 0.28; 95% CI, 0.14 to 0.59; <i>P</i> <0.001), independent of
clopidogrel for <1 year following PCI			events (composite of all cause death,	traditional cardiovascular risk factors, clinical presentation and drug eluting stent use.
Patients were free of cardiovascular events for 6 months after PCI, and had			nonfatal MI and repeat coronary revascularization by PCI or CABG)	Survival in the <1 and ≥1 year clopidogrel groups was 97 and 99%, respectively, at two years after PCI, and 80 and 93%, respectively, at three years after PCI.
follow-up available for >12 months.			. 3. 3. 3. 153)	Secondary: There were no significant differences in the incidence of nonfatal MI (<i>P</i> =0.50), repeat coronary revascularization (<i>P</i> =0.16) or major adverse cardiovascular events between the two groups (<i>P</i> =0.10). Patients who experienced major





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				adverse cardiovascular events were significantly older and had preexisting CAD, and those who died were more likely to have chronic renal disease and heart failure.
CURRENT-OASIS 7 ⁶⁶ Clopidogrel 600 mg once, followed by 150 mg/day for 6 days, followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day through day 30 (double dose) vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day for 6 days, followed by 75 mg/day for 6 days, followed by 75 mg/day through day 30 (standard dose) and aspirin ≥300 mg/day once, followed by 75 to 100 mg/day through day 30 (low-dose) vs aspirin ≥300 mg/day once, followed by 300 to 325 mg/day through day 30 (high-dose) All patients were to undergo early angiography	2x2 factorial design, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age who presented with a NSTE ACS or a STEMI	N=25,086 (n=17,263 underwent PCI) 30 days	Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or stroke Secondary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, MI, stroke or recurrent ischemia; the individual components of the primary endpoint; death from any cause; bleeding	Primary: The primary outcome occurred in 4.2% of patients in the double-dose group compared to 4.4% with the standard dose group (HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.06; <i>P</i> =0.30). Overall, 4.2% of the patients in the high-dose aspirin group had a primary outcome event compared to 4.4% of patients in the low-dose aspirin group (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.09; <i>P</i> =0.61). A nominally significant interaction between the clopidogrel dose comparison and the aspirin dose comparison for the primary outcome was noted (<i>P</i> =0.04). Among patients assigned to high-dose aspirin, the primary outcome occurred in 3.8 and 4.6% in the double and standard clopidogrel dose groups (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.03). Among patients assigned to low-dose aspirin, there was no significant difference between the double and standard clopidogrel groups (4.5 vs 4.2%; HR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.90 to 1.26; <i>P</i> =0.46). Secondary: Consistent results were observed for each component of the primary outcome, as well as for the expanded composite endpoint for the clopidogrel and aspirin dose comparison. A nominally significant reduction in recurrent ischemia alone was associated with high-dose aspirin as compared to low-dose aspirin (0.3 vs 0.5%; HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.94; <i>P</i> =0.02). The rate of death from any cause did not differ significantly between the double and standard dose groups (2.3 vs 2.4%; HR with the double dose, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.82 to 1.13; <i>P</i> =0.61). Death from any cause occurred in 2.2 and 2.5% of patients in the high- and low-dose groups (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.03; <i>P</i> =0.10). Major bleeding occurred in 2.5 and 2.0% of patients in the double and standard dose groups (HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.05 to 1.46; <i>P</i> =0.01). The aspirin groups did not differ significantly with respect to major bleeding (<i>P</i> value not reported). There was a nominally significant increase in the increase of minor bleeding among patients who received high-dose aspirin (HR, 1.13; 95% CI,





and PCI. if appropriate, no later than 72 hours after randomization. BB, MC, PC, PCI-CLARITY Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Bh, RCT PCI-CLARITY-TIMI 28) DB, RCT Patients with STEMI who received Apply in the received apply in CLARITY-TIMI 28) DB, RCT Patients with STEMI who received Apply in the received apply in CLARITY-TIMI 28) DB, RCT Patients with STEMI who received Apply in the received apply in CLARITY-TIMI 28) DB, RCT Patients with STEMI who received Apply in the received apply in CLARITY-TIMI 28) DB, RCT Pol-CLARITY-TIMI 28) DB, RCT	Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
later than 72 hours after randomization. Sabatine et al ⁸⁷ PCI-CLARITY PCI-CLARITY PS to 162 mg/day VS RCT DB, MC, PC, RCT RCT Patients with STEMI who received by 75 to 162 mg/day vs Sabine et al ⁸⁸ Policy by 75 to 162 mg/day Nehta et al ⁸⁸ PCI-CLURE Policy DB, RCT PCI-CURE Policy DB, RCT PCI-CURE Policy DB, RCT Po	and PCI, if appropriate, no	Demographics	Duration		1.00 to 1.27; <i>P</i> =0.04). There was a small increase in the incidence of major
Sabatine et al ^{SC} PCI-CLARITY PCI-CLARITY PCI-CLARITY Composite of cardiovascular death, recurrent MI or stroke from PCI to 30 days after randomization to 30 days aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Sabatine et al ^{SC} RCT					gastrointestinal bleeding among patients who received high-dose aspirin, as
Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Patients with spirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Patients with separate to perferentment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment with clopidogrel also reduced the incidence of MI or stroke prior to PCI (4.0 vs 6.2%; OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.95; P=0.003). Secondary: Secondary: Secondary: Alter PCI, patients received aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI, sented patients received on the candomly assigned study medication of the randomly assigned study redication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up of such assignment of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up of allowed by 75 to 162 mg/day Patients with soft death, recurrent MI or stroke from PCI to 30 days after randomization Secondary: N=2,658 Patients with or stroke prior to PCI (4.0 vs 6.2%; OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment with clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81;					
Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day vs aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day vs aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et at i ⁶⁸ PCI-CURE Patients with Nor Eceived Classpirin plus a thienopyriding loss and the experience of the CuRE study undergoing PCI After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyriding (clopidogrel or placebo or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) 30 days Cardiovascular death, recurrent MI or stroke before PCI, to 30 days after randomization to 30 days after randomization underwent PCI to 30 days after randomization to 30 days (150 to 40 to 95; P=0.03). Secondary: Overall, pretreatment without clopidogrel (3.6 vs 6.2%; death, recurrent MI or stroke perior to PCI (4.0 vs 6.2%; OR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.30 to 0.85; P=0.008). Pretreatment with clopidogrel also reduced the incidence of MI or stroke prior to PCI (4.0 vs 6.2%; OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.95; P=0.03). Secondary: Overall, pretreatment with clopidogrel significantly reduced the secondary outcome (7.5 vs 12.0%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81; P=0.001). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin plus a time of PCI to scheduled and of trial Secondary: Overall, pretreatment with clopidogrel significantly reduced the secondary outcome (7.5 vs 12.0%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81; P=0.001). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients received patients received patients received aspirin plus a time portion of the scheduled follow-up after PCI Patients with STE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with STE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with STE ACS from the			N=1,863		
Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day pllus aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day vs apirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Behta et al ⁶³ Prior to PCI, patients with NSTE ACS from the colved aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus attiencypridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI, sumed patients received study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Patients with STEMI who rather with Clopidogrel and underwent PCI (4.0 vs 6.2%, OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.85; P=0.003). Pretreatment with copidogrel also reduced the incidence of MI or stroke prior to PCI (4.0 vs 6.2%, OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.95; P=0.03). Secondary: N=2,658 Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower rate of cardiovascular death or MI from within 30 days of PCI to scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) At 100 mg/day DB, RCT Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI After PCI, stented patients received aspirin plus a tilenopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) At 100 mg/day DB, RCT Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE A	PCI-CLARITY	RCT			
followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day vs aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al. PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI (stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI (stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI (stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3) to 12 months) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3) to 12 months) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3) to 12 months) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3) to 12 months) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus athienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3) to 12 months (4) to 12 month		.	30 days		
aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day vs aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et af [®] PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) received dibriolytics and underwent PCI (after mandated angiography in CLARITY-TIMI 28) To 30 days after randomization randomization randomization randomization randomization randomization to 30 days Well as a point from cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel reveascularization within 30 days of PCI; cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). There was no significantly reduced the secondary: Oxecondary: Oxecondary					
followed by 75 to 162 mg/day In fibrinolytics and underwent PCI (after mandated angiography in CLARITY-TIMI angiography in CLARITY-TIMI aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al ⁸⁶ PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) In follow-up (3 to 12 months) In fibrinolytics and underwent PCI (after mandated angiography in CLARITY-TIMI 28) In stroke before PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days In patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI and the patients received 10 aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization within 30 days of PCI; cardiovascular death, MI or any revascularization (P=0.03), and of cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significantly reduced the secondary outcome (7.5 vs 12.0%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81; P=0.001). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary. Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent targe					
underwent PCI (after mandated angiography in CLARITY-TIMI 28) aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al ⁸⁸ PCI-CURE PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Secondary: Secondary: Overall, pretreatment with clopidogrel significantly reduced the secondary outcome (7.5 vs 12.0%; adjusted OR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.81; P=0.001). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination for excess and significant excess in the rates of major or mi				,	6.2%; OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.03).
Secondary: CLARITY-TIMI 28 Secondary: MI or stroke before PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days	,			randomization	Cocondon
aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al ⁶⁸ PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the arandomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Again or stroke before PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days MI or stroke before PCI, the primary end point from patients received on the primary: N=2,658 Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent death or MI from time of PCI to scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Again for stroke before PCI, the primary end point from patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent drarget-vessel revascularization or follow-up after PCI) After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) After PCI, patients Brimary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent death, MI or any revascularization of the randomly assigned two MI (P=0.03). Coverall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups of the patients receiving combination throat pati	mg/day			Socondon.	
aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al ⁶⁸ PCI-CURE PAtients with received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo Officiologidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the eand of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) CLÂRITY-TIMI 28 PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days PCI, the primary end point from randomization to 30 days Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of follow-up after PCI) Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI N=2,658 Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower rate of cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). CLÂRITY-TIMI 28 PCI, the primary end point trore was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleedi	VC	`			
aspirin 150 to 325 mg once, followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al ⁶⁸ PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopryridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Prior to PCI, patients PRECT N=2,658 Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of cardiovascular death or MI from patients receively; P>0.99). There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death of a bar or significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in patients receiving combination therapy compared to aspirin (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). Primary: Four and a half percent of patients in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin group (P=0.03). Long-term administration of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower rate of cardiovascular death, MI or any revascularization (2.0 vs 1.9%, respectively; P>0.99). Primary: Four and a half percent of patients in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin group (P=0.03). Long-term administration of clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported	VS				(7.5 VS 12.0%, adjusted OK, 0.59, 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.61, F=0.001).
followed by 75 to 162 mg/day Mehta et al ⁶⁸ PCI-CURE Prior to PCI, patients with Copidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) PB, RCT N=2,658 8 months (average duration of adys or respectively; P>0.99). Primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization within 30 days of PCI; cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.03), and of cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64).	asnirin 150 to 325 mg once	_			There was no significant excess in the rates of major or minor bleeding in
Mehta et al ⁵⁸ PCI-CURE Patients with Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) DB, RCT Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI A months (average duration of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of follow-up after PCI) A months (average duration of follow-up after PCI) A fer PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) A fer PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) A fer PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the		20)			
Mehta et al ⁸⁸ PCI-CURE Patients with Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) DB, RCT Patients with Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI N=2,658 8 months (average duration of sometime of primary: Composite of cardiovascular death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization within 30 days of PCI; cardiovascular death or MI from time of PCI to scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months) Primary: Four and a half percent of patients in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6.4% in the aspirin plus clopidogrel group had the main primary end point compared to 6					
Postients Prior to PCI, patients Postients Prior to PCI, patients Posting ACS from the CURE study Clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients Preceived OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 Weeks; after which administration of the Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Patients with NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI Poundation of follow-up after PCI PCI; cardiovascular death or MI from time of PCI to scheduled end of trial At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported	Mehta et al ⁶⁸	DB. RCT	N=2.658		
Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months Patients with NSTE ACS from the CVRE study undergoing PCI 8 months (average duration of target-vessel revascular; death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization within 30 days of PCI; cardiovascular death or MI from time of PCI to scheduled of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months 8 months (average duration of target-vessel revascularization target-vessel revascularization of target-vessel revascularization target-vessel revascularization of the follow-up after PCI of Scheduled duration of target-vessel revascularization of target-vessel revascularization of the follow-up after PCI of Scheduled and of trial significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.04). Secondary: Not reported		,			
Prior to PCI, patients received aspirin plus clopidogrel or placebo After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months NSTE ACS from the CURE study undergoing PCI (average duration of follow-up after PCI) death, MI or urgent target-vessel revascularization of follow-up after PCI) Death of target-vessel revascularization of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower rate of cardiovascular death, MI or any revascularization (P=0.03), and of cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Not reported		Patients with	8 months		
the CURE study undergoing PCI target-vessel revascularization of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower rate of cardiovascular death, MI or any revascularization (P=0.047). PCI; cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported follow-up (3 to 12 months	Prior to PCI, patients	NSTE ACS from	(average	death, MI or urgent	
After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months after PCI) within 30 days of PCI; cardiovascular death or MI (P=0.047). Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported		the CURE study			Long-term administration of clopidogrel after PCI was associated with a lower
After PCI, stented patients received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months PCI; cardiovascular death or MI from time of PCI to scheduled end of trial Overall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported	clopidogrel or placebo	undergoing PCI	follow-up	revascularization	rate of cardiovascular death, MI or any revascularization (P=0.03), and of
received OL aspirin plus a thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months Doverall, clopidogrel was associated with a 31% reduction in cardiovascular death or MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not repor			after PCI)	within 30 days of	cardiovascular death or MI (<i>P</i> =0.047).
thienopyridine (clopidogrel or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months time of PCI to scheduled end of time of PCI to scheduled end of trial administration of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months time of PCI to scheduled end of the scheduled follow-up in the time of PCI to scheduled end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months time of PCI to scheduled end of trial administration of MI, including events before and after PCI (P=0.002). At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Not reported				,	
or ticlopidine) for 2 to 4 weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months scheduled end of trial At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported					
weeks; after which administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months trial At follow-up, there was no significant difference in major bleeding between the groups (<i>P</i> =0.64). Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported					death or MI, including events before and after PCI (<i>P</i> =0.002).
administration of the randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months groups (P=0.64). Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported					
randomly assigned study medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months Secondary: Not reported Secondary: Not reported Not reported				trial	
medication (clopidogrel or placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months					groups (<i>P</i> =0.64).
placebo) resumed until the end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months					Casandanii
end of the scheduled follow-up (3 to 12 months				імот геропеа	·
follow-up (3 to 12 months					постеропеа
	after initial randomization).				





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Steinhubl et al ⁶⁹	DB, MC, PC,	N=2,116	Primary:	Primary:
CREDO	RCT		One year incidence	Long-term (one year) clopidogrel plus aspirin was associated with a 26.9% RR
0, 1, 1,000 (0,	Deffects	12 months	of the composite of	in the combined risk of death, MI or stroke compared to aspirin (95% CI, 3.9 to
Clopidogrel 300 mg once (3	Patients		death, MI or stroke;	44.4; <i>P</i> =0.02; absolute reduction, 3.0%).
to 24 hours before PCI),	undergoing PCI		28 day incidence of the composite of	Clanideeral protractment did not cignificantly reduce the combined risk of
followed by clopidogrel 75 mg/day			death, MI or urgent	Clopidogrel pretreatment did not significantly reduce the combined risk of death, MI or urgent revascularization at 28 days (-18.5%; 95% CI, -14.2 to
ing/day			target vessel	41.8; <i>P</i> =0.23).
VS			revascularization	41.0, <i>F</i> =0.23).
VS			Tevascularization	Secondary:
placebo (3 to 24 hours			Secondary:	A similar level of benefit was found in the individual components of the primary
before PCI), followed by			Components of the	end point at one year, although individual outcomes were not significant (<i>P</i>
clopidogrel 75 mg/day			composite end	values not reported). Treatment randomization did not appear to influence the
through day 28, followed by			points,	rate of target vessel revascularization or any other revascularization during the
placebo			administration of	follow-up period.
•			clopidogrel <6 hours	
All patients received aspirin			or <a>6 hours before	Patients who had received clopidogrel at least six hours before PCI
325 mg prior to PCI,			PCI, need for target	experienced a reduction in the relative combined risk of death, MI or stroke by
followed by 325 mg/day			vessel	38.6% (95% CI, -1.6 to 62.9; <i>P</i> =0.051) compared to no reduction when
through day 28, followed by			revascularization or	treatment was given less than six hours before PCI (<i>P</i> =0.051).
81 to 325 mg/day.			any	
			revascularization at	Risk of major bleeding at one year increased, but not significantly (8.8 vs
			one year	6.7%; <i>P</i> =0.07).
Lev et al ⁷⁰	PRO	N=292	Primary:	Primary:
Olanida 1 200 ta 200	Dationts with	0	Occurrence of TIMI	TIMI myocardial perfusion grade 3 occurred in a higher proportion of patients
Clopidogrel 300 to 600 mg	Patients with	6 months	myocardial	in the clopidogrel pretreatment group (85 vs 71%; <i>P</i> =0.01).
before PCI, followed by 75 mg/day for 3 to 12 months	chest pain and STEMI		perfusion grade 3 after PCI	Secondary:
Ing/day for 3 to 12 months	undergoing		aller PCI	The incidence of re-infarction at 30 days (0 vs 3.2%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.04) and
VS	emergency PCI		Secondary:	six months (0.6 and 3.9%, respectively; $P=0.09$) was lower in the pretreatment
V 3	Ciliergency F Of		Incidence of re-	group.
clopidogrel 300 to 600 mg			infarction, stent	group.
immediately after PCI,			thrombosis, target	The incidence of stent thrombosis at 30 days (0 vs 2.4%, respectively; <i>P</i> =0.08)
followed by 75 mg/day for 3			vessel	and six months (0 and 3.9%, respectively; $P=0.02$) was lower in the
to 12 months			revascularization,	pretreatment group than in the no pretreatment group.
			death	





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
All patients were treated with aspirin 325 mg before PCI, followed by aspirin (dose not specified) for 3 to 12 months.				The incidence of death and target vessel revascularization were not significantly different between the two groups at 30 days (P =0.6 and P =1.0) or six months (P =0.7 and P =0.9).
Han et al ⁷¹ Clopidogrel 600 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day vs clopidogrel 600 mg once, followed by 150 mg/day All patients received aspirin 300 mg/day. All patients received dual antiplatelet therapy on admission followed by maintenance dose administration according to study protocol and PCI was performed within 48 hours of admission.	Patients ≥18 years of age, diagnosed with ACS, planned pretreatment with 600 mg clopidogrel loading dose, presence of ≥1 severe coronary stenosis requiring PCI located in native arteries and suitable for drug eluting stent implantation	N=813 30 days	Primary: Major adverse cardiac event (composite of cardiac death, nonfatal MI and urgent target vessel revascularization) Secondary: Stent thrombosis, major and minor bleeding events	Primary: A total of 13 patients reached the primary end points, including four (1.0%) patients in the 150 mg group and nine (2.2%) patients in the 75 mg group (<i>P</i> >0.05). There was no significant difference in cumulative major adverse cardiac event-free survival between the two groups. The incidences of MI (two vs five; <i>P</i> >0.05), urgent target vessel revascularization (three vs eight; <i>P</i> >0.05) and cardiac death (one vs one; <i>P</i> >0.05) were similar between the two groups. Secondary: The incidence of stent thrombosis (zero vs six; <i>P</i> <0.05) was significantly lower in the 150 mg group compared to the 75 mg group. There was no significant differences between both groups regarding the risk of major (one vs zero; <i>P</i> >0.05) or minor (two vs one; <i>P</i> >0.05) bleedings.
Bertrand et al ⁷² CLASSICS Clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day plus aspirin 325 mg/day vs clopidogrel 75 mg/day plus	RCT Patients receiving a stent placement	N=1,020 28 days	Primary: Major peripheral or bleeding complications, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, early discontinuation due to non-cardiac adverse event	Primary: Primary end point occurred in 4.6% of patients in the combined clopidogrel groups and in 9.1% of patients in the ticlopidine group (RR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.81; <i>P</i> =0.005). Secondary: Overall rates of major adverse cardiac events (cardiac death, MI, target lesion revascularization) were low and comparable between treatment groups (1.2% with clopidogrel loading dose, 1.5% with clopidogrel without the loading dose and 0.9% with ticlopidine; <i>P</i> values are nonsignificant for all comparisons).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
aspirin 325 mg/day			Secondary: Incidence of cardiac	
VS			events	
ticlopidine 250 mg BID plus aspirin 325 mg/day				
Leon et al ⁷³	MC, RCT	N=1,653	Primary: Composite of death,	Primary: The primary end point was observed in 38 patients; 3.6% assigned to aspirin,
Aspirin 325 mg/day	Patients receiving a stent	30 days	revascularization of target lesion,	2.7% assigned to aspirin plus warfarin and 0.5% assigned to aspirin plus ticlopidine (<i>P</i> =0.001 for the comparison of all three groups).
VS			angiographically evident thrombosis	Secondary:
aspirin 325 mg/day plus warfarin			or MI within 30 days	Compared to aspirin and aspirin plus warfarin, treatment with aspirin plus ticlopidine resulted in a lower rate of stent thrombosis (<i>P</i> =0.001) following
vs			Secondary: Achievement of	coronary stenting.
aspirin 325 mg/day plus			<50% residual stenosis without	Hemorrhagic complications occurred in 10 patients; 1.8% with aspirin, 6.2% with aspirin plus warfarin and 5.5% with aspirin plus ticlopidine (<i>P</i> <0.001 for
ticlopidine 250 mg BID			death or emergency bypass surgery,	the comparison of all three groups); the incidence of vascular surgical complications was 0.4, 2.0 and 2.0%, respectively (<i>P</i> =0.02).
			procedure-related MI, hematologic	There were no significant differences in the incidence of neutropenia or
			dyscrasias, hemorrhagic and	thrombocytopenia among the three treatment groups and the overall incidence was 0.3% (<i>P</i> values not reported).
			vascular surgical complications	
Lee et al ⁷⁴	MC, PRO, RCT	N=400	Primary:	Primary:
DECLARE-DIABETES	Diabatia nationta	0	In-stent late loss at	At six months, the in-stent late loss was significantly lower in the triple therapy
Aspirin 200 mg/day plus	Diabetic patients ≥18 years of age	9 months	six months	vs dual therapy group (0.25±0.53 vs 0.38±0.54 mm; <i>P</i> =0.025).
clopidogrel 300 mg once,	undergoing drug		Secondary:	Secondary:
followed by 75 mg/day	eluting stent		In-segment late loss	At six months, the in-segment late loss $(0.42\pm0.50 \text{ vs } 0.53\pm0.49 \text{ mm}; P=0.031)$
beginning ≥24 hours before	implantation		and restenosis rate	and restenosis (8.0 vs 15.6%; <i>P</i> =0.033) were significantly lower in the triple
stent placement and			at six months; stent	therapy group vs dual therapy group.
continued for ≥6 months			thrombosis, target	At also and a flow and a life and a formal district the second of the second se
			vessel	At nine months, there was no difference in the rate of stent thrombosis (0 vs





	Study Design	Sample Size		
Study and Drug Regimen	and	and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
vs			revascularization,	0.5%; <i>P</i> =0.999). Target vessel revascularization was lower in the triple therapy
			major adverse	group vs dual therapy group (3.5 vs 8.0%; P =0.053).
aspirin plus clopidogrel (as			cardiac events	
above) plus cilostazol 200			(death, MI, and	At nine months, major adverse cardiac events tended to be lower in the triple
mg immediately after stent			target lesion	therapy group than in the dual therapy group (3.0 vs 7.0%; <i>P</i> =0.066).
placement and continued			revascularization) at	
for 6 months at 100 mg BID			nine months; safety	Drug discontinuation was more common in the triple therapy group vs the dual
				therapy group (14.5 vs 2.5%; <i>P</i> <0.001) with skin rash and gastrointestinal
75				disturbance the most common reasons for termination of cilostazol.
Wiviott et al ⁷⁵	DB, MC, PG,	N=13,608	Primary:	Primary:
TRITON-TIMI 38	RCT		Composite of death	The rate of the composite endpoint was significantly lower in the prasugrel
		6 to 15	from cardiovascular	group (9.9%) than in the clopidogrel group (12.1%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.73 to
Prasugrel 60 mg once,	Patients with	months	causes, nonfatal MI	0.90; <i>P</i> <0.001).
followed by 10 mg/day	ACS (unstable	(median,	or nonfatal stroke	
	angina, NSTEMI	14.5 months)		Each individual endpoint was analyzed separately and of the three, only
VS	or STEMI) with a		Secondary:	nonfatal MI was reduced significantly greater in the prasugrel group (7.4%)
-la-i-la-mal 200 man an an	scheduled PCI;		Composite of death	than in the clopidogrel group (9.7%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.85;
clopidogrel 300 mg once,	for patients with		from cardiovascular	P<0.001). There were no significant differences reported in the rate of death
followed by 75 mg/day	unstable angina or NSTEMI		causes, nonfatal MI	from cardiovascular causes or in nonfatal stroke.
Datianta ware also an	ischemic		and need for urgent	A circuitionat valuation was poor in the procured group by doughness with a
Patients were also on			target vessel	A significant reduction was seen in the prasugrel group by day three with a
concurrent aspirin (75 to	symptoms lasting ≥10 minutes and		revascularization; composite of death	4.7% composite rate of death compared to 5.6% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.96; <i>P</i> =0.01).
162 mg/day).	occurring within		from cardiovascular	0.02, 95% CI, 0.7110 0.90, P=0.01).
	72 hours of		causes, nonfatal MI,	Secondary:
	randomization, a		nonfatal stroke or	The composite endpoint of the rate of death from cardiovascular causes,
	TIMI score ≥3		rehospitalization due	nonfatal MI and need for urgent target vessel revascularization was
	and either ST-		to a cardiac	significantly less in the prasugrel group (10.0%) compared to the clopidogrel
	segment		ischemic event;	group (12.3%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.89; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	deviation ≥1 mm		urgent target vessel	3.5% (.2.5%,, 5.5%, 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.% 5.%
	or elevated		revascularization;	The composite endpoint of the rate of death from cardiovascular causes,
	cardiac necrosis		stent thrombosis;	nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke or rehospitalization due to a cardiac ischemic
	biomarker levels;		safety	event was also significantly less in the prasugrel group (12.3%) than in the
	STEMI patients		,	clopidogrel group (14.6%; HR, 0.84; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.92; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	were included			
	within 12 hours			Urgent target vessel revascularization was found to be significantly less in the





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	after symptom onset if PCI was	Duration		prasugrel group (2.5%) than in the clopidogrel group (3.7%; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.81; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	planned or within 14 days after receiving medical treatment for STEMI			Stent thrombosis was found to be significantly less in the prasugrel group (1.1%) than in the clopidogrel group (2.4%; HR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.36 to 0.64; <i>P</i> <0.001).
	STEIWII			The relative rate of non-CABG related TIMI major bleeding was increased by 32.0% in the prasugrel group compared to the clopidogrel group (HR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.03 to 1.60; P =0.03).
				Life-threatening bleeding was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (1.4%) compared to the clopidogrel group (0.9%; HR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.08 to 2.13; <i>P</i> <0.01).
				Fatal bleeding was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (0.4%) compared to the clopidogrel group (0.1%; HR, 4.19; 95% CI, 1.58 to 11.11; <i>P</i> =0.002).
				CABG related TIMI major bleeding was seen in 13.4% of patients in the prasugrel group compared to 3.2% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 4.73; 95% CI, 1.90 to 11.82; <i>P</i> <0.001).
				The rate of death from cardiovascular causes was not significantly different between the two treatment groups with a rate of 2.1% in the prasugrel group and 2.4% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.89; 95% CI, 0.70 to 1.12; <i>P</i> =0.31).
				Overall mortality was not significantly different between the two treatment groups (HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.16; <i>P</i> =0.64).
Wiviott et al ⁷⁶	Subanalysis of	N=13,608	Primary:	Primary:
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	TRITON-TIMI	(n=3,146	Composite of death	The composite endpoint in patients with diabetes was significantly lower in the
Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day	38 ⁷³	diabetes	from cardiovascular	prasugrel group (12.2%) than in the clopidogrel group (17.0%; HR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.85; <i>P</i> <0.001).
Tollowed by To mg/day	TRITON-TIMI 38	population)	causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke	OI, 0.30 to 0.03, F<0.001).
VS	patients with a	6 to 15	or nomatar stroke	A 14.0% overall reduction in the primary endpoint was seen in the prasugrel
-	median age of 63	months	Secondary:	and no diabetes group compared to the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.86; 95% CI,





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	stratified by diabetes	(median, 14.5 months)	Rate of cardiovascular death, MI (fatal or nonfatal) or stent thrombosis; safety; net clinical benefit	Among the diabetes group the reduction was 30% in the prasugrel group compared to the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.85; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: The rate of cardiovascular death in patients with diabetes was not significantly lower in the prasugrel group (3.4%) than in the clopidogrel group (4.2%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.58 to 1.24; <i>P</i> =0.40). The rate of MI in patients with diabetes was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (8.2%) than in the clopidogrel group (13.2%; HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.48 to 0.76; <i>P</i> <0.001). The rate of MI in patients without diabetes was also significantly lower in the prasugrel group (8.7%) than in the clopidogrel group (7.2%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.006). There was an 18.0% reduction in MI among nondiabetic prasugrel patients compared to a 40.0% reduction in MI among diabetic prasugrel patients. The rate of stent thrombosis in patients with diabetes was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (2.0%) than in the clopidogrel group (3.6%; HR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.33 to 0.84; <i>P</i> =0.007). The rate of TIMI major non-CABG bleeding in patients with diabetes was not significantly greater in the prasugrel group (2.5%) compared to the clopidogrel group (2.6%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.69; <i>P</i> =0.81). The rate of TIMI major or minor non-CABG bleeding in patients with diabetes was not significantly greater in the prasugrel group (5.3%) compared to the clopidogrel group (4.3%; HR, 1.30; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.82; <i>P</i> =0.13).
Montalescot et al ⁷⁷ Prasugrel 60 mg once,	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁷³	N=13,608 (n=3,534 STEMI	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular	Primary The composite rate of death in all patients with a STEMI was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (10.0%) than in the clopidogrel group (12.4%; HR,





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
followed by 10 mg/day	TRITON-TIMI 38 patients with a	population) 6 to 15	causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke at 15 months	0.79; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.022). When examined by type of STEMI prasugrel only showed greater clinical efficacy in secondary PCI (9.6%) compared to clopidogrel (14.1%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.92; <i>P</i> =0.015).
	median age of 58	months		
clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day	and 59 in the prasugrel and clopidogrel	(median, 14.5 months)	Secondary: Composite of death from cardiovascular	Secondary: The composite endpoint of the rate of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or urgent target vessel revascularization was significantly lower in
Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	groups respectively, with STEMI status stratified into either primary PCI (those enrolled within 12		causes, nonfatal MI or urgent target vessel revascularization at 30 days; stent thrombosis; composite of	the prasugrel group (6.7%) than in the clopidogrel group (8.8%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.96; <i>P</i> =0.0205). This benefit continued to 15 months, with a rate of 9.6% in the prasugrel group and 12.0% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.0250). When examined by type of STEMI, only secondary PCI patients treated with prasugrel (9.0%) had a lower rate of event compared to clopidogrel (13.9%; HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.89; <i>P</i> =0.009).
	hours of symptom onset) or secondary PCI		cardiovascular death or nonfatal MI; all individual	Stent thrombosis was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (1.6%) than in the clopidogrel group (2.8%; HR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.36 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.0232).
	(those enrolled between 12 hours and 14 days after symptom onset)		components of composite endpoints; all cause death rate; safety	The composite endpoint of cardiovascular death or nonfatal MI was significantly less in the prasugrel group (8.8%) than in the clopidogrel group (11.5%; HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.93; P =0.0071). When the clinical endpoints were examined individually the only event that was significantly less in the prasugrel group was nonfatal MI with a rate of 6.8% compared to 9.0% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.95; P =0.016). All cause death was not found to be significantly different between the two groups (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.54 to 1.07; P =0.113).
				TIMI major bleeding events unrelated to CABG surgery (<i>P</i> =0.645), and TIMI life-threatening bleeding events (<i>P</i> =0.750) were both not significantly different between the two treatment groups.
				TIMI major bleeding after CABG surgery was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (18.8%) than in the clopidogrel group (2.7%; HR, 8.19; 95% CI, 1.76 to 38.18; <i>P</i> =0.003).
Wiviott et al ⁷⁸	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI	N=13,608 (n=12,844	Primary: Composite of death	Primary: The primary endpoint was reduced significantly greater in stent patients in the
Prasugrel 60 mg once,	38 ⁷³	stent	from cardiovascular	prasugrel group (9.7%) compared to the clopidogrel group (11.9%; HR, 0.81;





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	and	and Study	causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Composite endpoint of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or urgent target vessel revascularization; cardiovascular death; MI; urgent target vessel revascularization; stent thrombosis	P5% CI, 0.72 to 0.90; <i>P</i> =0.0001). Drug eluting stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.0%) had a lower rate of the primary endpoint compared to the clopidogrel group (11.1%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.019). This was also seen in bare metal stent patients (10.0 vs 12.0%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.93; <i>P</i> =0.003). Secondary: The secondary endpoint was reduced significantly greater in stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.7%) compared to the clopidogrel group (11.9%; HR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.89; <i>P</i> =0.0001). Drug eluting stent patients in the prasugrel group (9.0%) had a lower rate of primary endpoint compared to the clopidogrel group (11.0%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.92; <i>P</i> =0.004). This was also seen in bare metal stent patients in the prasugrel group (10.0%) compared to the clopidogrel group (12.0%; HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.95; <i>P</i> =0.009). Cardiovascular death was not significantly different in the entire stent cohort (<i>P</i> =0.17), nor was it significant in the drug eluting stent subgroup (<i>P</i> =0.25), or the bare metal stent subgroup (<i>P</i> =0.16). Rates of MI (fatal or nonfatal) were significantly less in the entire stent cohort that was treated with prasugrel (7.0%) than those treated with clopidogrel (10.0%; HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.86; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Rates were also significantly better in the individual prasugrel drug eluting stent (<i>P</i> =0.003) and bare metal stent (<i>P</i> =0.006) groups.
				Rates of urgent target vessel revascularization were significantly better in the entire stent cohort that was treated with prasugrel (2.0%) than those treated with clopidogrel (4.0%; HR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.84; <i>P</i> <0.0003). Rates were only significantly better in the prasugrel drug eluting stent group (2.0%) compared to the clopidogrel group (4.0%; HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.38 to 0.76; <i>P</i> <0.0003). Rates of stent thrombosis were significantly better in the entire stent cohort





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Pride et al ⁷⁹ Prasugrel 60 mg once,	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁷³	N=13,608 (n=569 PCI population)	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular	that was treated with prasugrel (0.88%) than those treated with clopidogrel (2.03%; HR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.59; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Rates were significantly better in the prasugrel drug eluting stent group (0.70%) compared to the clopidogrel group (1.92%; HR, 0.35; 95% CI, 0.21 to 0.61; <i>P</i> <0.0001). Rates were significantly better in the prasugrel bare metal stent group (0.96%) compared to the clopidogrel group (1.92%; HR, 0.42; 95% CI, 0.31 to 0.59; <i>P</i> <0.0001). TIMI major bleeding not related to CABG was not significantly different with a rate of 2.0% seen in both treatment groups in the overall stent cohort (<i>P</i> =0.06). Primary: The primary endpoint occurred in 14.2% of patients randomized to prasugrel and 17.1% of patients randomized to clopidogrel, a nonsignificant 18.0% RRR
reasuger too mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	TRITON-TIMI 38 patients who underwent PCI without stent implantation	6 to 15 months (median, 14.5 months)	causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke or urgent target vessel revascularization; safety	(HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.53 to 1.25; <i>P</i> =0.27). Overall, the unadjusted incidence of the primary composite outcome was significantly higher among patients who underwent PCI without stent implantation compared to those who received stents (15.6 vs 10.8%; <i>P</i> =0.001). Secondary: There were significant reductions in the incidence of urgent target vessel revascularization (3.6 vs 8.2%; HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.040), any target vessel revascularization (4.0 vs 10.1%; HR, 0.40; 95% CI, 0.20 to 0.82; <i>P</i> =0.009), the composite of any revascularization procedure (6.3 vs 12.9%; HR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.27 to 0.87; <i>P</i> =0.014), and CABG surgery (12.5 vs 19.4%; HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.40 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.041) with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel. There were trends towards reductions in nonfatal MI (9.1 vs 13.5%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.39 to 1.10; <i>P</i> =0.11) and all MI (9.8 vs 13.9%; HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.41 to 1.14; <i>P</i> =0.14) favoring prasugrel. The incidence of all cause mortality, cardiovascular death and nonfatal and all stroke did not differ significantly between the groups. Non-CABG-related major bleeding was more frequent among patients randomized to prasugrel (2.1 vs 0.0%; <i>P</i> =0.033), and there was a trend toward





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
		N. 40 000		an increased incidence of non-CABG-related life-threatening bleeding (1.7 vs 0.0%; <i>P</i> =0.057). The incidence of intracranial hemorrhage and the composite of non-CABG TIMI major and minor bleeding did not differ significantly between the groups (4.3 vs 2.2%; HR, 1.85; 95% CI, 0.63 to 5.42), although there was no significant interactions between bleeding rates and treatment with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel as a function of PCI stent (stent vs no stent).
Antman et al ⁸⁰ Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁷³ Patients with ACS (unstable	N=13,608 6 to 15 months (median, 14.5 months)	Primary: Rate of MI, stent thrombosis and urgent target vessel revascularization from randomization	Primary: The rate of MI was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (4.27%) than in the clopidogrel group by day three (5.24%; HR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.70 to 0.95; P =0.008) and from day three until the end of the study (3.40 vs 4.79%; HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.83; P <0.0001).
clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on	angina, NSTEMI or STEMI) with a scheduled PCI; for patients with unstable angina	,	to day three and from day three to the end of the trial Secondary:	The rate of stent thrombosis was significantly lower in the prasugrel group than in the clopidogrel group by day three (0.33 vs 0.67%; HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.29 to 0.82; <i>P</i> =0.006) and from day three until the end of the study (0.08 vs 1.74%; HR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.32 to 0.64; <i>P</i> <0.0001).
concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	or NSTEMI ischemic symptoms lasting ≥10 minutes and occurring within		Safety, percent net clinical benefit	The rate of urgent target vessel revascularization was significantly lower in the prasugrel group than in the clopidogrel group by day three (0.54 vs 0.83%; HR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.99; P =0.047) and from day three until the end of the study (1.94 vs 2.97%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.52 to 0.82; P =0.0003).
	72 hours of randomization, a TIMI score ≥3 and either ST-segment deviation ≥1 mm			Secondary: Through the first three days the rate of TIMI major non-CABG bleeding was numerically greater in the prasugrel group (0.74%) compared to the clopidogrel group (0.61%), however the difference between the two groups was not significant, (P =0.35).
	or elevated cardiac necrosis biomarker levels; STEMI patients were included			From day three to the end of the trial prasugrel was associated with a significantly greater risk of TIMI major non-CABG bleeding (1.71%) compared to clopidogrel (1.23%; HR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.89; <i>P</i> =0.036). The rate of net clinical benefit was significantly greater in the prasugrel group
	within 12 hours after symptom			than in the clopidogrel group by day three (6.19 vs 5.29%; HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.025) and from day three until the end of the study (8.33 vs





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Murphy et al ⁸¹ Prasugrel 60 mg once,	onset if PCI was planned or within 14 days after receiving medical treatment for STEMI Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁷³	N=13,608 6 to 15	Primary: Total number of reoccurrences of the	7.35%; HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.98; <i>P</i> =0.028). Primary: Prasugrel demonstrated a significant overall reduction in subsequent events with 195 fewer total primary events compared to clopidogrel (HR, 0.79; 95%
rollowed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	Patients with ACS (unstable angina, NSTEMI or STEMI) with a scheduled PCI; for patients with unstable angina or NSTEMI ischemic symptoms lasting ≥10 minutes and occurring within 72 hours of randomization, a TIMI score ≥3 and either ST-segment deviation ≥1 mm or elevated cardiac necrosis biomarker levels; STEMI patients were included within 12 hours after symptom onset if PCI was	months (median, 14.5 months)	composite endpoint (rate of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke), risk of second event following initial event, cardiovascular deaths following nonfatal event Secondary: Safety	CI, 0.71 to 0.87; <i>P</i> <0.001). From the time of the first event to the recurrent event or last follow up a second event occurred in 10.8% of the prasugrel group compared to 15.4% in the clopidogrel group (HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.92; <i>P</i> =0.016). Cardiovascular death following the nonfatal event was also reduced in the prasugrel group (3.7%) compared to the clopidogrel group (7.1%; HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.25 to 0.82; <i>P</i> =0.008). Secondary: Recurrent bleeding events occurred infrequently, with TIMI major non-CABG bleeds in four patients treated with prasugrel and two with clopidogrel. There were also five repeat TIMI minor non-CABG bleeds in each treatment group. Among patients with at least one TIMI non-CABG major or minor bleeding event, 17 were reported in the prasugrel group and 13 were reported in the clopidogrel group.





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
	planned or within 14 days after receiving medical treatment for STEMI			
O'Donoghue et al ⁸² Prasugrel 60 mg once, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 300 mg once, followed by 75 mg/day Patients were also on concurrent aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day).	Subanalysis of TRITON-TIMI 38 ⁷³ TRITON-TIMI 38 patients stratified by GB IIb/IIIa inhibitor use	N=13,608 (n=7,414 GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor population) 30 days	Primary: Composite of death from cardiovascular causes, nonfatal MI or nonfatal stroke Secondary: Periprocedural MI, urgent target vessel revascularization, stent thrombosis, safety	Primary: There was a consistent benefit of prasugrel over clopidogrel in reducing cardiovascular death, MI or stroke at 30 days in patients who did (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.90) and did not (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.97; <i>P</i> =0.83) receive a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor. Secondary: Prasugrel significantly reduced the risk of recurrent MI in subjects by approximately 25% regardless of the use of a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor, including a comparable benefit toward a reduction in periprocedural MI across both subgroups. Patients treated with prasugrel also exhibited a significant reduction in urgent target vessel revascularization, irrespective of whether or not they were treated with a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor (<i>P</i> =0.63). At the end of 30 days, prasugrel significantly reduced the risk of stent thrombosis by 54% in patients treated with a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor (HR, 0.46; 95% CI, 0.29 to 0.71) and by 66% in patients not treated with a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor (HR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.17 to 0.65; <i>P</i> =0.46). In the overall cohort, prasugrel significantly increased the risk of TIMI non-CABG-related major or minor bleeding compared to clopidogrel (2.6 vs 2.1; HR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.57; <i>P</i> =0.04). The excess risk of TIMI non-CABG-related major or minor bleeding observed with prasugrel was comparable regardless of whether a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor was used (HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.50) or was not used (HR, 1.63; 95% CI, 1.05 to 2.52; <i>P</i> =0.19). The absolute excess in the risk of TIMI non-CABG-related major bleeding with prasugrel vs clopidogrel was 0.1% in patients treated with a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor (1.2 vs 1.1%; HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 0.69 to 1.64) and 0.3% in subjects not treated with a GP Ilb/Illa inhibitor (0.9 vs 0.6%; HR, 1.47; 95% CI, 0.81 to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Wiviott et al ⁸³	AC, DB, DD,	N=201	Primary:	2.66), a difference that was not significantly different between subgroups (<i>P</i> =0.39). Similarly, the relative hazard of TIMI life-threatening bleeding with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel did not differ significantly in the presence or absence of a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (<i>P</i> =0.19). The incidence of procedure-related TIMI major bleeding was similar for subjects treated with prasugrel or clopidogrel and was not significantly influenced by the use of a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (<i>P</i> value not reported). Consistent with the overall trial, there was no significant difference in the incidence of intracranial hemorrhage between treatment arms in either stratum (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Prasugrel 60 mg loading dose, followed by 10 mg/day vs clopidogrel 600 mg loading dose, followed by 150 mg/day Maintenance dose administered upon PCI completion.	Patients ≥18 years of age, who were scheduled to undergo cardiac catheterization with planned PCI for angina and ≥1 of the following: angiograph within 14 days with ≥1 PCI amendable legion, objective findings of ischemia within 8 weeks of study, or prior PCI or CABG	28 days (treatment periods were 14 days each)	Inhibition of platelet aggregation with 20 µmol/L adenosine diphosphate at six hours during the loading dose phase and at 14±2 days of the maintenance dose Secondary: Mean maximal platelet aggregation with 20 µmol/L adenosine diphosphate, mean P2Y ₁₂ assay percent inhibition, safety	For the loading dose phase, mean inhibition of platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate at six hours was significantly greater (higher inhibition of platelet aggregation indication of greater antiplatelet effect) in the prasugrel group (74.8%) compared to the clopidogrel group (31.8%). The mean difference between the two groups was 43.2% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). For the maintenance dose phase mean inhibition of platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate at 14±2 days was significantly greater in the prasugrel group (61.3%) compared to the clopidogrel group (46.1%). The mean difference between the two groups was 14.9% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). Secondary: For the loading dose phase mean maximal platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate was significantly lower (lower maximal platelet aggregation indication of greater antiplatelet effect) in the prasugrel group (18.9%) compared to the clopidogrel group (52.1%). The mean difference between the two groups was 33.1% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). For the maintenance dose phase mean maximal platelet aggregation with 20 μmol/L adenosine diphosphate at 14±2 days was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (29.2%) compared to the clopidogrel group (40.9%). The mean difference between the two groups was 11.3% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). For the loading dose phase prasugrel also showed significantly greater platelet inhibition with the P2Y 12 assay (89.5%) compared to clopidogrel (38.4%). The mean difference between the two groups was 51.4% (<i>P</i> <0.0001).





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				For the maintenance dose phase prasugrel also showed significantly greater platelet inhibition with the P2Y ₁₂ assay (83.3%) compared to clopidogrel (65.1%). The mean difference between the two groups was 18.9% (<i>P</i> <0.0001). There were no TIMI major bleeding episodes in either treatment group. For TIMI minor bleeding episodes 2% of patients in the prasugrel group experienced a minor bleed compared to 0% in the clopidogrel group. In the prasugrel group 18.6% of the patients reported a hemorrhagic event whether minor or major, compared to 14.1% in the clopidogrel group, however the difference was not significant (<i>P</i> value not reported).
Treatment of Thrombocythe				
Anagrelide Study Group ⁸⁴ Anagrelide 0.5 to 1 mg QID To be eligible, patients had to have responded to or have been treated for ≥4 weeks at 4 mg/day.	MC, Phase II Patients ≥18 years of age with a diagnosis of PV, CGL, ET or another myelo- proliferative process; with a history of thrombocytosis (>900,000/mm³) on 2 occasions secondary to a myeloproliferative process	N=577 Duration not reported	Primary: Response to therapy (a reduction of platelet count from pretreatment levels by 50% or to <600,000 mm³ for ≥4 weeks), changes in peripheral blood counts, dose of anagrelide to achieve a response, time to response, response duration, duration of therapy, maintenance dose of anagrelide, use with hydroxyurea, resistance to anagrelide, discontinuation of treatment, safety	Primary: Of the 577 patients, 424 were treated for at least four weeks. Of which, 396 (93%) met the criteria for response. Equivalent response rates were seen regardless of diagnosis (<i>P</i> =0.123). Time to a 50% reduction in platelet numbers after the start of treatment was a median of 11 days in the overall patient population. The pretreatment median platelet count (990,000/mm³) was reduced to <500,000/mm³ after six to 10 weeks in patients who responded, and remained at that level for up to two years. Longitudinal evaluation of platelet numbers showed a marked and sustained decrease relative to baseline for all responders (<i>P</i> <0.001) as well as for diagnostic subgroups (<i>P</i> <0.05). The median dose at first response was 2.57 mg/day (range, 2.52 to 2.88 mg/day) for all patients. The dose needed to achieve a response ranged from 0.5 to 9.0 mg/day; however, 95% of patients responded at a dose of ≤4 mg/day. The time to achieve a reduction in platelets ranged from a median of 2.6 to 3.9 weeks. No difference in the time to response was observed between diagnostic groups (<i>P</i> =0.447). The median duration of first response ranged from 7.7 months for PV patients





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and	Sample Size and Study	End Points	Results
	Demographics	Duration		
			Secondary: Not reported	to >28.6 months for ET patients, with an overall median of 16.7 months.
				The median duration of therapy was 5.60 months, with a range of 0.03 to 61.00 months.
				A median daily dose of 1.7 to 2.8 mg/day was required to control platelet numbers at five to seven, 11 to 13 and 17 to 19 months after treatment.
				Eighty nine of the 114 patients with CGL also received hydroxyurea, and the median dose of anagrelide needed to control platelet numbers in these patients was the same as for the group as a whole. No enhanced toxicity was observed.
				Of the 577 patients, 424 were considered evaluable for response, and 396 had an initial response and maintained that response for at least four weeks at a constant dose of anagrelide. Of these, 16 (four percent) needed to have their dose increased by ≥0.5 mg/day on a long-term basis to maintain the same degree of control over platelet counts.
				Of the 195 patients who discontinued therapy, 94 did so because of an adverse effect of the drug, 68 for a reason unrelated to treatment, 21 because of death and 12 because the drug caused a response in platelet numbers but was not therapeutically adequate in the treating physician's opinion. In all patients who discontinued treatment, within four days the platelet count rose rapidly.
				In addition to the overall decrease in hemoglobin over time observed, it appears possible that anagrelide may affect red blood cell formation as well as thrombocytopoiesis. Although changes in blood pressure were noted in 12 patients, fluid retention was a much more common side effect; 132 (24%) patients had fluid retention or edema and 14 developed frank congestive heart failure. Two hundred nine (36%) patients complained of palpitations, forceful heartbeat or tachycardia; and 14 had an irregular pulse including four with atrial fibrillation or premature heart beats. The major neurologic side effect was headache, with dizziness as the second most frequent. Approximately 89 (19%) patients complained of nausea, which could possibly be related to





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Silver et al ⁸⁵ Anagrelide 0.5 to 1 mg QID Weekly adjustments to the dose were made to achieve and maintain a platelet count ≤600,000/µL. These patients previously received hydroxyureatherapy (hydroxyureatherapy (hydroxyureatherapy treated with anagrelide. Patients fell into two groups: hydroxyurearefractory patients and probably, but not definitely, hydroxyurea-refractory patients.	Subanalysis of Anagrelide Study Group ⁸² Patients with CML	N=38 Duration not reported	Primary: Efficacy, safety Secondary: Not reported	treatment with anagrelide. Gas, eructation or bloating was noted by 49 (8%) and pain or gastric distress by a comparable number (n=48). The major lower gastrointestinal symptom was diarrhea (n=89; 15%). Secondary: Not reported Primary: Of the 38 patients who previously received hydroxyurea, 27 (71%) patients met the criteria for response to anagrelide. After treatment, there were 27 responders, but 11 remained symptomatic. Following treatment, the mean platelet levels in responders and nonresponders were 250,000±360,400/µL. In one-third of the responders, the initial platelet count was reduced by 50%. At six to eight weeks, the median platelet count in two-thirds of the responders was <600,000/µL. The median time to best response in both subgroups was 7.1 weeks. Responders maintained their counts for a median of seven weeks and as long as eight months; thereafter, the platelet counts in each patient were affected by change in censored status of CML to accelerated or blast phase disease, by alternative chemotherapy for CML, marrow transplantation and by refusal of a physician to complete the paperwork. The symptoms of the group of patients with thrombosis included TIAs, MI, erythromelalgia, DVT, and ischemia with or without cutaneous ulceration of the extremities. Secondary: Not reported
Penninga et al ⁸⁶ Anagrelide 0.5 mg/day for 7 days, followed by a dosage increase by 0.5 mg/week until an acceptable decline in platelet counts was recorded	MC, RETRO Patients with chronic myeloproliferative disease	N=52 Duration not reported	Primary: Complete response (reduction in platelet counts to <600x10 ⁹ /L or to a minimum 50% of pre-treatment level for ≥4 weeks),	Primary: Forty one (79%) patients responded to treatment, with 39 (75%) patients being complete responders. All achieved a platelet count <600x10 ⁹ /L, and 34 (65%) patients achieved a platelet count <400x10 ⁹ /L. Eleven (21%) patients were nonresponders. The mean dose necessary to maintain response was 1.7 mg/day (range, 0.5





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
Birgegard et al ⁸⁷ Anagrelide 1 to 8 mg/day Doses were evaluated until the lowest effective dose required to reduce and maintain platelet count <400 x10 ⁹ /L in symptomatic patients or <600 x10 ⁹ /L in asymptomatic patients was established. Patients who were receiving treatment with another agent to control platelets were switched over to anagrelide.	Noncomparative, OL, Phase II, PRO Patients with a diagnosis of myelo-proliferative disease and a platelet count >600 x10 ⁹ /L in symptomatic patients or >1,000 x10 ⁹ /L in all other patients	N=60 2 years	partial response (20 to 50% reduction of pretreatment level for ≥4 weeks), no response (<20% reduction in pretreatment platelet counts) Secondary: Adverse events Primary: Clinical effects, short- and long-term tolerability, patient's management Secondary: Not reported	to 5 mg/day) and the mean daily dose for patients in the non-responder group was 2.7 mg/day (range, 0.5 to 8.5 mg/day). The time to response varied among the patients, mostly because some patients needed to have a temporary dose reduction because of adverse events. The mean time to response was 7.9 weeks. Secondary: Forty two (81%) patients developed adverse effects and 28 (54%) patients reported more than one adverse effect. The most common adverse effect was anemia. Headache and palpitations were the second most common adverse events. Most of the adverse events were seen within a month from initiation of treatment, with patients reporting them as generally mild and transient. Primary: The overall response rate was 73% (67% complete responses [platelet count <400 x10 ⁹ /L or <600 x10 ⁹ /L in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients for ≥4 weeks], 6% partial response [reduction of the platelet count to ≥50% of the baseline value]) and the failure rate (platelet count that did not fall below <50% of the baseline value) was 27%. Primary treatment failure (n=16) was usually due to a lack of efficacy at a tolerable dose. In addition, another 14 patients withdrew from treatment before the end of the two year period. The most common reasons for discontinuing treatment were lack of efficacy at a tolerable dose and side effects while in complete response. Side effects included palpitations (70%), headache (52%), nausea (35%), diarrhea or flatulence (33%), edema (22%) and fatigue (23%). The frequency and severity of side effects was dose dependent. Patients and doctors rated the feasibility of anagrelide treatment on the 10-grade scale from 7.6 at three months to >9.0 at 24 months. The patients who continued treatment for the full two years (n=30) showed a high degree of satisfaction, as did their doctors. The hemoglobin level dropped significantly during treatment, this effect first occurring within one week after initiation of treatment (<i>P</i> =0.002). Two patients had a thromboembolic event occur during the study per





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
				Secondary: Not reported
Steurer et al ⁸⁸ Anagrelide 0.5 mg BID for 14 days, followed by 1 mg BID and then the dosage was adjusted for each patient In patients pretreated with hydroxyurea or interferon-α, it was allowed to combine anagrelide with one of those compounds.	MC, Phase II Newly diagnosed or pretreated patients with ET, PV or CIMF	N=97 6 months	Primary: Platelet counts Secondary: Rate of clinical complications before and during anagrelide therapy, number of patients achieving response (complete, partial or failure to respond)	Primary: Platelet counts decreased significantly during the six month study period from a median baseline count of 743x10 ⁹ /L (range, 335 to 1.912x10 ⁹ /L) to 441x10 ⁹ /L (range, 153 to 1.141x10 ⁹ /L; <i>P</i> <0.001). Secondary: During the six months before the study, the rate of major thromboembolic complications was 5%. At the end of the study, the rate decreased to 2%. Seven patients had minor thromboembolic symptoms despite initiation of anagrelide treatment. At the start of the study, the rate of minor thromboembolic complications was 25%. After the study period, the rate decreased to 14%. Fifty patients qualified as complete responders and 25 patients had a very good partial response. The overall (complete, very good partial and partial; n=77) response rate was 79% when an ITT analysis was applied. Of the patient subgroups, the highest overall response rate of 82% was achieved in patients with no previous cytoreductive therapy. The lowest rate of 75% occurred among patients with PV.
Harrison et al ⁸⁹ Hydroxyurea 0.5 to 1 mg/day vs anagrelide 0.5 mg BID Doses of hydroxyurea and anagrelide were adjusted to maintain the platelet count <400,000/mm ³ . All patients received aspirin	OL, RCT Patients ≥18 years of age with ET who were at high risk for thrombotic or hemorrhagic events	N=809 39 months (median follow-up)	Primary: Composite of time from randomization until death from thrombosis, hemorrhage, arterial or venous thrombotic event or serious hemorrhage Secondary: Time to first arterial or venous thrombotic event or to the first serious	Primary: As compared to the hydroxyurea group, the anagrelide group had a significantly higher rate of the composite primary end point (OR, 1.57; 95% CI, 1.04 to 2.37; <i>P</i> =0.03). The estimated rate of the primary endpoint at five years was 16% (95% CI, 12 to 21) and 11% (95% CI, 7 to 14) in the anagrelide and hydroxyurea groups, with a median follow-up of 39 months. Secondary: Analyses of the secondary endpoints revealed significant differences between the two groups. Arterial thrombosis developed in more than twice as many anagrelide-treated patients compared to hydroxyurea treated patients (OR, 2.16; 95% CI, 1.27 to 3.69; <i>P</i> =0.004). There were significantly more TIAs in the anagrelide group as well (14 vs 1; OR, 5.72; 95% CI, 2.08 to 15.73; <i>P</i> <0.001). The rates of MI, unstable angina and thrombotic stroke were higher with anagrelide but not significantly different compared to hydroxyurea. There





Study and Drug Regimen	Study Design and Demographics	Sample Size and Study Duration	End Points	Results
75 mg/day. If aspirin was contraindicated, alternative agents were used (e.g., clopidogrel, dipyridamole). *Agent not available in the United Sta			hemorrhage; time to death; incidence of transformation to myelofibrosis, AML, myelodysplasia or PV; control of platelet count	was a significant increase in the rate of serious hemorrhage with anagrelide (OR, 2.61; 95% CI, 1.27 to 5.33; P =0.008), with gastrointestinal hemorrhage being most common (OR, 3.54; 95% CI, 1.33 to 9.44; P =0.01). The rate of venous thromboembolism with anagrelide was approximately one fourth that with hydroxyurea (OR, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.11 to 0.71; P =0.006), and there was a significantly lower rate of DVT with anagrelide (OR, 0.20; 95% CI, 0.06 to 0.71; P =0.009). Pulmonary emboli developed in seven patients, five of which were in the hydroxyurea group. The rates of death from any cause and death from thrombotic or hemorrhagic causes were not significantly different between the two groups, although the study was not powered to detect any difference in mortality. Anagrelide-treated patients had a significantly increased rate of transformation to myelofibrosis (OR, 2.92; 95% CI, 1.24 to 6.86; P =0.01). The estimated actuarial risk of myelofibrosis five years after trial entry was 2% (95% CI, 0 to 5) and 7% (95% CI, 3 to 10). Myelodysplasia or AML developed in 10 patients, four in the anagrelide group. Control of platelet count was similar in the two groups by nine months after trial entry and subsequently. At three and six months after trial entry, platelet counts in the anagrelide group were significantly higher than those in the hydroxyurea group (P <0.001 for both time points). PV developed in two patients, one in each treatment group.

Drug regimen abbreviations: BID=twice-daily, ER=extended-release, IR=immediate-release, QD=once-daily, QID=four times daily

Study abbreviations: AC=active-controlled, CI=confidence interval, DB=double-blind, DD=double-dummy, HR=hazard ratio, ITT=intention to treat, IRR=incidence rate ratio, MA=meta-analysis, MC=multicenter, OL=open-label, OR=odds ratio, PC=placebo-controlled, PG=parallel-group, PP=per patient, PRO=prospective, RCT=randomized controlled trial, RETRO=retrospective, RR=relative risk. RRR=relative risk reduction. XO=cross over trial

Miscellaneous abbreviations: ACS=acute coronary syndrome, AF=atrial fibrillation, AML=acute myeloid leukemia, CABG=coronary artery bypass graft, CAD=coronary artery disease, CGL=chronic granulocytic leukemia, CML=chronic myeloid leukemia, CT=computerized tomography, DVT=deep vein thrombosis, ET=essential thrombocythemia, FEV1=forced expiratory volume in one second, GFR=glomerular filtration rate, GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor=glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor, MI=myocardial infarction, MRI=magnetic resonance imaging, NSTE ACS=non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes, NSTEMI=non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, PAD=peripheral arterial disease, PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention, PV=polycythemia ruba vera, STEMI=ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, TIA=transient ischemic attack, TIMI=thrombolysis in myocardial infarction





Special Populations

Table 5. Special Populations 1-7

	al Populations ¹⁻⁷	Population and Precaution								
Generic Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk					
Single-Entity				i caregory						
Anagrelide	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Food and Drug Administration approved for use in children.	Not reported	Hepatic dosage adjustment is required; initiate therapy with 0.5 mg/day for ≥1 week with careful monitoring of cardiovascular effects.	С	Unknown; use with caution.					
			in severe hepatic impairment.							
Clopidogrel	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly.	Not reported	No dosage adjustment required.	В	Unknown; use with caution.					
	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.									
Dipyridamole	No dosage adjustment required in the elderly. Safety and efficacy in children <12 years of age have not been	Not reported	Not reported	В	Yes (% not reported); use with caution.					
Prasugrel	established. Use in patients ≥75 years of age is generally not recommended. Safety and efficacy in children have not	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required in mild to moderate hepatic dysfunction.	В	Unknown; use with caution.					
	been established.		Not studied in severe hepatic dysfunction.							
Ticagrelor	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients.	No dosage adjustment required.	No dosage adjustment required in mild hepatic dysfunction; use with caution in moderate hepatic	С	Unknown; use with caution.					



Generic	Population and Precaution								
Name	Elderly/ Children	Renal Dysfunction	Hepatic Dysfunction	Pregnancy Category	Excreted in Breast Milk				
	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.		dysfunction. Contraindicated with severe						
			hepatic dysfunction.						
Ticlopidine	No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Renal dosage adjustment may be required; a dosage reduction or the discontinuation of therapy may be required.	Use is not recommended.	В	Unknown; use with caution.				
Combination Aspirin/ extended- release dipyridamole	Products No evidence of overall differences in safety or efficacy observed between elderly and younger adult patients. Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.*	Not studied in renal dysfunction.	Not studied in hepatic dysfunction.	D	Yes/Yes (% not reported for either component).				

^{*}Due to the aspirin component, use of this product in children is not recommended.





Adverse Drug Events

Table 6. Adverse Drug Events¹⁻⁷

Adverse Event		Single-Entity Agents								
Auverse Lvent	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole			
Cardiovascular										
Angina pectoris	1 to <5	-	✓	-	-	-	<1			
Arrhythmia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	1	<1			
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	-	1 to 3	-	-	4.2	-	-			
Cardiac failure	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	2			
Cardiovascular disease	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Chest pain	7.8	8	-	-	3.1	-	-			
Edema	20.6	4	-	-	-	-	-			
Heart failure	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Hypertension	-	4	-	7.5	3.8	-	-			
Hypotension	-	-	~	-	3.2	-	-			
Nodal arrhythmia	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-			
Palpitation	26.1	-	✓	-	-	-	-			
Peripheral edema	8.5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Postural hypotension	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Syncope	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	1			
Tachycardia	7.5	-	~	-	-	-	-			
Vasodilation	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Central Nervous System	•									
Amnesia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	2			
Anxiety	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-			
Cerebral edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1			
Cerebral hemorrhage (includes					-					
intracranial and subarachnoid	-	<1	-	-		<1	<1			
hemorrhage)										
Coma	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1			
Confusion	1 to <5	<1	-	-	-	-	1			
Depression	1 to <5	4	-	-	-	-	-			
Dizziness	15.4	2 to 6	14	-	4.5	-	-			
Fatigue	-	3	-	-	3.2	-	6			





Adverse Event	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
Auverse Lverit	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Fever	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Flushing	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-
Headache	43.5	3 to 8	2	5.5	6.5	-	38 (tolerance usually develops)
Insomnia	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Lethargy/malaise	6.4	-	~	-	-	-	2
Migraine	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nervousness	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pain	15	6	-	-	-	-	6
Seizure	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Somnolence	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	1
Vertigo	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Dermatologic							
Alopecia	1 to <5	-	~	-	-	-	<1
Bullous eruption	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Eczema	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Erythema multiforme	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Erythema nodosum	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Exfoliative dermatitis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Ischemic necrosis	-	<1	-	ı	-	-	-
Lichen planus	-	<1	-	ı	-	-	-
Maculopapular rash	-	<1	-	ı	-	<1	-
Pruritus	5.5	3	✓	ı	-	1	<1
Purpura	-	-	-	-	-	2	1
Rash	8.3	4	2	-	-	5	<1
Skin disease	1 to <5	-	-	1	-	-	-
Stevens-Johnson syndrome	-	-	-	1	-	<1	-
Toxic epidermal necrolysis	-	<1	-	1	-	-	-
Ulceration	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Urticaria	-	<1	-	1	-	<1	<1
Endocrine/Metabolic							
Dehydration	1 to <5	-	-	1	-	-	-





Adverse Event	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
Adverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Gout/hyperuricemia	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Hypercholesterolemia/increased cholesterol	-	-	-	7	-	>10*	-
Hyponatremia	-	-	-	_	_	<1	-
Pancreatitis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1
Gastrointestinal	1		•			•	
Abdominal distress	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
Abdominal pain	16.4	2 to 6	-	-	-	4	18
Abnormal stools	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Anorexia	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	1
Aphthous stomatitis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Chronic diarrhea	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Constipation	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Diarrhea	25.7	2 to 5	✓	-	3.7	13	13
Dyspepsia	5.2	2 to 5	✓	-	-	7	>10
Dysuria	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eructation	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Flatulence	10.2	-	-	-	-	2	-
Gastritis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal distress	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastrointestinal hemorrhage	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	<1	1
Hematemesis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Hematuria	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hemorrhoids	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Melena	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nausea	17.1	3	✓	-	4.3	7	16
Peptic ulcer	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Rectal bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Retroperitoneal hemorrhage	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Vomiting	9.7	1 to 3	✓	-	-	2	8
Genitourinary	_	T	T	T	1	T	
Cystitis	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-





Adverse Event	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
Adverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Hematuria	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Interstitial nephritis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Menorrhagia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Papillary necrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Renal failure	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1
Serum creatinine increased	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Urinary tract infection	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Uterine hemorrhage	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Hematologic							
Agranulocytosis	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Anemia	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	2
Aplastic anemia	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1
Bleeding	-	Major, 4; minor, 5	-	Major, 2.2; minor, 2.4	Non- CABG- related, 8.7; CABG- related, 85.8	-	-
Disseminated intravascular coagulation	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Ecchymosis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eosinophilia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Epistaxis	1 to <5	3	-	-	-	-	-
Granulocytopenia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Hematoma	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Hemolytic anemia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Hemorrhage	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypochromic anemia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Leukopenia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Lymphadenopathy	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Neutropenia	-	<1	-	-	-	2	-
Pancytopenia	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1
Prothrombin time prolonged	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1





Adverse Event	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
Autoros Etent	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Purpura	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Thrombocytopenia	1 to <5	<1	✓	-	-	<1	<1
Thrombocytosis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Thrombosis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thrombotic thrombocytopenic	_	_	_	_	_	<1	_
purpura	-	-	_	-	-	< 1	-
Hepatic							
Acute liver failure	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Bilirubinemia	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Cholelithiasis	-	-	✓	-	-	-	<1
Elevated liver enzymes	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	•
Fatty liver	-	<1	-	-	-	-	•
Hepatic failure	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Hepatic necrosis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	•
Hepatitis	-	<1	✓	-	-	<1	<1
Jaundice	-	-	-	-	-	<1	<1
Liver dysfunction	-	-	✓	-	-	-	•
Liver function test abnormalities	-	<3	-	-	-	1	•
Neuromuscular/Musculoskeletal							
Arthralgia	1 to <5	6	-	-	-	-	6
Arthritis	-	1 to 3	~	-	-	-	2
Arthropathy	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Arthrosis	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Back pain	5.9	6	-	5	3.6	-	5
Fatigue	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
Leg cramps	1 to <5	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Myalgia	1 to <5	-	~	-	-	-	1
Myositis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Neuralgia	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	-
Paresthesia	5.9	1 to 3	~	-	-	-	<1
Peripheral neuropathy	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Rhabdomyolysis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Weakness	-	1 to 3	-	-	-	-	2





Adverse Event	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Respiratory							
Asthma	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bronchiolitis obliterans-organized pneumonia	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Bronchitis	1 to <5	4	-	-	-	-	-
Bronchospasm	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Cough	6.3	3	-	-	4.9	-	2
Dyspnea	11.9	5	-	-	13.8	-	<1
Epistaxis	-	-	-	6.2	-	-	2
Hemoptysis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1
Hemothorax	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Intestinal pneumonitis	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Larynx edema	-	-	~	-	-	-	-
Pharyngitis	6.8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pneumonia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pneumonitis	-	-	-	-	-	<1	•
Pulmonary edema	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Pulmonary hemorrhage	-	<1	-	-	-	-	•
Respiratory disease	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	•
Rhinitis	1 to <5	4	-	-	-	-	•
Sinusitis	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tachypnea	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Upper respiratory infection	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Other							
Abnormal vision	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Allergic reaction	-	<1	-	-	-	-	<1
Allergic vasculitis	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Amblyopia	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	1
Anaphylactoid reaction/anaphylaxis	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1
Angioedema	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	<1
Ante-/peri-/postpartum bleeding	-	-	-	-	-	-	<1
Asthenia	23.1	-	-	-	-	-	=





Adverse Event	Single-Entity Agents						Combination Products
Adverse Event	Anagrelide	Clopidogrel	Dipyridamole	Prasugrel	Ticagrelor	Ticlopidine	Aspirin/ER Dipyridamole
Cataract	-	1 to 3	-	ı	-	-	-
Chills	1 to <5	-	-	1	1	-	-
Conjunctival bleeding	-	-	-	1	1	<1	-
Conjunctivitis	-	1 to 3	-	1	1	-	-
Deafness	-	-	-	1	1	-	<1
Diplopia	1 to <5	-	-	1	1	-	-
Fever	8.9	<1	-	1	1	-	-
Flu symptoms	1 to <5	8	-	1	1	-	-
Hypersensitivity reaction	-	<1	✓	1	1	-	-
Lower weight infants	-	-	-	1	1	-	<1
Non-cardiac chest pain	-	-	-	-	3.7	-	-
Ocular/retinal hemorrhage	-	<1	-	1	1	-	-
Photosensitivity	1 to <5	-	-	1	1	-	-
Positive antinuclear antibody	-	-	-	1	1	<1	-
Reye's syndrome	-	-	-	1	1	-	<1
Sepsis	-	-	-	1	1	<1	-
Serum sickness	-	<1	-	1	1	<1	-
Stillbirths	-	-	-		-	-	<1
Systemic lupus erythematosus	-	-	-	-	-	<1	-
Taste disorder	-	<1	-	-	-	-	-
Tinnitus	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vasculitis	-	<1	-	-	-	<1	-
Visual field abnormality	1 to <5	-	-	-	-	-	-





CABG=coronary artery bypass graft surgery
*Increases of eight to 10% within one month of therapy.
-Event not reported or incidence <1%.

[✓] Percent not specified.

Contraindications/Precautions

Anagrelide is contraindicated with severe hepatic impairment. Clopidogrel is contraindicated with active pathological bleeding such as peptic ulcer or intracranial hemorrhage, and with hypersensitivity to clopidogrel or any component of the product.² Dipyridamole is contraindicated with a hypersensitivity to dipyridamole and any other component of the product.³ Prasugrel is contraindicated with active pathological bleeding such as peptic ulcer or intracranial hemorrhage, a history of prior transient ischemic attack or stroke, and with hypersensitivity to prasugrel or any component of the product.⁴ Ticagrelor is contraindicated with known active bleeds which may include peptic ulcer disease or history of intracranial hemorrhage. Specifically, patients with intracranial hemorrhage may be at risk for recurrent episodes. Ticagrelor is also contraindicated with severe hepatic dysfunction. Ticlopidine is contraindicated with hypersensitivity to the drug, a presence of hematopoietic disorders such as neutropenia and thrombocytopenia or a past history of either thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura or aplastic anemia, a presence of a hemostatic disorder or active pathological bleeding, and severe liver impairment. 5 Aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole is contraindicated with known hypersensitivity to any of the product components. Aspirin, a component of the combination product, is contraindicated with known allergy to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and with the syndrome of asthma, rhinitis, and nasal polyps. Aspirin may also cause severe urticaria, angioedema, or bronchospasm. In addition, aspirin should not be used in children or teenagers with viral infections due to the risk of Reye syndrome.

Anagrelide should be used with caution in patients with known or suspected heart disease, and only if the potential benefits of therapy outweigh the potential risks. Because of the positive inotropic effects and side effects of anagrelide, a pre-treatment cardiovascular examination is recommended in addition to careful monitoring during treatment. In humans, therapeutic doses of anagrelide may cause cardiovascular effects, including vasodilation, tachycardia, palpitations, and congestive heart failure. The potential risks and benefits of anagrelide in a patient with mild and moderate hepatic impairment should be assessed before treatment is initiated. In addition, interstitial lung diseases have been reported to be associated with the use of anagrelide in postmarketing reports. In most cases, the symptoms improved after discontinuation of anagrelide.¹

In general, thienopyridines increase the risk of bleeding. If a patient is to undergo surgery and an antiplatelet effect is not desired, treatment with a thienopyridine should be discontinued five days prior to surgery.^{2,7} Specific to treatment with prasugrel, the agent should be used with caution in patients with increased risk factors for bleeding. Risk factors include those patients who are ≥75 years of age. In this patient population prasugrel should be avoided except in high-risk situations such as diabetes or a history of myocardial infarction (MI), where the agent's effect appears to be greater and its use may be considered. Additional bleeding risk factors include patients planning on undergoing a coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery or other surgical procedures. Patients who are planning on undergoing a CABG should not be started on prasugrel and those currently being treated with the medication should have it discontinued at least seven days prior to surgery. Furthermore, ticagrelor increased the overall risk of bleeding, both major and minor, to a greater extent compared to clopidogrel. This was true for non-CABG-related bleeding as opposed to CABG-related bleeding. Fatal and life-threatening bleeds were not increased. Risk factors for bleeding associated with ticagrelor include older age, a history of bleeding disorders, performance of percutaneous intervention (PCI), and use of concomitant medications which increase bleeding risk. Bleeding with ticagrelor should be suspected in any patient who is hypotensive and has recently undergone coronary angiography, PCI, CABG, or other surgical procedures, even if the patient does not have any signs of bleeding. Managing bleeding without stopping ticagrelor is recommended, as stopping ticagrelor increases the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.⁷ Thienopyridines also inhibit platelet aggregation for the lifetime of the platelet (seven to 10 days); therefore, withholding a dose will not be useful in managing a bleeding event or the risk of bleeding associated with an invasive procedure. In addition, thrombocytopenic purpura, sometimes fatal, has been reported following the use of clopidogrel, sometimes after a short exposure (less than two weeks).2 This warning is applied to all theinopyridines^{2,4,5}





Clopidogrel is a prodrug; therefore, inhibition of platelet aggregation by clopidogrel is achieved through an active metabolite. The metabolism of clopidogrel to its active metabolite can be impaired by genetic variations in cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C19 and by concomitant medications that interfere with CYP2C19. Concomitant use of clopidogrel with the proton pump inhibitors omeprazole and esomeprazole should be avoided as both agents significantly reduce the antiplatelet activity of clopidogrel. Lapses in clopidogrel therapy should be avoided, and if clopidogrel must be temporarily discontinued, the medication should be restarted as soon as possible. Premature discontinuation of clopidogrel may increase the risk of cardiovascular events.²

Dipyridamole has a vasodilatory effect and should be used with caution in patients with severe coronary artery disease. Chest pain may be aggravated in patients with underlying coronary artery disease who are receiving dipyridamole. Elevations of hepatic enzymes and hepatic failure have been reported in association with dipyridamole administration. In addition, dipyridamole should be used with caution in patients with hypotension since it can produce peripheral vasodilation.³

In patients who are being managed with PCI or stent placement, premature prasugrel discontinuation can potentially lead to an increased risk of stent thrombosis, MI, or death; therefore, lapses in therapy should be avoided. If the agent is discontinued due to an adverse event it should be restarted as soon as possible. The medication should also be used with caution in patients with conditions that have the propensity to bleed such as recent surgery or trauma, or severe hepatic impairment. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura has been reported with prasugrel use. Hypersensitivity including angioedema has also been reported with prasugrel use. Reports from patients with a history of hypersensitivity reaction to other thienopyridines have been made.⁴

In the PLATO study, use of ticagrelor with maintenance doses of aspirin >100 mg/day decreased the effectiveness of ticagrelor. Because of this, after the initial loading dose of aspirin, usually 325 mg, a maintenance dose of 75 to 100 mg/day should be used in patients receiving ticagrelor. In addition, dyspnea was reported in 14% of patients receiving ticagrelor compared to eight percent of patients receiving clopidogrel. Dyspnea was mild to moderate in intensity, and frequently resolved during continued treatment. If new, prolonged or worsened dyspnea develops in a patient receiving ticagrelor, exclude underlying disease that may require treatment. If dyspnea is determined to be related to ticagrelor, no specific treatment is required; continue therapy without interruption. As mentioned previously, avoid interruption of ticagrelor treatment. If therapy must be temporarily discontinued, restart it as soon as possible. Discontinuation of ticagrelor increases the risk of MI, stent thrombosis and death.⁷

Neutropenia may occur suddenly in patients receiving ticlopidine. After withdrawal of ticlopidine, the neutrophil count usually rises to >1,200/mm³ within one to three weeks. Rarely, thrombocytopenia may occur in isolation or together with neutropenia. Aplastic anemia, characterized by anemia, thrombocytopenia and neutropenia together with a bone marrow examination that shows decreases in the precursor cells for red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets may also develop in patients receiving ticlopidine. Prompt treatment, which may include the use of drugs to stimulate the bone marrow, can minimize the mortality associated with aplastic anemia. Patients receiving ticlopidine must be monitored every two weeks throughout treatment for hematologic adverse reactions. Rare cases of agranulocytosis, pancytopenia, or leukemia have been reported in post-marketing experience, some of which have been fatal. In addition, ticlopidine causes increased serum cholesterol and triglycerides levels. The tolerance and safety of coadministration of ticlopidine with heparin, oral anticoagulants, or fibrinolytic agents have not been established. If a patient is switched from an anticoagulant or fibrinolytic drug to ticlopidine, the former drug should be discontinued prior to initiating ticlopidine.

Intracranial hemorrhage was observed in patients receiving aspirin/dipyridamole during clinical trials. Even low doses of aspirin can inhibit platelet function leading to an increase in bleeding time. Use of aspirin/dipyridamole in patients with a history of active peptic ulcer disease should be avoided. In addition, because of the aspirin component, patients receiving aspirin/dipyridamole should be counseled about the bleeding risks involved with chronic and heavy alcohol intake. As mentioned previously,





dipyridamole has a vasodilatory effect; therefore, patients with underlying coronary artery disease who are receiving aspirin/dipyridamole may experience chest pain. In addition, for stroke and transient ischemic attack patients for whom aspirin is indicated to prevent recurrent MI or angina pectoris, the aspirin component in the aspirin/dipyridamole combination product may not provide adequate treatment for the cardiac indications. Finally, aspirin/dipyridamole capsules are not interchangeable with the individual components of aspirin and dipyridamole tablets.⁶

These contraindications/precautions have resulted in the assignment by the Food and Drug Administration of the Black Box Warnings outlined below.

Black Box Warning for Plavix® (clopidogrel)⁹⁰

WARNING

Diminished effectiveness in poor metabolizers: The effectiveness of clopidogrel is dependent on its activation to an active metabolite by the cytochrome P450 (CYP 450) system, principally CYP2C19. Poor metabolizers treated with clopidogrel at recommended doses exhibit higher cardiovascular event rates following acute coronary syndrome or percutaneous coronary intervention than patients with normal CYP2C19 function. Tests are available to identify a patient's CYP2C19 genotype and can be used an as aid in determining therapeutic strategy. Consider alternative treatment or treatment strategies in patients identified as CYP2C19 poor metabolizers.

Black Box Warning for Effient® (prasugrel)⁹⁰

WARNING

Bleeding risk: Prasugrel can cause significant, sometimes fatal, bleeding. Do not use prasugrel in patients with active pathological bleeding or a history of transient ischemic attack or stroke. In patients 75 years of age and older, prasugrel is generally not recommended because of the increased risk of fatal and intracranial bleeding and uncertain benefit, except in high-risk situations (patients with diabetes or a history of prior myocardial infarction) in which its effect appears to be greater and its use may be considered. Do not start prasugrel in patients likely to undergo urgent coronary artery bypass graft surgery. When possible, discontinue prasugrel at least seven days prior to any surgery. Additional risk factors for bleeding include body weight less than 60 kg, propensity to bleed, and concomitant use of medications that increase the risk of bleeding (e.g., warfarin, heparin, fibrinolytic therapy, chronic use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs). Suspect bleeding in any patient who is hypotensive and has recently undergone coronary angiography, percutaneous coronary intervention), coronary artery bypass graft surgery, or other surgical procedures in the setting of prasugrel. If possible, manage bleeding without discontinuing prasugrel. Discontinuing prasugrel, particularly in the first few weeks after acute coronary syndrome, increases the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.

Black Box Warning for Brilinta® (ticagrelor)90

WARNING

Ticagrelor, like other antiplatelet agents, can cause significant, sometimes fatal, bleeding. Do not use ticagrelor in patients with active pathological bleeding or a history of intracranial hemorrhage. Do not initiate therapy with ticagrelor in patients planning to undergo urgent coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. When possible, discontinue ticagrelor at least five days prior to any surgery. Suspect bleeding in any patient who is hypotensive and has recently undergone coronary angiography, percutaneous coronary intervention, CABG, or other surgical procedures in the setting of ticagrelor. If possible, manage bleeding without discontinuing ticagrelor. Stopping ticagrelor increases the risk of subsequent cardiovascular events.

Maintenance doses of aspirin above 100 mg reduce the effectiveness of ticagrelor; avoid such doses. After any initial dose, use with aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day.





Black Box Warning for ticlopidine⁹⁰

WARNING

Ticlopidine can cause life-threatening hematological adverse reactions, including neutropenia/agranulocytosis and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and aplastic anemia. Neutropenia/agranulocytosis: Among 2,048 patients in clinical trials, there were 50 cases (2.4%) of neutropenia (less than 1,200 neutrophils/mm³), and the neutrophil count was below 450/mm³ in 17 of these patients (0.8% of the total population).

Thrombotic Thrombocytopenic Purpura: One case of thrombocytopenic purpura was reported during clinical trials. Based on postmarketing data, United States physicians reported about 100 cases between 1992 and 1997. Based on an estimated patient exposure of two to four million, and assuming an event reporting rate of 10% (the true rate is not known), the incidence of ticlopidine-associated thrombocytopenic purpura may be as high as one case in every 2,000 to 4,000 patients exposed.

Aplastic anemia: Aplastic anemia was not seen during clinical trials in stroke patients, but United States physicians reported about 50 cases between 1992 and 1998. Based on an estimated patient exposure of two to four million, and assuming an event reporting rate of 10% (the true rate is not known), the incidence of ticlopidine-associated aplastic anemia may be as high as one case in every 4,000 to 8,000 patients exposed.

Monitoring of clinical and hematologic status: Severe hematologic adverse reactions may occur within a few days of the start of therapy. The incidence of thrombocytopenic purpura peaks after about three to four weeks of therapy and neutropenia peaks at approximately four to six weeks. The incidence of aplastic anemia peaks after about four to eight weeks of therapy. The incidence of the hematologic adverse reactions declines thereafter. Only a few cases of neutropenia, thrombocytopenic purpura, or aplastic anemia have arisen after more than three months of treatment. Hematological adverse reactions cannot be reliably predicted by any identified demographic or clinical characteristics. During the first three months of treatment, patients receiving ticlopidine must, therefore, be hematologically and clinically monitored for evidence of neutropenia or thrombocytopenic purpura. If any such evidence is seen, ticlopidine should be immediately discontinued.

Drug Interactions

Table 7. Drug Interactions^{2,22,90}

Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin, prasugrel)	NSAIDs	NSAIDs may reduce the cardioprotective effect of low-dose, uncoated aspirin. Aspirin and NSAIDs are also gastric irritants. The risk of bleeding may be increased when prasugrel and NSAIDs are
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin, prasugrel)	Warfarin	administered concurrently. Anticoagulant activity may be enhanced; increasing the risk of bleeding.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Angiotensin converting enzyme Inhibitors	Aspirin may reduce the hypotensive and vasodilator effects of angiotensin converting enzyme Inhibitors.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	β-blockers	Salicylates (aspirin) may attenuate the blood pressure lowering effects of β blockers. In addition, the beneficial effects of β -blockers on left ventricular ejection fraction in patients with chronic heart failure may be attenuated.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors	Concomitant use may result in carbonic anhydrase inhibitor accumulation and toxicity.





Generic Name	Interacting Medication or Disease	Potential Result
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Clopidogrel	The risk of life-threatening bleeding may be increased in high-risk patients with transient ischemic attack or ischemic stroke.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Heparin	Concomitant use may increase the risk of bleeding.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Influenza virus vaccine, intranasal	The risk of Reye syndrome may be increased.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Insulin	The serum glucose lowering action of insulin may be potentiated.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Methotrexate	Increased toxic effects of methotrexate may occur.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Sulfinpyrazone	Concomitant use may suppress the uricosuria produced by sulfinpyrazone.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Sulfonylureas	Increased hypoglycemic effect of sulfonylureas.
Platelet inhibitors (aspirin)	Valproic acid	Increased free fraction of valproic acid, possibly leading to toxic effects of valproic acid.
Platelet inhibitors (clopidogrel)	Azole antifungals (ketoconazole)	Ketoconazole may inhibit the antiplatelet effect of clopidogrel.
Platelet inhibitors (clopidogrel)	Proton pump inhibitors	Proton pump inhibitors (omeprazole, esomeprazole) may decrease the antiplatelet activity of clopidogrel.
Platelet inhibitors (dipyridamole)	Adenosine	Dipyridamole may potentiate the pharmacologic effects of adenosine, resulting in profound bradycardia after rapid bolus adenosine administration.
Platelet inhibitors (ticagrelor)	Digoxin	Concurrent use may result in increased digoxin levels.
Platelet inhibitors (ticagrelor)	HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (lovastatin, simvastatin)	Concurrent use may result in increased lovastatin and simvastatin plasma concentrations.
Platelet inhibitors (ticagrelor)	Strong cytochrome P450 3A inducers/inhibitors	Concurrent use may result in decreased/increased ticagrelor plasma concentrations.
Platelet inhibitors (ticlopidine)	Cyclosporine	Cyclosporine whole blood concentrations may decrease, producing a decrease in pharmacologic effects.
Platelet inhibitors (ticlopidine)	Hydantoins	Plasma hydantoin concentrations may be increased, resulting in an increase in adverse effects.
Platelet inhibitors (ticlopidine)	Theophyllines	Increased theophylline levels have been noted when administered concomitantly with ticlopidine.

NSAIDs=nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

Dosage and Administration

If intolerable headaches occur during administration of aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole during initial treatment, patients should switch to one capsule in the evening plus a low-dose aspirin in the morning. As the headaches become less of a problem, patients should return to the usual dosing regimen as soon as possible, usually within one week.⁶

Table 8. Dosing and Administration 1-7

Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability			
Single-Entity Agents						
Anagrelide	Treatment of patients with	Treatment of patients with	Capsule:			





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	thrombocythemia, secondary to	thrombocythemia,	0.5 mg
	myeloproliferative disorders:	secondary to	1 mg
	Capsule: initial, 0.5 mg QID or 1 mg	<u>myeloproliferative</u>	_
	BID for ≥1 week; maintenance, adjust	disorders:†	
	to the lowest effective dosage required	Capsule: initial, 0.5	
	to reduce and maintain platelet count	mg/day; maintenance,	
	<600,000/μL; maximum, 10 mg/day or	adjust to the lowest	
	2.5 mg in a single dose*	effective dosage required	
		to reduce and maintain	
		platelet count	
		<600,000/µL; maximum, 10 mg/day or 2.5 mg in a	
		single dose*	
Clopidogrel	Recent MI, recent stroke, or	Safety and efficacy in	Tablet:
	established peripheral arterial disease:	children have not been	75 mg
	Tablet: 75 mg QD	established.	300 mg
	B. L. and L. and and the control of the		
	Reduce the rate of thrombotic		
	cardiovascular events in patients with ACS, non-ST-elevation:		
	Tablet: initial, 300 mg as a single		
	loading dose; maintenance, 75 mg		
	QD [‡]		
	Reduce the rate of thrombotic		
	cardiovascular events in patients with		
	ACS, ST-elevation MI:		
D: :1 1	Tablet: 75 mg QD [§]	0.6.4	-
Dipyridamole	Prevention of postoperative	Safety and efficacy in	Tablet:
	thromboembolic complications of cardiac valve replacement:	children <12 years of age have not been established.	25 mg 50 mg
		nave not been established.	
Prasugrel		Safety and efficacy in	
i rabagioi			
			l i i i i i
	QD [¶]		
Ticagrolor	Paduca the rate of thrombatic	Safety and officery in	Tablet:
ı ıcayı C IUI			
			Jonny
		ostabilorioa.	
	mg BID [#]		
Ticlopidine	Reduce the incidence of subacute	Safety and efficacy in	Tablet:
	stent thrombosis in patients	children have not been	250 mg
	undergoing successful coronary stent	established.	
	Tablet: 250 mg BID for up to 30 days**		
	Reduce the risk of thrombotic stroke		
Prasugrel Ticagrelor Ticlopidine	Reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS: Tablet: initial, 180 mg (two tablets) as a single loading dose, maintenance, 90 mg BID [#] Reduce the incidence of subacute stent thrombosis in patients		





Generic Name	Adult Dose	Pediatric Dose	Availability
	(fatal or nonfatal) in patients who have experienced stroke precursors, and in patients who have had a completed thrombotic stroke: Tablet: 250 mg BID ^{††}		
Combination Pro	ducts		
Aspirin/ extended- release dipyridamole ^{‡‡}	Reduce the risk of stroke in patients who have had transient ischemia or the brain or completed ischemic stroke due to thrombosis: Capsule: 25/200 mg BID	Safety and efficacy in children have not been established.	Capsule: 25/200 mg

ACS=acute coronary syndrome, BID=twice-daily, MI=myocardial infarction, PCI=percutaneous coronary intervention, QD=once daily, QID=four times daily

Clinical Guidelines

Current guidelines are summarized in Table 9. Please note that due to the complexity of treatment regimens for stroke, stable and unstable angina, acute coronary syndromes, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, and secondary prevention of coronary artery disease (or myocardial infarction), the associated clinical guideline summaries focus on the role of platelet inhibitors in disease management.

While not Food and Drug Administration-approved for the indication, the use of clopidogrel in combination with aspirin to reduce the risk of major vascular events, including stroke, can be considered in patients with atrial fibrillation in whom oral anticoagulation with warfarin is considered unsuitable. Patients with atrial fibrillation, who have undergone percutaneous coronary intervention or revascularization surgery, may also be considered for low-dose aspirin and/or clopidogrel in combination with anticoagulation therapy to prevent myocardial ischemic events. Of note, this treatment strategy has not been thoroughly evaluated and puts a patient at an increased risk of bleeding. 91-92

Table 9. Clinical Guidelines

Recommendations
Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation (AF):
Patients with nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation
 For patients with AF who are at low risk for stroke (CHADS₂=0),
antithrombotic therapy is not recommended. For patients who
choose antithrombotic therapy, aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily) is
recommended over oral anticoagulants or aspirin plus clopidogrel.
 For patients with AF who are at intermediate risk for stroke
(CHADS ₂ =1), oral anticoagulation is recommended over aspirin
(75 to 325 mg daily) and over aspirin plus clopidogrel.
 For patients with AF who are at high risk for stroke (CHADS₂=2),
oral anticoagulation is recommended over no therapy, aspirin or
aspirin plus clopidogrel. For patients who are unable or choose not
to take an oral anticoagulant, combination therapy with aspirin and





^{*}The dosage should be increased by no more than 0.5 mg/day in any one week.

[†]An open-label safety and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study was conducted in children seven to 14 years of age. ‡Administer with daily aspirin (75 to 325 mg).

[§]May be administered with or without a loading dose.

Ss adjunct to the usual warfarin therapy. Aspirin is not to be administered concomitantly with coumarin anticoagulants.

The safety and efficacy of the 5 mg dose have not been prospectively studied.

[#]Patients receiving ticagrelor should receive a typical initial loading dose of aspiring (325 mg), followed by a daily maintenance dose of aspirin of 75 to 100 mg.

^{**}Take with food and with antiplatelet doses of aspirin.

^{††}Take with food.

^{‡‡}Aspirin/dipyridamole is not interchangeable with the individual components of aspirin and dipyridamole.

Clinical Guideline	Decommondations
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations clopidogrel rather than aspirin alone is recommended.
	Vitamin K antagonists (VKA) therapy is recommended over aspiring
	(75 to 325 mg daily) or aspirin plus clopidogrel in patients with AF
	and mitral stenosis. For patients who are unable or choose not to
	take an oral anticoagulant, combination therapy with aspirin and
	clopidogrel rather than aspirin alone is recommended.
	Patients with AF and stable coronary artery disease (CAD)
	o In patients with stable CAD (no acute coronary syndrome [ACS] in
	previous year), and who choose oral anticoagulation, dose-
	adjusted VKA therapy alone (INR 2 to 3) is recommended over
	dose-adjusted VKA therapy plus aspirin.
	Patients with AF and placement of an intracoronary stent
	 For patients with AF at high risk of stroke (CHADS₂≥2) during the
	first month after placement of a bare-metal stent or the first three
	to six months after placement of a drug-eluting stent, triple therapy
	(e.g., VKA therapy, aspirin and clopidogrel) is recommended over
	dual antiplatelet therapy.
	 After this initial period of triple therapy, VKA therapy (INR 2 to 3)
	plus a single antiplatelet drug rather than VKA monotherapy is
	recommended.
	 Twelve months following intracoronary stent placement, continuing
	antithrombotic therapy is suggested for AF patients with stable
	CAD.
	 For patients with AF at low to intermediate risk of stroke during the
	first 12 months after intracoronary stent placement (bare metal or
	drug eluting), dual antiplatelet therapy rather than triple therapy is recommended.
	 At 12 months after intracoronary stent placement, antithrombotic therapy is suggested as for patients with AF and stable coronary
	artery disease
	Patients with AF and ACS who do not undergo intracoronary stent
	placement
	 For patients with AF at intermediate to high risk of stroke
	(CHADS₂≥1) who experience an ACS but do not undergo
	intracoronary stent placement, dose-adjusted VKA therapy (INR 2
	to 3) plus single antiplatelet therapy is recommended for the first
	12 months rather than dual antiplatelet therapy (aspirin plus
	clopidogrel) or triple therapy (warfarin, aspirin and clopidogrel).
	 After 12 months, antithrombotic therapy is suggested as for
	patients with AF and stable CAD.
	 For patients with AF at low risk of stroke (CHADS₂=0), dual
	antiplatelet therapy (aspirin and clopidogrel) is recommended
	rather than dose-adjusted VKA therapy plus single antiplatelet
	therapy or triple therapy (warfarin, aspirin and clopidogrel). After
	the first 12 months, antithrombotic therapy is suggested as for
	patients with AF and stable coronary artery disease.
	Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for valvular disease
	 Patients with patent foramen ovale (PFO) and atrial septal aneurysm
	o In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO or atrial septal
	aneurysm, aspirin (50 to 100 mg daily) is recommended over no
	aspirin.
	 In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO or atrial septal





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Omnour Guidenne	aneurysm who experience recurrent events despite aspirin
	therapy, treatment with VKA therapy (INR 2 to 3) and consideration
	of device closure over aspirin therapy should be considered.
	 In patients with cryptogenic stroke and PFO, with evidence of deep
	vein thrombosis (DVT), VKA therapy should be initiated for three
	months (INR 2 to 3) and consideration should be given to device
	closure over no VKA therapy or aspirin therapy.
	Antithrombotic therapy in the first three months after surgery
	 In patients with aortic bioprosthetic valves who are in sinus rhythm
	with no other indication for VKA therapy, aspirin (50 to 100 mg
	daily) should be used over VKA therapy in the first three months.
	o In patients with transcatheter aortic bioprosthetic valves, aspirin
	(50 to 100 mg daily) plus clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is
	recommended over VKA therapy or no antiplatelet therapy in the
	first three months.
	o In patients with a bioprosthetic valve in the mitral position, VKA
	therapy (INR 2 to 3) is recommended over no VKA therapy for the first three months after valve insertion.
	Long-term antithrombotic therapy for patients with bioprosthetic valves
	Aspirin therapy is recommended over no aspirin therapy in
	patients with biprosthetic valves in normal sinus rhythm after three
	months postoperative.
	Antiplatelet agent in addition to VKA therapy for patients with mechanical
	aortic or mitral valve prostheses
	 In patients with a mechanical mitral or aortic valve and a low risk of
	bleeding, the addition of an antiplatelet agent to VKA therapy is
	recommended.
	Antiplatelet agent therapy instead of VKA therapy
	 For patients with mechanical aortic or mitral valves, VKA therapy is
	recommended over antiplatelet agents.
	 Antithrombotic therapy after mitral valve repair In patients undergoing mitral valve repair with a prosthetic band in
	 In patients undergoing mitral valve repair with a prosthetic band in normal sinus rhythm, antiplatelet therapy is preferred for the first
	three months over VKA therapy.
	Patients undergoing aortic valve repair
	Aspirin (50 to 100 mg daily) is recommended over VKA therapy in
	patients undergoing aortic valve repair.
	Antithrombotic therapy and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke
	Aspirin in patients with acute ischemic stroke:
	 Early aspirin therapy (within 48 hours) is recommended (initial
	dose, 160 to 325 mg) over no aspirin therapy in patients with acute
	ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA).
	Anticoagulation in patients with acute ischemic stroke: Continuous in the continuous contin
	Early aspirin therapy (within 48 hours) is recommended (initial
	dose, 160 to 325 mg) over no therapeutic parenteral anticoagulation in patients with acute ischemic stroke or TIA.
	 Antithrombotic therapy for the secondary prevention of noncardioembolic
	stroke:
	Long-term treatment with an antiplatelet drug is recommended in
	patients with a history of noncardioembolic ischemic stroke, or TIA.
	 Aspirin monotherapy (75 to 100 mg daily), the combination of





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations	
Similar Guidenne	aspirin (25 mg) plus extended-release dipyridamole (200 mg twice-	
	daily), clopidogrel (75 mg daily) or cilostazol (100 mg twice-daily)	
	monotherapy are all acceptable options for initial therapy. Aspirin,	
	at a dose of 50 to 100 mg daily, is recommended over high-dose	
	aspirin.	
	 Of the recommended regimens for initial therapy, clopidogrel or 	
	aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole is recommended over	
	aspirin or cilostazol.	
	Antithrombotic therapy for the secondary prevention of cardioembolic	
	stroke:	
	 Oral anticoagulation is recommended over aspirin, combination 	
	therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel or no antithrombotic therapy in	
	patients with a history of ischemic stroke or TIA and AF.	
	 Combination therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel is recommended 	
	over aspirin monotherapy in patients with a history of ischemic	
	stroke or TIA and AF who are unsuitable or choose not to take an	
	oral anticoagulant.	
	Antithrombotic therapy for stroke prevention in patients with a history of	
	intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH):	
	 Long-term antithrombotic therapy is not recommended for the 	
	prevention of ischemic stroke in patients with a history of a	
	symptomatic primary ICH.	
	The Primery and Secondary Prevention of Cardiovaccular Disease	
	 The Primary and Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease: 	
	Daily low-dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) is recommended over	
	no aspirin therapy in adults ≥50 years of age without symptomatic	
	cardiovascular disease.	
	 Choice of long-term antithrombotic therapy in patients with established 	
	CAD:	
	o Patients with established CAD, (one-year or more post- ACS with	
	prior revascularization, coronary stenoses >50% by coronary	
	angiogram, and/or evidence for cardiac ischemia on diagnostic	
	testing) long-term single antiplatelet therapy with aspirin (75 to 100	
	mg daily) or clopidogrel (75 mg daily) over no antiplatelet therapy	
	is recommended. Single antiplatelet therapy is recommended over	
	dual therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel.	
	Choice of antithrombotic therapy following ACS:	
	o For patients less than one year post-ACS who have <u>not</u> undergone	
	percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI):	
	 Dual antiplatelet therapy (ticagrelor 90 mg twice-daily plus 	
	low-dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily or clopidogrel 75 mg	
	daily plus low-dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily) is	
	recommended over single antiplatelet therapy.	
	Ticagrelor (90 mg twice-daily plus low-dose aspirin) is	
	recommended over clopidogrel (75 mg daily plus low-dose	
	aspirin). Ear patients with enterior myocardial inferction (MI) and left	
	o For patients with anterior myocardial infarction (MI) and left	
	ventricular (LV) thrombus, or at high risk for LV thrombus (ejection	
	fraction, 40%, anteroapical wall motion abnormality), who do not undergo stenting:	
	 Warfarin (INR 2 to 3) plus low-dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg 	
	daily) is recommended over single antiplatelet therapy or	
	aany, is recommended over single antiplatelet therapy of	





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Chinical Guidennie	dual antiplatelet therapy for the first three months. After
	three months discontinue warfarin and continue with dual
	antiplatelet therapy for up to 12 months as per the
	recommendations above. After 12 months, single
	antiplatelet therapy is recommended.
	o For patients less than one year post-ACS who have undergone
	PCI with stent placement:
	 Dual antiplatelet therapy (ticagrelor 90 mg twice-daily plus
	low-dose aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily, clopidogrel 75 mg
	daily plus low-dose aspirin, or prasugrel 10 mg daily plus
	low-dose aspirin) is recommended over single antiplatelet
	therapy.
	 Ticagrelor (90 mg twice-daily plus low-dose aspirin) is
	recommended over clopidogrel (75 mg daily plus low-dose
	aspirin).
	 For patients with anterior MI and LV thrombus, or at high risk for
	LV thrombus (ejection fraction <40%, anteroapical wall motion
	abnormality), who undergo bare-metal stent (BMS) placement:
	 Triple therapy (warfarin, low-dose aspirin and clopidogrel)
	for one month over dual antiplatelet therapy.
	 Warfarin and single antiplatelet therapy is recommended
	for the second and third month post-BMS over alternative
	regimens and alternative time frames for warfarin use.
	Thereafter, discontinue warfarin and use dual antiplatelet
	therapy for up to 12 months as per the recommendations
	above. After 12 months, antiplatelet therapy is
	recommended as per the established CAD
	recommendations.
	o For patients with anterior MI and LV thrombus, or at high risk for
	LV thrombus (ejection fraction <40%, anteroapical wall motion
	abnormality) who undergo drug-eluting stent (DES) placement:
	 Triple therapy (warfarin, low-dose aspirin and clopidogrel) is recommended for three to six months over alternative
	regimens and alternative durations of warfarin therapy.
	 Discontinue warfarin and continue of dual antiplatelet
	therapy for up to 12 months as per the ACS
	recommendations. After 12 months, antiplatelet therapy is
	recommended as per the established CAD
	recommendations.
	Antithrombotic therapy following elective PCI
	o For patients who have undergone elective PCI with placement of
	BMS: For the first month, dual anti platelet therapy with aspirin (75
	to 325 mg daily) and clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is recommended
	over single antiplatelet therapy.
	 For the subsequent 11 months, dual antiplatelet therapy with
	combination of low-dose aspirin (75 to 100) mg daily and
	clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is recommended over single antiplatelet
	therapy.
	 After 12 months, single antiplatelet therapy is recommended over
	continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy.
	For patients who have undergone elective PCI with placement of DES:
	o For the first three to six months, dual antiplatelet therapy with
	aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily) and clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Cillical Guideline	recommended over single antiplatelet therapy.
	After three to six months, continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy
	with low dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg) and clopidogrel (75 mg daily)
	is recommended until 12 months over single antiplatelet therapy.
	After 12 months, single antiplatelet therapy is recommended over
	continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy. Single antiplatelet therapy
	thereafter is recommended as per the established CAD
	recommendations.
	For patients who have undergone elective BMS or DES stent placement:
	Low-dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) and clopidogrel (75 mg)
	daily) together are recommended rather than cilostazol in addition
	to these drugs.
	Aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or clopidogrel (75 mg daily) are
	recommended as part of dual antiplatelet therapy rather than the
	use of either drug with cilostazol.
	Cilostazol (100 mg twice-daily) may be a substitute for either low-
	dose aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or clopidogrel (75 mg daily) as
	part of a dual antiplatelet regimen in patients with an allergy or
	intolerance of either drug class.
	 For patients with CAD undergoing elective PCI but no stent placement:
	Dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin (75 to 325 mg daily) and
	clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is recommended over single antiplatelet
	therapy for the first month. Single antiplatelet therapy thereafter is
	recommended as per the established CAD recommendations.
	Antithrombotic Therapy in Patients With Systolic LV Dysfunction
	No antiplatelet or warfarin therapy is recommended in patients with
	systolic LV dysfunction without established CAD and no LV
	thrombus.
	 For patients with systolic LV dysfunction and established CAD,
	recommendations are as per the established CAD
	recommendations.
	Antithrombotic therapy in peripheral artery disease (PAD)
	Primary prevention of cardiovascular events in patients with asymptomatic
	PAD:
	 Aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) is recommended over no aspirin
	therapy.
	Secondary prevention of cardiovascular events in patients with
	symptomatic PAD:
	 Long-term treatment with aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or
	clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is recommended. Dual antiplatelet
	therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel is not recommended and the
	use of an antiplatelet agent with moderate-intensity warfarin is not
	recommended.
	Antithrombotic therapy for the management of patients with claudication:
	 For patients with intermittent claudication refractory to exercise
	therapy (and smoking cessation), cilostazol in addition to
	previously antithrombotic therapies (aspirin 75 to 100 mg daily or
	clopidogrel 75 mg daily) is recommended.
	o Prostanoids in addition to aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or
	clopidogrel (75 mg daily) are recommended for patients with
	symptomatic PAD and critical leg ischemia/rest pain who are not
	candidates for vascular intervention.





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
J.II.I.Jul Guldollilo	Endovascular revascularization in patients with symptomatic PAD
	 For patients undergoing peripheral artery percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with or without stenting, long-term aspirin (75 to 100 mg/day) or clopidogrel (75 mg/day) is recommended. For patients undergoing peripheral artery percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with stenting, single antiplatelet therapy is recommended over dual antiplatelet therapy. Antithrombotic therapy following peripheral artery bypass graft surgery: Long-term therapy with aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) or clopidogrel 75 mg daily is recommended. Single antiplatelet therapy over antiplatelet therapy and warfarin is recommended. In patients undergoing below-knee bypass graft surgery with prosthetic grafts, clopidogrel (75 mg daily) plus aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) should be used over aspirin alone for one year. For all other patients, single over dual antiplatelet therapy should be used. Patients with carotid artery stenosis: Aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) is recommended in patients with asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis long-term antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel (75 mg daily) or the combination of aspirin plus dipyridamole ER (25/200 mg twicedaily), or aspirin (75 to 100 mg daily) is recommended over no treatment. Clopidogrel (75 mg daily) or the combination of aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole (25/200 mg twice-daily) is
American Heart Association/American Stroke Association: Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients with Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack (2011) ⁸	Antithrombotic therapy for noncardioembolic stroke or TIA (specifically, atherosclerotic, lacunar, or cryptogenic infarcts) The use of antiplatelet agents rather than oral anticoagulation is recommended to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke and other cardiovascular events. Aspirin (50 to 325 mg/day) monotherapy, the combination of aspirin 25 mg and dipyridamole ER 200 mg twice-daily and clopidogrel (75 mg/day) monotherapy are all acceptable options for initial therapy. The selection of an antiplatelet agent should be individualized on the basis of patient risk factor profiles, cost, tolerance, and other clinical characteristics. The risk of hemorrhage is increased when aspirin is added to clopidogrel; therefore, the combination is not recommended for routine secondary prevention after ischemic stroke or TIA. For patients allergic to aspirin, clopidogrel is reasonable. For patients who have an ischemic stroke while taking aspirin, there is no evidence that increasing the dose of aspirin provides additional benefit. Although alternative antiplatelet agents are often considered, no single agent or combination has been studied in patients who have had an event while receiving aspirin. Recommendations for patients with cardioembolic stroke types For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA with paroxysmal or
	permanent AF, anticoagulation with a VKA (target INR, 2.0 to 3.0) is recommended. o For patients unable to take oral anticoagulants, aspirin alone is





	December 1-th	
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations	
	recommended.	
	 The combination of clopidogrel plus aspirin carries a risk of bleeding similar to that of warfarin and therefore is not 	
	recommended for patients with a hemorrhagic contraindication to	
	warfarin.	
	 For patients with AF at high risk for stroke who require temporary 	
	interruption of oral anticoagulation, bridging therapy with a low	
	molecular weight heparin agent administered subcutaneously is	
	reasonable.	
	Acute MI and left ventricular thrombus:	
	Patients with ischemic stroke or TIA in the setting of an acute MI	
	complicated by left ventricular mural thrombus formation should be	
	treated with oral anticoagulation (target INR, 2.5; range, 2.0 to 3.0)	
	for at least three months.	
	 Cardiomyopathy: In patients with prior stroke or transient cerebral ischemic attack in 	
	sinus rhythm who have cardiomyopathy characterized by systolic	
	dysfunction, the benefit of warfarin has not been established.	
	 Warfarin (INR, 2.0 to 3.0), aspirin (81 mg/day), clopidogrel (75 	
	mg/day), or the combination of aspirin (25 mg twice-daily) plus ER	
	dipyridamole (200 mg twice-daily) may be considered to prevent	
	recurrent ischemic events in patients with pervious ischemic stroke	
	or TIA and cardiomyopathy.	
	Native valvular heart disease: For national with inchamic strake or TIA who have required mitral.	
	 For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have rheumatic mitral valve disease, whether or not AF is present, long-term warfarin 	
	therapy is reasonable with an INR target range of 2.5 (range 2.0 to	
	3.0).	
	 To avoid additional bleeding risk, antiplatelet agents should not be 	
	routinely added to warfarin.	
	For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and native aortic or non-	
	rheumatic mitral valve disease who do not have AF, antiplatelet	
	therapy may be reasonable. o For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and mitral annular	
	calcification, antiplatelet therapy may be considered.	
	For patients with mitral valve prolapse who have ischemic stroke	
	or TIA, long-term antiplatelet therapy may be considered.	
	Prosthetic heart valves:	
	For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have mechanical	
	prosthetic heart valves, warfarin is recommended with a target INR	
	of 3.0 (range, 2.5 to 3.5).	
	 For patients with prosthetic heart valves who have an ischemic stroke or systemic embolism despite adequate therapy with oral 	
	anticoagulants, aspirin 75 to 100 mg/day in addition to oral	
	anticoagulants and maintenance of the INR at a target of 3.0	
	(range, 2.5 to 3.5) is reasonable if the patient is not at high risk of	
	bleeding.	
	For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have bioprosthetic	
	heart valves with no other source of thromboembolism,	
American College of	anticoagulation with warfarin (INR 2.0 to 3.0) may be considered.	
American College of Cardiology	 Early hospital care-antiplatelet therapy Aspirin should be administered as soon as possible after hospital 	
Foundation/American	presentation and continued indefinitely in patients who tolerate it.	
	production and deminion in parotito who tolorate it	





Clinical Guideline Recommendations Heart Association: Clopidogrel should be administered to patients unable to take aspirin 2011 Focused because of hypersensitivity or major gastrointestinal intolerance. Update of the Patients with a definite diagnosis who are at medium or high risk and in Guidelines for the whom an initial invasive strategy is selected should receive dual Management of antiplatelet therapy on presentation. Aspirin should be initiated on Patients with presentation and the choice of a second antiplatelet agent to be initiated at Unstable presentation should include one of the following: before PCI: clopidogrel, Angina/Non-STan IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor; at the time of PCI: clopidogrel, prasugrel, or an **Elevation Myocardial** IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor. Infarction (Updating For an initial conservative strategy, clopidogrel should be added to aspirin the 2007 Guideline) and anticoagulant therapy as soon as possible after admission and $(2011)^{10}$ administered for at least one month and ideally up to one year. If recurrent symptoms/ischemia, heart failure, or serious arrhythmias subsequently appear after an initial conservative strategy, diagnostic angiography should be performed. Either an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor or clopidogrel should be added to aspirin and anticoagulant therapy before diagnostic angiography. A loading dose of thienopyridine is recommended for whom PCI is planned. Regimens include one of the following: clopidogrel 300 to 600 mg given as early as possible before or at the time of PCI, or prasugrel 60 mg given promptly and no later than one hour after PCI once coronary anatomy is defined and a decision is made to proceed with PCI. The duration of maintenance dose of thienopyridine therapy should be as follows: Patients undergoing PCI: clopidogrel 75 mg/day or prasugrel 10 mg/day for at least 12 months. If the risk of morbidity because of bleeding outweighs the anticipated benefits afforded by thienopyridine therapy, earlier discontinuation should be considered. If recurrent ischemia discomfort with clopidogrel, aspirin, and anticoagulant therapy is experienced with an initial conservative strategy, it is reasonable to add a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor before diagnostic angiography. For an initial conservative strategy, it is reasonable to omit administration of an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if bivalirudin is selected as the anticoagulant and at least 300 mg of clopidogrel was administered at least six hours earlier than planned catheterization or PCI. For an initial conservative strategy, it may be reasonable to add eptifibatide or tirofiban to anticoagulant and oral antiplatelet therapy. Prasugrel 60 mg may be considered for administration promptly upon presentation if PCI is planned, before definition of coronary anatomy if both the risk of bleeding is low and the need for CABG is considered unlikely. The use of upstream GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors may be considered in high-risk patients already receiving aspirin and a thienopyridine who are selected for an invasive strategy, who are not otherwise at high-risk for bleeding. In patients with a definite diagnosis undergoing PCI as part of an early invasive strategy, the use of a loading dose of clopidogrel 600 mg, followed by a higher maintenance dose of 150 mg/day for six days, then 75 mg/day may be reasonable in patients not considered at high risk for bleeding. Abciximab should not be administered to patients in whom PCI is not planned. In patients at low risk for ischemic events or at high-risk of bleeding and





Clinical Cuidalina	Decemmendations
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	who are already receiving aspirin and clopidogrel, upstream GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors are not recommended.
	 In patients with a history of stroke and/or transient ischemic attack for
	whom PCI is planned, prasugrel is potentially harmful as part of dual
	antiplatelet therapy.
	antiplatelet therapy.
	Additional antiplatelet and anticoagulation therapy
	In an initial conservative strategy with no subsequent features that would
	necessitate diagnostic angiography, a stress test should be performed.
	o If the patient is classified as not low-risk, diagnostic angiography
	should be performed.
	 If the patient is classified as low-risk, the following should take
	place in preparation for discharge:
	 Continue aspirin indefinitely.
	 Continue clopidogrel for at least one month and ideally up
	to one year.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if started previously.
	 Continue UFH for 48 hours or administer enoxaparin or
	fondaparinux for the duration of hospitalization, up to eight
	days, and then discontinue anticoagulant therapy.
	If CABG was selected as a postangiography management strategy, the following instructions about the followed:
	following instructions should be followed: o Continue aspirin.
	 Continue aspirin. Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor four hours before CABG.
	 Anticoagulant therapy should be managed as follows:
	Continue UFH.
	 Discontinue enoxaparin 12 to 24 hours, fondaparinux 24
	hours, and bivalirudin three hours before CABG and dose
	with UFH per institutional practice.
	In patients taking a thienopyridine in whom CABG is planned and can be
	delayed, it is recommended that the drug be discontinued to allow for
	dissipation of the antiplatelet effect. The period of withdrawal should be at
	least five days in patients receiving clopidogrel and at least seven in those
	receiving prasugrel unless the need for revascularization and/or the net
	benefit of the thienopyridine outweighs the potential risk of excess
	bleeding.
	 When PCI has been selected as a postangiography management strategy, the following instructions should be followed:
	 Continue aspirin.
	 Administer a loading dose of a thienopyridine if not started before
	diagnostic angiography.
	 Discontinue anticoagulant therapy after PCI for uncomplicated
	cases.
	When medical therapy is selected as a management strategy and no
	significant obstructive CAD on angiography is present, antiplatelet and
	anticoagulant therapy should be administered at the discretion of the
	clinician. For patients in whom evidence of coronary atherosclerosis is
	present, albeit without flow-limiting stenosis, long-term treatment with
	aspirin and other secondary prevention measures should be prescribed.
	When medical therapy is selected and CAD is presence, the following
	approach is recommended:
	o Continue aspirin.
	Administer a loading dose of clopidogrel if not given before





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Omnical Galacinic	diagnostic angiography.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if started previously.
	 Anticoagulant therapy should be managed as follows:
	 Continue IV UFH for at least 48 hours or until discharge if
	given before diagnostic angiography.
	 Continue enoxaparin and fondaparinux for duration of
	hospitalization, up to eight days, if given before diagnostic angiography.
	 Either discontinue bivalirudin or continue at a dose of 0.25
	mg/kg per hour for up to 72 hours at the physician's discretion if given before diagnostic angiography.
	When a conservative strategy is selected and no angiography or stress
	testing is performed, the following instructions should be followed:
	 Continue aspirin indefinitely.
	Continue clopidogrel for at least one month and ideally up to one
	year.
	 Discontinue IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if started previously.
	 Continue UFH for 48 hours or administer enoxaparin or
	fondaparinux for the duration of hospitalization, up to eight days,
	and then discontinue anticoagulant therapy.
	When an initial conservative strategy is selected and no subsequent
	features appear that would necessitate diagnostic angiography, left
	ventricular ejection fraction should be measured.
	When PCI is selected as a postangiography management strategy, it is
	reasonable to administer an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if not started before
	diagnostic angiography, particularly for troponin-positive and/or other high- risk patients.
	 When PCI is selected as a management strategy, it is reasonable to omit
	administration of an IV GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor if bivalirudin was selected as the
	anticoagulant and at least 300 mg of clopidogrel was administered at least six hours earlier.
	 If left ventricular ejection fraction is ≤4, it is reasonable to perform
	diagnostic angiography.
	 If left ventricular ejection fraction is >4, it is reasonable to perform a stress
	test.
	Platelet function testing to determine platelet inhibitory response in patients
	on thienopyridine therapy may be considered if results of testing may alter management.
	 Genotyping for a cytochrome P450 2C19 loss of function variant on
	clopidogrel therapy might be considered if results of testing may alter
	management.
	IV fibrinolytic therapy is not indicated in patients without acute ST-
	elevation, a true posterior MI, or a presumed new left bundle-branch block.
	Long-term medical therapy and secondary prevention
	 For patients treated medically without stenting, aspirin (75 to 162 mg/day)
	should be administered indefinitely. Clopidogrel (75 mg/day) should be
	administered for at least one month, and ideally for up to one year.
	 For patients with a BMS, aspirin 162 to 325 mg/day should be
	administered for at least one month, and then continued indefinitely at a
	dose of 75 to 162 mg/day. The duration and maintenance dose of
	thienopyridine therapy should be as follows:





Clinical Guideline	Decommondations
Clinical Guideline	 Recommendations Clopidogrel 75 mg/day or prasugrel 10 mg/day for at least 12
	o Clopidogrel 75 mg/day or prasugrel 10 mg/day for at least 12 months.
	o If the risk of morbidity because of bleeding outweighs the
	anticipated benefits afforded by thienopyridine therapy, earlier
	discontinuation should be considered.
	 For patients treated with a DES, aspirin 162 to 325 mg/day should be
	administered for at least three months after sirolimus-eluting stent
	implantation and for six months after paclitaxel-eluting stent implantation,
	and then continued indefinitely at a dose of 75 to 162 mg/day. The duration
	and maintenance dose of thienopyridine therapy should be as follows:
	 Clopidogrel 75 mg/day or prasugrel 10 mg/day for at least 12
	months.
	 If the risk of morbidity because of bleeding outweighs the
	anticipated benefits afforded by thienopyridine therapy, earlier
	discontinuation should be considered.
	 Clopidogrel 75 mg/day (preferred) or ticlopidine (in the absence of
	contraindications) should be given to patients recovering from unstable
	angina/non-ST-elevation MI (UA/NSTEMI) when aspirin is contraindicated
	or not tolerated because of hypersensitivity or gastrointestinal intolerance.
	• For patients in whom the physician is concerned about the risk of bleeding,
	a lower initial aspirin dose (75 to 162 mg/day) after PCI is reasonable.
	For patients who have an indication for anticoagulation, the addition of
	warfarin may be reasonable to maintain an INR 2.0 to 3.0.
	Continuation of clopidogrel or prasugrel beyond 15 months may be considered in poting of cloping DES placement.
	considered in patients following DES placement.
	 Dipyridamole is not recommended as an antiplatelet in post-UA/STEMI patients because it has not been shown to be effective.
European Society of	Recommendations for oral antiplatelet agents
Cardiology:	 Aspirin should be given to all patients without contraindications at an initial
Guideline for the	loading dose of 150 to 300 mg; maintenance doses should be between 75
Management of	to 100 mg daily regardless of treatment strategy.
Acute Coronary	 A P2Y₁₂ inhibitor should be added to aspirin as soon as possible and
Syndromes in	maintained over 12 months, unless there are contraindications.
Patients Presenting	 A proton pump inhibitor (preferably not omeprazole) is recommended in
Without Persistent	combination with dual antiplatelet therapy in patients with a history of
ST-Segment	gastrointestinal hemorrhage or peptic ulcer, and is appropriate for patients
Elevation (2011) ¹¹	with multiple other risk factors (e.g., Helicobacter pylori infection, age ≥65
	years, concurrent use of anticoagulants or steroids).
	 Prolonged or permanent withdrawal of P2Y₁₂ inhibitors within 12 months
	after the index event is discouraged unless clinically warranted.
	Ticagrelor (180 mg loading dose, 90 mg twice daily) is recommended for
	all patients at moderate to high risk of ischemic events (e.g., elevated
	troponins), regardless of initial treatment strategy and including those
	pretreated with clopidogrel. Clopidogrel should be discontinued when
	ticagrelor is initiated.
	Prasugrel (60 mg loading dose, 10 mg daily) is recommended for P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor pairs patients (particularly dishetics) in whom coronary anotomy.
	inhibitor naïve patients (particularly diabetics) in whom coronary anatomy
	is known and who are proceeding to PCI unless there is a high risk of life- threatening bleeding or other contraindications.
	 Clopidogrel (300 mg loading dose, 75 mg daily) is recommended for those
	who cannot receive ticagrelor or prasugrel.
	A 600 mg loading dose (or a supplementary 300 mg dose at PCI
	Tool my loading dose (or a supplementary 500 mg dose at FCI





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
Cililical Guidelille	following an initial 300 mg loading dose) is recommended for
	patients scheduled for invasive strategy when ticagrelor or
	prasugrel is not an option.
	A higher maintenance dose of 150 mg/day should be considered
	for the first seven days in patients managed with PCI and without
	increased risk of bleeding.
	Increasing the maintenance dose of clopidogrel based on platelet
	function testing is not advised as routine, but may be considered in
	selected cases.
	Genotyping and/or platelet function testing can be considered in
	selected cases when clopidogrel is used.
	 In patients pretreated with P2Y₁₂ inhibitors who need to undergo
	nonemergency major surgery (including CABG), postponing surgery for at
	least five days after cessation of ticagrelor or clopidogrel, and seven days
	for prasugrel, if clinically feasible and unless the patient is at high risk of
	ischemic events should be considered.
	Ticagrelor or clopidogrel should be considered to be re-started after CABG
	surgery as soon as it is safe.
	The combination of aspirin with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory is not
	recommended.
American College of	STEMI and PCI focused update section
Cardiology/American	Recommendations for the use of thienopyridines:
Heart Association and	A loading dose of thienopyridines is recommended for STEMI
American College of	patients for whom PCI is planned. Regimens should be one of the
Cardiology/American	following:
Heart Association/	At least 300 to 600 mg of clopidogrel should be given as
Society for	early as possible before or at the time of primary or non-
Cardiovascular	primary PCI.
Angiography and	 Prasugrel 60 mg should be given as soon as possible for
Interventions:	primary PCI.
2009 Focused	 For STEMI patients undergoing non-primary PCI, the
Update of the 2007	following regimens are recommended:
Focused Update and	 If the patient has received fibrinolytic therapy and
the 2004 Guidelines	has been given clopidogrel, clopidogrel should be
for the Management	continued as the thienopyridine of choice.
of Patients with ST-	 If the patient has received fibrinolytic therapy
Elevation Myocardial	without a thienopyridine, a loading dose of 300 to
Infarction AND	600 mg of clopidogrel should be given as the
Guidelines on	thienopyridine of choice.
Percutaneous	 If the patient did not receive fibrinolytic therapy,
Coronary	either a loading dose of 300 to 600 mg of
Intervention	clopidogrel should be given or, once the coronary
(Updating the 2005	anatomy is known and PCI is planned, a loading
Guideline and 2007	dose of 60 mg of prasugrel should be given
Focused Update)	promptly and no later than one hour after the PCI.
[2009]) ¹²	The duration of thienopyridine therapy should be as follows:
	In patients receiving a stent (BMS or DES) during PCI for
	ACS, clopidogrel 75 mg/day or prasugrel 10 mg/day
	should be given for at least 12 months.
	 If the risk of morbidity because of bleeding outweighs the
	anticipated benefit afforded by thienopyridine therapy,
	earlier discontinuation should be considered.
	 In patients taking a thienopyridine in whom CABG is planned and









Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	After PCI, it is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg/day in preference to higher
	maintenance doses.
	 If the risk of morbidity from bleeding outweighs the anticipated benefit afforded by a recommended duration of P2Y₁₂ inhibitor therapy after stent
	implantation, earlier discontinuation (e.g., <12 months) of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor
	therapy is reasonable.
	Continuation of dual antiplatelet therapy beyond 12 months may be
	considered in patients undergoing DES implantation.
	Prasugrel should not be administered to patients with a prior history of
	stroke or transient ischemic attack.
	Post procedural recommendations for nationts undergoing DCI
	Post-procedural recommendations for patients undergoing PCI Aspirin:
	Use of aspirin should be continued indefinitely.
	 It is reasonable to use aspirin 81 mg/day in preference to higher
	maintenance doses.
	P2Y ₁₂ inhibitors:
	 In patients receiving a stent (BMS or DES) during PCI for ACS, therapy
	with either clopidogrel 75 mg/day, prasugrel 10 mg/day, or ticagrelor 90 mg
	twice-daily should be given for at least 12 months.
	In patients receiving DES for a non-ACS indication, clopidogrel 75 mg/day According to a street of the s
	should be given for at least 12 months if patients are not at high risk of bleeding.
	 In patients receiving BMS for a non-ACS indication, clopidogrel should be
	given for a minimum of one month and ideally up to 12 months (unless the
	patient is at an increased risk of bleeding; then it should be given for a
	minimum of two weeks).
	Patients should be counseled on the importance of compliance with dual
	antiplatelet therapy and that therapy should not be discontinued before
	discussion with their cardiologist.
	Proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors should be used in patients with a history of prior proton pump inhibitors with a history of prior pump inhibitors with a history with a history of prior pump inhibitors with a history with a histor
	 gastrointestinal bleeding who require dual antiplatelet therapy. If the risk of morbidity from bleeding outweighs the anticipated benefit
	afforded by a recommended duration of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor therapy after stent
	implantation, either discontinuation (e.g., <12 months) of P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor
	therapy is reasonable.
	Use of proton pump inhibitors is reasonable in patients with an increased
	risk of gastrointestinal bleeding (e.g., advanced age, concomitant use of
	warfarin, steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, Helicobacter pylori
	infection) who require dual antiplatelet therapy.
	 Continuation of clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor beyond 12 months may be considered in patients undergoing placement of DES.
	 Routine use of a proton pump inhibitor is not recommended for patients at
	low risk of gastrointestinal bleeding, who have much less potential to
	benefit from prophylactic therapy.
	Clopidogrel genetic testing Constitution might be considered to identify whether a patient at high
	 Genetic testing might be considered to identify whether a patient at high risk for poor clinical outcomes is predisposed to inadequate platelet
	inhibition with clopidogrel.
	When a patient predisposed to inadequate platelet inhibition with
	clopidogrel is identified by genetic testing, treatment with an alternative





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	P2Y ₁₂ inhibitor (e.g., prasugrel, ticagrelor) might be considered.
	The routine clinical use of genetic testing to screen patients treated with
	clopidogrel who are undergoing PCI is not recommended.
National Institute for	Aspirin is recommended in all patients after a MI and should be continued
Health and Clinical	indefinitely. Clopidogrel should not be offered as first-line monotherapy
Excellence:	after a MI.
Myocardial	Clopidogrel combined with low dose aspirin for 12 months is
Infarction:	recommended in patients who have had a NSTE ACS who are at
Secondary Prevention in	moderate to high risk of MI or death. Thereafter, patients may be treated
Primary and	with low dose aspirin without clopidogrel in the absence of indication for
Secondary Care for	dual antiplatelet therapy.
Patients Following a	 Patients who have been treated with aspirin and clopidogrel within the first 24 hours of an STEMI should continue on dual antiplatelet therapy for at
Myocardial Infarction	least four weeks. Thereafter, low-dose aspirin should be continued, and
(2007) ¹⁴	clopidogrel discontinued in the absence of indication for dual antiplatelet
	therapy.
	If both clopidogrel and aspirin were not given during the acute phase of a
	MI, this combination should not routinely be initiated.
	Dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and clopidogrel should not be used
	for longer than 12 months after an acute MI unless another indication for
	dual antiplatelet therapy exists. After a STEMI, the combination of aspirin
	and clopidogrel is usually recommended for a shorter duration than 12
	months.
	Clopidogrel monotherapy is an alternative treatment in patients with aspirin
	hypersensitivity.
	Low dose aspirin and a proton pump inhibitor are recommended in patients with comorbid dyspepsia. A full dose proton pump inhibitor and low dose
	aspirin should be considered in patients with a history of aspirin-induced
	ulcer bleeding whose ulcers have healed and who are negative for
	Helicobacter pylori.
	Patients being treated with warfarin for another indication should continue
	on warfarin. Those being treated with moderate-intensity warfarin (INR 2.0
	to 3.0) and are at low risk of bleeding, may be treated with aspirin. The
	combination of warfarin and clopidogrel is not routinely recommended.
American College of	Aspirin should be started at 75 to 162 mg/day and continued indefinitely in
Cardiology/American	all patients unless contraindicated.
Heart Association:	The use of warfarin in conjunction with aspirin and/or clopidogrel is
2007 Chronic Angina Focused Update of	associated with an increased risk of bleeding and should be monitored
the 2002 Guidelines	closely.
for the Management	
of Patients With	
Chronic Stable	
Angina (2007) ¹⁵	
European Society of	Therapy to improve prognosis
Cardiology:	Aspirin 75 mg daily is recommended in all patients without specific
Management of	contraindications (e.g., active gastrointestinal bleeding, aspirin allergy,
Stable Angina	previous aspirin intolerance). Clopidogrel is an alternative antiplatelet
Pectoris (2006) ¹⁶	agent in patients who cannot take aspirin.
	The use of unopposed cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition is not recommended in patients with stable angine pacteria.
	patients with stable angina pectoris.
	Clopidogrel may be combined with aspirin after coronary stenting or an





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	ACS for a finite period of time, but combination therapy is currently not
	recommended in stable angina pectoris.
	Dipyridamole is not recommended for antithrombotic treatment of stable
National Institute for	angina.
Health and Clinical	This guidance applies to people who have had an occlusive vascular event, or who have established peripheral arterial disease. This guidance
Excellence:	does not apply to people who have had, or are at risk of, a stroke
Clopidogrel and	associated with AF, or who need treatment to prevent occlusive events
Modified-Release	after coronary revascularization or carotid artery procedures.
Dipyridamole for the	For people who have had an ischemic stroke, clopidogrel is recommended
Prevention of	as a treatment option. For people who have a contraindication or
Occlusive Vascular	intolerance to clopidogrel, modified-release dipyridamole plus aspirin is
Events (2010) ¹⁷	recommended as a treatment option. For people who have a
	contraindication or intolerance to both clopidogrel and aspirin, modified-
	release dipyridamole alone is recommended as a treatment option.
	• For people who have had a TIA, modified-release dipyridamole plus aspirin
	is recommended as a treatment option. For people who have a
	contraindication or intolerance to aspirin, modified-release dipyridamole
	alone is recommended as a treatment option.
	 For people who have had a MI, clopidogrel is recommended only when treatment with aspirin is contraindicated or not tolerated.
	 For people with peripheral arterial disease, clopidogrel is recommended as
	a treatment option.
	For people with multi-vascular disease, clopidogrel is recommended as a
	treatment option.
	Treatment with clopidogrel to prevent occlusive vascular events should be
	started with the least costly licensed preparation.
American College of	Antiplatelet and antithrombotic drugs
Cardiology/American	Antiplatelet therapy is indicated to reduce the risk of MI, stroke, and
Heart Association:	vascular death in individuals with symptomatic atherosclerotic lower
2011 ACCF/AHA Focused Update of	extremity PAD, including those with intermittent claudication or critical limb
the Guideline for the	ischemia, prior lower extremity revascularization (endovascular or surgical), or prior amputation for lower extremity ischemia.
Management of	 Aspirin, (75 to 325 mg daily), is recommended to reduce the risk of MI,
Patients With	stroke, or vascular death in individuals with symptomatic atherosclerotic
Peripheral Artery	lower extremity PAD, including those with intermittent claudication or
Disease (updating	critical limb ischemia, prior lower extremity revascularization (endovascular
the 2005 guideline):	or surgical), or prior amputation for lower extremity ischemia.
(2011) ¹⁸	Clopidogrel (75 mg daily) is recommended as a safe and effective
	alternative antiplatelet therapy to aspirin to reduce the risk of MI, ischemic
	stroke, or vascular death in individuals with symptomatic atherosclerotic
	lower extremity PAD, including those with intermittent claudication or
	critical limb ischemia, prior lower extremity revascularization (endovascular
	or surgical), or prior amputation for lower extremity ischemia.
	Antiplatelet therapy can be useful to reduce the risk of MI, stroke, or vaccular death in commentation individuals with an API less than or equal.
	vascular death in asymptomatic individuals with an ABI less than or equal to 0.90.
	 The usefulness of antiplatelet therapy to reduce the risk of MI, stroke, or
	vascular death in asymptomatic individuals with borderline abnormal ABI,
	defined as 0.91 to 0.99, is not well established.
	 The combination of aspirin and clopidogrel may be considered to reduce
	the risk of cardiovascular events in patients with symptomatic





0" : 10 :1"	
Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	atherosclerotic lower extremity PAD, including those with intermittent
	claudication or critical limb ischemia, prior lower extremity
	revascularization (endovascular or surgical), or prior amputation for lower
	extremity ischemia and who are not at increased risk of bleeding and who
	are at high perceived cardiovascular risk.
	In the absence of any other proven indication for warfarin, its addition to
	antiplatelet therapy to reduce the risk of adverse cardiovascular ischemic
	events in individuals with atherosclerotic lower extremity PAD is of no
	benefit and is potentially harmful due to increased risk of major bleeding.
European Society of	Major recommendations for individual antiplatelet agents
Cardiology, Task	Aspirin:
Force on the Use of	Aspirin once-daily is recommended in all clinical conditions in which
Antiplatelet Agents in	antiplatelet prophylaxis has a favorable benefit/risk profile.
Patients With	Because of gastrointestinal toxicity and its potential impact on compliance,
Atherosclerotic	physicians are encouraged to use the lowest dose of aspirin that was
Cardiovascular	shown to be effective in each clinical setting.
Disease:	The available evidence supports daily doses of aspirin in the range of 75 to
Expert Consensus	100 mg for the long-term prevention of serious vascular events in high-risk
Document on the	patients (e.g., ≥3% per annum).
Use of Antiplatelet	In clinical situations where an immediate antithrombotic effect is required
Agents (2004) ¹⁹	(such as in ACS or in acute ischemic stroke), a loading dose of 160 to 300
	mg should be given at diagnosis in order to ensure rapid and complete
	inhibition of thromboxane A2-dependent platelet aggregation.
	No test of platelet function is recommended to assess the antiplatelet
	effect of aspirin in the individual patient.
	The routine use of proton pump inhibitors or cytoprotective agents is not
	recommended in patients taking daily doses of aspirin in the range of 75 to
	100 mg, because of lack of randomized trials demonstrating the efficacy of
	such protective strategies in this setting.
	Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have been investigated inadequately
	in terms of their potential cardiovascular effects. Thus, physicians
	prescribing these drugs to arthritic patients with prior vascular
	complications should not discontinue treatment with low-dose aspirin.
	Because of potential pharmacodynamic interactions between traditional
	nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (e.g., ibuprofen) and aspirin, patients
	treated with low-dose aspirin requiring nonsteroidal ant-inflammatory drug
	therapy may benefit from the use of selective cyclooxegenase-2 inhibitors.
	Ticlopidine:
	The role of ticlopidine in the present therapeutic armamentarium is
	uncertain.
	Although there are no large head-to-head comparisons between the two
	thienopyridines, indirect comparisons are highly suggestive of a lower
	burden of serious bone-marrow toxicity with clopidogrel as compared to
	ticlopidine.
	In contrast to clopidogrel, ticlopidine does not have an approved indication
	for patients with a recent MI.
	Clopidogrel:
	Although clopidogrel may be slightly more effective than aspirin, the size of
	any additional benefit is statistically uncertain and the drug has not been
	granted a claim of "superiority" vs aspirin by regulatory authorities.
	Clopidogrel 75 mg/day is an appropriate alternative for high-risk patients
	with coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral arterial disease who have a





Clinical Guideline	Recommendations
	contraindication to low-dose aspirin.
	The results of the Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events (CURE) trial have led to Food and Drug Administration approval of a new indication for clopidogrel in patients with NSTE ACS. A loading dose of 300 mg clopidogrel should be used in this setting, followed by 75 mg daily. Revision of the existing guidelines will need a consensus agreement by the experts with respect to timing of PCI, length of clopidogrel treatment and combination with GP IIb/IIIa antagonists. Dipyridamole:
	Although the combination of low-dose aspirin and extended-release dipyridamole (200 mg twice-daily) is considered an acceptable option for initial therapy of patients with noncardioembolic cerebral ischemic events, there is no basis to recommend this combination in patients with ischemic heart disease.

Conclusions

The platelet inhibitors play an important role in the treatment and prevention of cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases. Anagrelide (Agrylin®), clopidogrel (Plavix®), dipyridamole (Persantine®), and ticlopidine are available generically, and single-entity aspirin is available in several over-the-counter formulations. Prasugrel (Effient®), ticagrelor (Brilinta®), and the fixed-dose combination product of aspirin and extended release dipyridamole (Aggrenox®) are not available generically. The aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole product is not interchangeable with the commercially available generic formulations of aspirin and dipyridamole since the strengths and delivery mechanisms are different among these products. ¹⁻⁷

Aspirin is the most frequently studied platelet inhibitor and is generally the reference drug to which other treatments are compared. 42 Aspirin is the platelet inhibitor recommended as first-line in most treatment quidelines for general use. Aspirin is recommended as a first-line option for the initial management of noncardioembolic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), and myocardial infarction (MI), and for primary and secondary prevention in patients with cerebrovascular, cardiovascular, and peripheral vascular diseases. Low-dose aspirin (75 to 150 mg/day) is an effective platelet inhibitor regimen for long-term use, but in acute settings an initial loading dose of ≥150 mg may be required. Other platelet inhibitors are usually reserved for patients with contraindications or severe intolerance to aspirin or who have failed aspirin monotherapy, or in high-risk patients when dual antiplatelet therapy is recommended. Dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel, prasugrel or ticagrelor is recommended for patients with ACS (non ST-elevation MI [STEMI] and unstable angina). Antiplatelet therapy is also recommended in patients with STEMI. For patients with noncardioembolic ischemic strokes or TIAs, aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole is suggested instead of aspirin alone, and clopidogrel may be considered instead of aspirin alone to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke and other cardiovascular events. 8-11,15 In a study comparing the fixed combination aspirin/extended-release dipyridamole to clopidogrel (with or without telmisartan), results demonstrated that neither treatment was "superior" to the other in the prevention of recurrent stroke. 33 For patients who have an ischemic stroke while taking aspirin, there is no evidence that increasing the dose of aspirin provides additional benefit. Although alternative antiplatelet agents are often considered, no single agent or combination product has been studied in patients who have had an event while receiving aspirin.

Clopidogrel and ticlopidine are adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonists that have been shown to significantly reduce the odds of a serious vascular event in high-risk patients. The CAPRIE study reported that clopidogrel significantly reduced the combined risk of ischemic stroke, MI and vascular death by 8.7% compared to aspirin in patients with a recent ischemic stroke, MI or established peripheral vascular disease. In a subanalysis of over 6,000 patients enrolled in the study based on a recent ischemic stroke, clopidogrel reduced the risk of the composite endpoint by 7.3% and stroke by 8.0% compared to aspirin; however, these differences were not statistically significant. ⁴³ On the basis of the CURE, COMMIT, and





CLARITY studies, clopidogrel received a Food and Drug Administration (FDA) indication for the reduction of atherothrombotic events in patients with ACS and MI, and clopidogrel has been incorporated into the current treatment guidelines for the management of these conditions. 10,49,53,67 Prasugrel is a relatively new adenosine diphosphate receptor antagonist and may be the most potent of these agents, with more desirable characteristics compared to clopidogrel with regard to drug-drug interactions and interpatient enzyme variability. 23-25 FDA-approval of prasugrel was based on the results from the TRITON-TIMI 38 study, in which prasugrel was significantly more effective in reducing ischemic events in patients with ACS who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) intervention. Of note, no reduction in the mortality rate was seen with prasugrel, and a significantly greater incidence of major, minor, life-threatening and fatal bleeding events was associated with prasugrel. The overall recommendation is for a thienopyridine to be used in these patients, with clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticagrelor listed as potential options. Of note, the use of prasugrel in STEMI patients with a prior history of stroke or TIA for whom primary PCI is planned, is not recommended. 12

Ticagrelor is the newest platelet inhibitor to be FDA-approved, specifically to reduce the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS, including unstable angina, non ST-elevation MI, and STelevation MI. As a cyclopentyltriazolopyrimidine, ticagrelor works in a similar manner to the other thienopyridine platelet inhibitors (clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticlopidine); however, ticagrelor is a reversible inhibitor of the P2Y₁₂ receptors. Ticagrelor is not a prodrug and does not require enzymatic conversion to become pharmacologically active. It is therefore not subject to potential drug interactions associated with the other agents. ^{7,22} The pivotal clinical study establishing the safety and efficacy of ticagrelor in reducing the rate of thrombotic cardiovascular events in patients with ACS is the PLATO study. PLATO was a large, international, prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled study comparing ticagrelor and clopidogrel in hospitalized patients with documented ACS, with or without ST-segment elevation (N=18,624). After 12 months of treatment, ticagrelor significantly reduced the primary composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, MI or stroke, without increasing the risk of major bleeding.⁵⁴ The 2011 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions guideline for PCI recommends clopidogrel, prasugrel and ticagrelor as potential options in patients receiving PCI. 13 The 2012 guidelines for Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis quidelines by the American College of Chest Physicians recommends ticagrelor over clopidogrel in patients with ACS treated with or without PCI, and over prasugrel in patients undergoing PCI with stent placement. The 2011 European Society of Cardiology guidelines recommend that patients presenting without persistent ST-elevation receive dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin and a platelet inhibitor. Specifically, ticagrelor is recommended for all patients at moderate to high risk of ischemic events, regardless of initial treatment strategy (i.e., invasive vs noninvasive), including those pretreated with clopidogrel. Prasugrel is recommended for P2Y₁₂ inhibitor-naïve patients who are proceeding to PCI, while clopidogrel is recommended for patients who cannot receive ticagrelor or prasugrel. 11

Clinical studies have shown that ticlopidine reduces the risk of stroke and other vascular outcomes in patients with cerebrovascular disease. Randomized studies comparing ticlopidine with aspirin in stroke or TIA patients produced conflicting results regarding whether ticlopidine is more effective than aspirin. When compared to aspirin alone, and aspirin plus warfarin, treatment with aspirin plus ticlopidine resulted in a lower rate of stent thrombosis following coronary stenting. Because ticlopidine is associated with a risk of life-threatening blood dyscrasias, ticlopidine should be reserved for patients who are intolerant or allergic to aspirin therapy or who have failed aspirin therapy.

Dipyridamole has been shown to reduce stroke recurrence in patients with previous ischemic cerebrovascular disease compared to placebo, but has not been shown to be more effective than aspirin. Aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole significantly reduced the risk of stroke by 37% compared to 18% with aspirin and 16% with extended-release dipyridamole. There was no significant difference in all cause mortality among the active treatment groups. Aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole significantly reduced the composite of death, nonfatal stroke or MI and major bleeding to 13% of patients compared to 16% for aspirin monotherapy; however, the combination regimen was discontinued more often, mainly because of headache.





Anagrelide is the only platelet inhibitor to be FDA-approved for the treatment of thrombocythemia associated with myeloproliferative disorders, and the agent has demonstrated safety and efficacy for this indication. 1,84-89





References

- 1. Anagrelide [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2011 Feb.
- 2. Plavix® [package insert]. Bridgewater (NJ): Bristol-Myers Squibb/Sanofi Pharmaceuticals Partnership; 2011 Dec.
- 3. Persantine® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2006 Jun.
- 4. Effient® [package insert]. Indianapolis (IN): Eli Lilly and Company; 2011 Sep.
- 5. Ticlopidine [package insert]. Sellersville (PA): TEVA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; 2009 Jul.
- 6. Aggrenox® [package insert]. Ridgefield (CT): Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2011 Oct.
- 7. Brilinta® [package insert]. Wilmington (DE): Astrazeneca; 2011 Jul.
- 8. Furie KL, Kasner SE, Adams RJ, Albers GW, Bush RL, Fagan SC, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2011 Jan;42(1):227-76.
- 9. Guyatt GH, Akl EA, Crowther M, Gutterman DD, Schuünemann HJ; American College of Chest Physicians Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis Panel. Executive summary: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Chest. 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl):7S-47S.
- Wright RS, Anderson JL, Adams CD, Bridges CR, Casey DE, Ettinger SM, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA focused update of the guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-STelevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2007 guideline). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 May 10;57(19):1920-59.
- 11. Hamm CW, Bassand JP, Agewell S, Bax J, Boersma E, Bueno H, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2011 Dec;32(23):2999-3054.
- 12. Kushner FG, Hand M, Smith SC, King SB, Anderson JL, Antman EM, et al. 2009 focused updates: ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2004 guideline and 2007 focused update) and ACC/AHA/SCAI guidelines on percutaneous coronary intervention (updating the 2005 guideline and 2007 focused update): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2009 Dec 1;120(22):2271-306.
- 13. Levine GN, Bates ER, Blankenship JC, Bailey SR, Bittl JA, Cercek B, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI guideline for percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Dec 6;58(24):e44-122.
- 14. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. MI: secondary prevention. Secondary prevention in primary and secondary care for patients following a myocardial infarction. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2007 May [cited 2012 May]. Available from: http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG48NICEGuidance.pdf.
- 15. Fraker TD Jr, Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ, Abrams J, Chatterjee K, Daley J, et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 guidelines for the management of chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines writing group to develop the focused update of the 2002 guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina. Circulation. 2007 Dec 4;116(23):2762-72.
- 16. Fox K, Garcia MA, Ardissino D, Buszman P, Camici PG, Crea F, et al. Guidelines on the management of stable angina pectoris: executive summary: the Task Force on the Management of Stable Angina Pectoris of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2006(11):1341-81.
- 17. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Clopidogrel and modified-release dipyridamole for the prevention of occlusive vascular events. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; 2010 Dec [cited 2012 May]. Available from: http://guidance.nice.org.uk/TA210.
- 18. Rooke TW, Hirsch AT, Misra S, Sidawy AN, Beckman JA, Findeiss LK, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA Focused Update of the Guideline for the Management of Patients With Peripheral Artery Disease (updating the 2005 guideline): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Nov 1;58(19):2020-45.
- 19. Patrono C, Bachmann F, Baigent C, Bode C, De Caterina R, Charbonnier B, et al. Expert consensus document on the use of antiplatelet agents. The task force on the use of antiplatelet agents in





- patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:166-81.
- Harrington RA, Becker RC, Cannon CP, Gutterman D, Lincoff AM, Popma JJ, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th edition). Chest. 2008;133(6 Suppl):776S-814S.
- 21. Albers GW, Amarenco P, Easton JD, Sacco RL, Teal P. American College of Chest Physicians. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th edition). Chest. 2008;133(6 Suppl):630S-69S.
- 22. Micromedex[®] Healthcare Series [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village (CO): Thomson Reuters (Healthcare) Inc.; Updated periodically [cited 2012 May]. Available from: http://www.thomsonhc.com/.
- 23. Serebruany V, Shalito I, Kopyleva O. Prasugrel development-Claims and achievements. Thromb Haemost. 2009;101:14-22.
- 24. Wiviott SD, Trenk D, Frelinger AL, O'Donoghue M, Neumann FJ, Michelson AD, et al. Prasugrel compared to high loading- and maintenance-dose clopidogrel in patients with planned percutaneous coronary intervention: the prasugrel in comparison to clopidogrel for inhibition of platelet activation and aggregation thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 44 trial. Circulation. 2007;116(25):2923-32.
- 25. Spinler SA, Rees C. Review of prasugrel for the secondary prevention of atherothrombosis. J Manage Care Pharm. 2009;15(5):383-95.
- 26. Gurbel PA, Bliden KP, Butler K, Tantry US, Gesheff T, Wei C, et al. Randomized double-blind assessment of the ONSET and OFFSET of the antiplatelet effects of ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with stable coronary artery disease: the ONSET/OFFSET study. Circulation. 2009 Dec 22; 120(25):2577-85.
- 27. Uchiyama S, Ikeda Y, Urano Y, Horie Y, Yamaguchi T. The Japanese Aggrenox (extended-release dipyridamole plus aspirin) Stroke Prevention vs Aspirin Program (JASAP) study: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2011;31:601-13.
- 28. ESPRIT Study Group, Halkes PH, van Gijn J, Kappelle LJ, Koudstaal PJ, Algra A. Aspirin plus dipyridamole vs aspirin alone after cerebral ischemia of arterial origin (ESPRIT): a randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2006;367(9523):1665-73.
- 29. Verro P, Gorelick P, Nguyen D. Aspirin plus dipyridamole vs aspirin for prevention of vascular events after stroke or TIA: a meta-analysis. Stroke. 2008;39(4):1358-63.
- 30. Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, Sivenius J, Smets P, Lowenthal A. European Stroke Prevention Study 2. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. J Neurol Sci. 1996;143(1-2):1-13.
- 31. Sacco R, Sivenius J, Diener HC. Efficacy of aspirin plus extended-release dipyridamole in preventing recurrent stroke in high-risk populations. Arch Neurol. 2005;62(3):403-8.
- 32. Leonardi-Bee J, Bath PMW, Bousser MG, Davalos A, Diener HC, Guiraud-Chaumeil B, et al. Dipyridamole for preventing recurrent ischemic stroke and other vascular events: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomized controlled trials. Stroke. 2005;36(1):162-8.
- 33. Sacco RL, Diener HC, Yusuf S, Cotton D, Ounpuu S, Lawton WA, et al. Aspirin and extended-release dipyridamole vs clopidogrel for recurrent stroke. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:1238-51.
- 34. Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, Cimminiello C, Csiba L, Kaste M, et al. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared to clopidogrel alone after recent ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack in high-risk patients (MATCH): randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2004;364:331-7.
- 35. Markus HS, Droste DW, Kaps M, Larrue V, Lees KR, Siebler M, Ringelstein EB. Dual antiplatelet therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin in symptomatic carotid stenosis evaluated using doppler embolic signal detection: The clopidogrel and aspirin for reduction of emboli in symptomatic carotid stenosis (CARESS) trial. Circulation. 2005;111(17):2233-40.
- 36. Kennedy J, Hill MD, Ryckborst KJ, Eliasziw M, Demchuk AM, Buchan AM, for the FASTER Investigators. Fast assessment of stroke and transient ischemic attack to prevent early recurrence (FASTER): a randomized controlled pilot trial. Lancet Neurol. 2007;6:961-9.





- 37. Gent M, Blakely JA, Easton JD, Ellis DJ, Hachinski VC, Harbison JW. The Canadian American ticlopidine study (CATS) in thromboembolic stroke. Lancet. 1989;1(8649):1215-20.
- 38. Hass W, Easton J, Adams H, Pryse-Phillips W, Molony B, Anderson S, et al. A randomized trial comparing ticlopidine hydrochloride with aspirin for the prevention of stroke in high-risk patients. Ticlopidine Aspirin Stroke Study (TASS) Group. N Engl J Med. 1989;8(321):501-7.
- 39. Gorelick PB, Richardson D, Kelly M, Ruland S, Hung E, Harris Y, et al. Aspirin and ticlopidine for prevention of recurrent stroke in black patients: a randomized trial. JAMA. 2003;289(22):2947-57.
- 40. Fukuuchi Y, Tohgi H, Okudera T, Ikeda Y, Miyanaga Y, Uchiyama S, et al. A randomized, double-blind study comparing the safety and efficacy of clopidogrel vs ticlopidine in Japanese patients with noncardioembolic cerebral infarction. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2008;25:40-9.
- 41. Uchiyama S, Fukuuchi Y, Yamaguchi T. The safety and efficacy of clopidogrel vs ticlopidine in Japanese stroke patients: combined results of two Phase III, multicenter, randomized clinical trials. J Neurol. 2009;256:888-97.
- 42. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomized trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. BMJ. 2002;324:71-86.
- 43. CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomized, blinded, trial of clopidogrel vs aspirin in patients at risk of ischemic events (CAPRIE). Lancet. 1996;348(9038):1329-39.
- 44. De Schryver EL, Algra A, van Gijn J. Dipyridamole for preventing stroke and other vascular events in patients with vascular diseases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007;(3):CD001820. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001820.pub3.
- 45. Navarese EP, Verdoia M, Schaffer A, et al. Ischaemic and bleeding complications with new, compared to standard, ADP-antagonist regimens in acute coronary syndromes: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. QJ Med.2011; 104:561-9.
- 46. Ho MP, Peterson ED, Wang L, Magid DJ, Fihn SD, et al. Incidence of death and acute myocardial infarction associated with stopping clopidogrel after acute coronary syndrome. JAMA. 2008; 299(5):532-9.
- Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lopez-Sendon JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin and fibrinolytic therapy for myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(12):1179-89.
- 48. Ahmed S, Gibson CM, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, Sabatine MS. Impact of reduced glomerular filtration rate on outcomes in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing fibrinolysis: a CLARITY-TIMI 28 analysis. J Thromb Thromboylsis. 2011;31:493-500.
- 49. COMMIT (Clopidogrel and Metoprolol in Myocardial Infarction Trial) Collaborative Group. Addition of clopidogrel to aspirin in 45,852 patients with acute myocardial infarction: randomized placebocontrolled trial. Lancet. 2005:366:1607-21.
- Bhatt DL, Fox KAA, Hacke W, Berger PB, Black HR, Boden WE, et al; for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin vs aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(16):1706-17.
- 51. Dapgusta A, Steinhubl SR, Bhatt DL, Berger PB, Shao M, Mak KH, et al. Clinical outcomes of patients with diabetic nephropathy randomized to clopidogrel plus aspirin vs aspirin alone (a post hoc analysis of the clopidogrel for high atherothrombotic risk and ischemic stabilization, management, and avoidance [CHARISMA] trial. Am J Cardiol. 2009;103:1359-63.
- 52. Hart RG, Bhatt DL, Hacke W, Fox KAA, Hankey GJ, Berger PB, et al for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin vs aspirin alone for the prevention of stroke in patients with a history of atrial fibrillation: subgroup analysis of the CHARISMA randomized trial. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2008;25(4):344-7.
- 53. The CURE Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(7):494-502.
- 54. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, Cannon CP, Emanuelsson H, Held C, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2009 Sep 10;361(11):1045-57.
- 55. James SK, Roe MT, Cannon CP, Cornel JH, Horrow J, Husted S, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes intended for non-invasive management: substudy from





- prospective randomized PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. BMJ. 2011 Jun 17:342:d3527.
- 56. Cannon CP, Harrington RA, James S, Ardissino D, Becker RC, Emanuelsson H, et al. Comparison of ticagrelor with clopidogrel in patients with a planned invasive strategy for acute coronary syndromes (PLATO): a randomized double-blind study. Lancet. 2010 Jan 23;375(9711):283-93.
- 57. Steg PG, James S, Harrington RA, Ardissino D, Becker RC, Cannon CP, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes intended for reperfusion with primary percutaneous coronary intervention: A Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial subgroup analysis. Circulation. 2010 Nov 23;122(21):2131-41.
- 58. James S, Budaj A, Aylward P, Buck KK, Cannon CP, Cornel JH, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in acute coronary syndromes in relation to renal function: results from the platelet inhibition and patient outcomes (PLATO) trial. Circulation. 2010;122:1056-67.
- 59. James S, Angiolillo DJ, Corenal JH, Erlinge D, Husted S, Kontny F, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndrome and diabetes: a substudy from the platelet inhibition and patient outcomes (PLATO) trial. Eur Heart J. 2010;31:3006-16.
- 60. Held C, Asenblad N, Bassand JP, Becker RC, Cannon CP, Claeys MJ, et al. Ticagrelor vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: results from the PLATO (Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Feb 8;57(6):672-84.
- 61. Wallentin L, James S, Storey RF, Armstrong M, Barratt BJ, Horrow J, et al. Effect of CYP2C19 and ABCB1 single nucleotide polymorphisms on outcomes of treatment with ticagrelor vs clopidogrel for acute coronary syndromes: a genetic substudy of the PLATO trial. Lancet. 2010 Oct 16;376(9749):1320-8.
- 62. Mahaffey KW, Wojdyla DM, Carroll K, Becker RC, Storey RF, Angioloillo DJ, et al. Ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel by geographic region in the platelet inhibition and patient outcomes (PLATO) trial. Circulation. 2011;124:544-54.
- 63. Storey RF, Becker RC, Harrington RA, Husted S, James SK, Cools F, et al. Pulmonary function in patients with acute coronary syndrome treated with ticagrelor or clopidogrel (from the Platelet Inhibition and Patients Outcomes [PLATO] Pulmonary Function Substudy). Am J Cardiol. 2011:108:1542-6.
- 64. Becker RC, Bassand JP, Budaj A, Wojdyla DM, James SK, Cornel JH, et al. Bleeding complications with the P2Y12 receptor antagonists clopidogrel and ticagrelor in the PLATelet inhibition and patient Outcomes (PLATO) trial. Eur Heart J. 2011 Dec;32(23):2933-44.
- 65. Banerjee S, Varghese C, Samuel J, Weideman RA, Little BB, Kelly KC, et al. Comparison of the impact of short (<1 year) and long-term (≥1 year) clopidogrel use following percutaneous coronary intervention on mortality. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:1159-62.
- 66. CURRENT-OASIS 7 Investigators. Dose comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin in acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:930-42.
- 67. Sabatine MS, Cannon CP, Gibson CM, Lopez-Sendon JL, Montalescot G, Theroux P, et al for the Clopidogrel as Adjunctive Reperfusion Therapy (CLARITY)-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 28 Investigators. Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment before percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with fibrinolytics: the PCI-CLARITY Study. N Engl J Med. 2005;294(10):1224-32.
- 68. Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJG, Bertrand ME, Lewis BS, Natarajan MK, et al. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. Lancet. 2001;358(9281):527-33.
- 69. Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Mann JT III, Fry ETA, DeLago A, Wilmer C, et al; for the CREDO Investigators. Early and sustained dual oral antiplatelet therapy following percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2002;288(19):2411-20.
- Lev El, Kornowski R, Vaknin-Assa H, Brosh D, Fuchs S, Battler A, Assali A. Effect of clopidogrel pretreatment on angiographic and clinical outcomes in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2008;101:435-9.





- 71. Han Y, Wang B, Li Y, Xu K, Wang S, Jing Q, et al. A high maintenance dose of clopidogrel improves short-term clinical outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing drug-eluting stent implantation. Chinese Medical Journal. 2009;122(7):793-7.
- 72. Bertrand ME, Rupprecht HJ, Urban P, Gershlick AH; for the CLASSICS Investigators. Double-blind study of the safety of clopidogrel with and without a loading dose in combination with aspirin compared to ticlopidine in combination with aspirin after coronary stenting: the clopidogrel aspirin stent international cooperative study (CLASSICS). Circulation. 2000;102;624-9.
- 73. Leon MB, Baim DS, Popma JJ, Gordon PC, Cutlip DE, Ho KKL, et al. A clinical trial comparing three antithrombotic-drug regimens after coronary-artery stenting. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(23):1665-71.
- 74. Lee SW, Park SW, Kim YH, Yun SC, Park DW, Lee CW, et al. Drug-eluting stenting followed by cilostazol treatment reduces late restenosis in patients with diabetes mellitus; the DECLARE-DIABETES Trial (a randomized comparison of triple antiplatelet therapy with dual antiplatelet therapy after drug-eluting stent implantation in diabetic patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51:1181-7.
- 75. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Montalescot G, Ruzyllo W, Neumann FJ, et al. Prasugrel vs clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 2007;357(20):2001-15.
- 76. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, Angiolillo DJ, Meisel S, Dalby AJ, Verheugt FW, et al. Greater clinical benefit of more intensive oral antiplatelet therapy with prasugrel in patients with diabetes mellitus in the trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing platelet inhibition with prasugrel thrombolysis in myocardial infarction 38. Circulation. 2008;118:1626-36.
- 77. Montalescot G, Wiviott SD, Murphy SA, Gibson CM, McCabe CH, Antman EM, et al. Prasugrel compared to clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction (TRITON-TIMI 38): double blind, randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2009;373:723-31.
- 78. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Horvath I, Keltai M, Herrman JP, et al. Intensive oral antiplatelet therapy for reduction of ischemic events including stent thrombosis in patients with acute coronary syndromes treated with percutaneous coronary intervention and stenting in the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial: a subanalysis of a randomized trial. Lancet. 2008;371:1353-63.
- 79. Pride YB, Wiviott SD, Buros JL, Zorkun C, Tariq MU, Antman EM, et al. Effect of prasugrel vs clopidogrel on outcomes among patients with acute coronary syndrome undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention without stent implantation: a trial to assess improvement in therapeutic outcomes by optimizing platelet inhibitor with prasugrel (TRITON)-thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) 38 substudy. Am Heart J. 2009;158:e21-6.
- 80. Antman EM, Wiviott SD, Murphy SA, Voitk J, Widimsky P, Chandna H, et al. Early and late benefits of prasugrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a TRITON-TIMI 38 analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51(21):2028-33.
- 81. Murphy SA, Antman EM, Wiviott SD, Weerakkody G, Morocutti G, Huber K, et al. Reduction in recurrent cardiovascular events with prasugrel compared to clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes from the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial. Eur Heart J. 2008;29:2473-9.
- 82. O'Donoghue M, Antman EM, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Steg PG, Finkelstein A, et al. The efficacy and safety of prasugrel with and without a glycoprotein Ilb/IIIa inhibitor in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;54:678-85.
- 83. Wiviott SD, Trenk D, Frelinger AL, O'Donoghue M, Neumann FJ, Michelson AD, et al; PRINCIPLE-TIMI 44 Investigators. Prasugrel compared to high loading- and maintenance-dose clopidogrel in patients with planned percutaneous coronary intervention: the Prasugrel in Comparison to Clopidogrel for Inhibition of Platelet Activation and Aggregation Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 44 trial. Circulation. 2007;116(25):2923-32.
- 84. Anagrelide Study Group. Anagrelide, a therapy for thrombocythemic states: experience in 577 patients. Am J Med. 1992;92:69-72.
- 85. Silver RT. Anagrelide is effective in treating patients with hydroxyurea-resistant thrombocytosis in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia. Leukemia. 2005;19:39-43.
- 86. Penninga E, Jensen BA, Hansen PB, Clausen NT, Mourits-Andersen T, Nielsen OJ, et al. Anagrelide treatment in 52 patients with chronic myeloproliferative diseases. Clin Lab Haem. 2004;26:335-40.





- 87. Birgegard G, Bjorkholm M, Kutti J, Larfars G, Lofvenberg E, Markevarn B, et al. Adverse effects and benefits of two years of anagrelide treatment for thrombocythemia in chronic myeloproliferative disorders. Haematologica. 2004;89:520-7.
- 88. Steurer M, Gastl G, Jedrzejczak WW, Pytlik R, Lin W, Schlogl E, et al. Anagrelide for thrombocytosis in myeloproliferative disorders. Cancer. 2004;101(10):2239-46.
- 89. Harrison CN, Campbell PJ, Buck G, Wheatley K, Bareford D, Wilkins BS, et al. Hydroxyurea compared to anagrelide in high-risk essential thrombocythemia. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:33-45.
- 90. Drug Facts and Comparisons 4.0 [database on the Internet]. St. Louis: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2010 [cited 2012 May]. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com.
- 91. Wann LS, Curtis AB, January CT, Ellenbogen KA, Lowe JE, Estes NAM, et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/HRS focused updated on the management of patients with atrial fibrillation (updating 2006 guideline): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:223-42.
- 92. Fuster V, Ryden LE, Cannom DS, Crijns HJ, Curtis AB, Ellenbogen KA, et al. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/European Society of Cardiology 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation-executive summary. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:1979-2030.





DIVISION OF HEALTH CARE FINANCING AND POLICY

NEVADA MEDICAID

DRUG USE REVIEW (DUR) BOARD PROPOSED PRIOR AUTHORIZATION CRITERIA

Brilinta[®] (ticagrelor) is a covered benefit of Nevada Medicaid for recipients who meet the criteria for coverage.

1. Coverage and Limitations:

Authorization will be given if the following criteria are met and documented:

a. The recipient has a diagnosis of Acute Coronary Syndrome (unstable angina, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction or ST elevation myocardial infarction).

AND

b. The recipient does not have an active pathological bleed or history of intracranial hemorrhage.

AND

c. The recipient will be receiving concomitant treatment with aspirin in a dose of <100 mg/daily.

AND

d. The recipient has been started and stabilized on the requested medication.

OR

e. The recipient has experienced an adverse event with or has an allergy or contraindication with clopidogrel.

OR

f. Another clinically appropriate rationale is provided for why clopidogrel cannot be used.

2. PA Guidelines:

Prior Authorization approval will be for 1 year.

3. Quantity Limitations:

60 tablets per rolling 25 days